

(1) A statement that you wish to acquire a research lease for the proposed lease area (i.e., the entire area as described above). BOEM will not consider indications of interest if they cover less than the entire proposed research lease area. Any interest in an area located outside of the proposed research lease area should be submitted separately pursuant to 30 CFR 585.238;

(2) A general description of your objectives and the facilities that you would use to achieve those objectives;

(3) A general schedule of proposed activities;

(4) Available and pertinent data and information concerning renewable energy resources and environmental conditions in the area that you wish to lease, including energy and resource data and information used to evaluate the area of interest. Where applicable, spatial information should be submitted in a format compatible with ArcGIS 9.3 in a geographic coordinate system (NAD 83);

(5) Documentation demonstrating that you are legally qualified to hold a research lease as set forth in 30 CFR 585.106 and 107. Examples of the documentation appropriate for demonstrating your legal qualifications and related guidance can be found in Chapter 2 and Appendix B of the Guidelines for the Minerals Management Service Renewable Energy Framework available at: <http://www.boem.gov/Renewable-Energy-Program/Regulatory-Information/Index.aspx>. Legal qualification documents will be placed in an official file that may be made available for public review. If you wish that any part of your legal qualification documentation be kept confidential, clearly identify what should be kept confidential, and submit it under separate cover (see "Protection of Privileged or Confidential Information Section," below); and

(6) Documentation demonstrating that you are technically and financially capable of constructing, operating, maintaining and decommissioning the facilities described in your submission. Guidance regarding the required documentation to demonstrate your technical and financial qualifications can be found at: <http://www.boem.gov/Renewable-Energy-Program/Regulatory-Information/Index.aspx>.

It is critical that you provide a complete submission of competitive interest including the items identified in (1) through (6) so that BOEM may consider your submission in a timely manner. If BOEM reviews your submission and determines that it is incomplete, BOEM will inform you of

this determination in writing and describe the information that BOEM wishes you to provide in order for BOEM to deem your submission complete. You will be given 15 business days from the date of the letter to provide the information that BOEM found to be missing from your original submission. If you do not meet this deadline, or if BOEM determines your second submission is also insufficient, BOEM may deem your submission invalid. In such a case, BOEM would not consider your submission.

Environmental Review Process

On February 3, 2012, BOEM published an environmental assessment (EA) and finding of no significant impact (FONSI) for the issuance of commercial and research leases and approval of a reasonably foreseeable range of data collection devices in the WEAs offshore New Jersey, Maryland, Delaware, and Virginia. The EA and FONSI can be found at: <http://www.boem.gov/Renewable-Energy-Program/Smart-from-the-Start/Index.aspx>. If BOEM issues a research lease to DMME, BOEM would determine whether the EA adequately considers the environmental consequences of the activities proposed in DMME's submitted plan, which would include the results of the necessary site characterization surveys. If the analysis in the EA adequately considers these consequences, then no further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis would be required before the plan could be approved. If, on the other hand, BOEM determines that the analysis in the EA is inadequate for that purpose, BOEM would prepare an additional NEPA analysis before approving the plan.

Protection of Privileged or Confidential Information

Freedom of Information Act

BOEM will protect privileged or confidential information that you submit as required by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Exemption 4 of FOIA applies to trade secrets and commercial or financial information that you submit that is privileged or confidential. If you wish to protect the confidentiality of such information, clearly mark it and request that BOEM treat it as confidential. BOEM will not disclose such information, subject to the requirements of FOIA. Please label privileged or confidential information, "Contains Confidential Information," and consider submitting such information as a separate attachment.

However, BOEM will not treat as confidential any aggregate summaries of such information or comments not containing such information.

Additionally, BOEM will not treat as confidential: (1) The legal title of the nominating Federal or state agency; or (2) the geographic location of facilities and the types of those facilities. Information that is not labeled as privileged or confidential will be regarded by BOEM as suitable for public release.

Section 304 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470w-3(a))

BOEM is required, after consultation with the Secretary of the Interior, to withhold the location, character, or ownership of historic resources, if it determines that disclosure may, among other things, cause a significant invasion of privacy, risk harm to the historic resources or impede the use of a traditional religious site by practitioners. Tribal entities and other interested parties should designate information that they wish to be held as "confidential."

Dated: December 18, 2012.

Tommy P. Beaudreau,
Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy
Management.

[FR Doc. 2012-30829 Filed 12-20-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-12-034]

Government In the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: December 28, 2012 at 11:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Vote in Inv. No. 731-TA-1205 (Preliminary)(Silica Bricks and Shapes from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination to the Secretary of Commerce on or before December 31, 2012; Commissioners' opinions are currently scheduled to be transmitted to the Secretary of Commerce on or before January 8, 2013.
5. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 19, 2012.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012-30895 Filed 12-19-12; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-852]

Certain Video Analytics Software, Systems, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 7) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) terminating the above-captioned investigation based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on July 31, 2012, based on a complaint filed by ObjectVideo, Inc.

(“ObjectVideo”) of Reston, Virginia. 77 FR 45376. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain video analytics software systems, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of the following U.S. Patent Nos: 6,696,945; 6,970,083; 7,868,912; and 7,932,923. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission’s notice of investigation named PELCO, Inc. (“PELCO”) of Clovis, California as the sole respondent. No Commission investigative attorney is participating in the investigation.

On November 8, 2012, ObjectVideo and PELCO moved to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement.

The ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 7) on November 27, 2012, granting the joint motion for termination of the investigation. He found that the motion satisfies Commission rules 210.21(a)(2) and (b)(1). He further found, pursuant to Commission rule 210.50(b)(2), that termination of this investigation is in the public interest. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID, and has terminated the investigation.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.21 and 210.42(h) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21, 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 18, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-30818 Filed 12-20-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On December 14, 2012, the United States Department of Justice lodged a proposed First Amended Consent Decree (“Decree”) with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Johnson & Johnson, et*

al., Civil Action No. 06-6077 (FSH). The proposed Decree provides for the performance of a remedial action, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601, *et seq.*, for soils and groundwater contamination (“Operable Unit Two”) selected by the United States Environmental Protection Agency for the Horseshoe Road Drum Disposal (“HRDD”) portion of the Horseshoe Road Superfund Site and the adjacent Atlantic Resources Corporation (“ARC”) Superfund Site in Sayreville, New Jersey. The proposed Decree would supersede an existing consent decree in this action which provides for ARC Operable Two remedial action but does not provide for HRDD Operable Unit Two remedial action.

The Operable Unit Two remedial action for the ARC and HRDD sites will be performed by a group of Settling Work Defendants, consisting of Johnson & Johnson, Permacel, Inc., 3M Company, Lionetti Oil Recovery, Inc., and Fry’s Metals, Inc. The United States on behalf of the Department of Defense and a group of De Minimis Settling Defendants, consisting of Novartis Corporation, Essex Chemical Corporation, Chevron Environmental Management Company, Union Carbide Corporation, Advanced Environmental Technology Corporation, AT&T Corp., The City of Philadelphia, Honeywell International Inc., ICI Americas Inc., International Paper Company, ITT Corporation, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., North Jersey Media Group, Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital Rahway, Saint Peter’s University Hospital, Inc., and Sun Chemical Corporation, will contribute to the costs of the performance of the work. The proposed Decree also provides for reimbursement of EPA’s HRDD past costs in the amount of \$1,979,206.75. The proposed Decree includes the United States’ covenants not to sue or take administrative action against the settling defendants with respect to the HRDD Operable Unit Two remedial action, HRDD past costs, and an Operable Unit Three relating to the marsh and Raritan River adjacent to the ARC and Horseshoe Road sites.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the First Amended Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-480/4. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the