

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 19, 2012.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012-30895 Filed 12-19-12; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-852]

Certain Video Analytics Software, Systems, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 7) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) terminating the above-captioned investigation based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on July 31, 2012, based on a complaint filed by ObjectVideo, Inc.

(“ObjectVideo”) of Reston, Virginia. 77 FR 45376. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain video analytics software systems, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of the following U.S. Patent Nos: 6,696,945; 6,970,083; 7,868,912; and 7,932,923. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission’s notice of investigation named PELCO, Inc. (“PELCO”) of Clovis, California as the sole respondent. No Commission investigative attorney is participating in the investigation.

On November 8, 2012, ObjectVideo and PELCO moved to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement.

The ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 7) on November 27, 2012, granting the joint motion for termination of the investigation. He found that the motion satisfies Commission rules 210.21(a)(2) and (b)(1). He further found, pursuant to Commission rule 210.50(b)(2), that termination of this investigation is in the public interest. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID, and has terminated the investigation.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.21 and 210.42(h) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21, 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 18, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-30818 Filed 12-20-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On December 14, 2012, the United States Department of Justice lodged a proposed First Amended Consent Decree (“Decree”) with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Johnson & Johnson, et*

al., Civil Action No. 06-6077 (FSH). The proposed Decree provides for the performance of a remedial action, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601, *et seq.*, for soils and groundwater contamination (“Operable Unit Two”) selected by the United States Environmental Protection Agency for the Horseshoe Road Drum Disposal (“HRDD”) portion of the Horseshoe Road Superfund Site and the adjacent Atlantic Resources Corporation (“ARC”) Superfund Site in Sayreville, New Jersey. The proposed Decree would supersede an existing consent decree in this action which provides for ARC Operable Two remedial action but does not provide for HRDD Operable Unit Two remedial action.

The Operable Unit Two remedial action for the ARC and HRDD sites will be performed by a group of Settling Work Defendants, consisting of Johnson & Johnson, Permacel, Inc., 3M Company, Lionetti Oil Recovery, Inc., and Fry’s Metals, Inc. The United States on behalf of the Department of Defense and a group of De Minimis Settling Defendants, consisting of Novartis Corporation, Essex Chemical Corporation, Chevron Environmental Management Company, Union Carbide Corporation, Advanced Environmental Technology Corporation, AT&T Corp., The City of Philadelphia, Honeywell International Inc., ICI Americas Inc., International Paper Company, ITT Corporation, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., North Jersey Media Group, Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital Rahway, Saint Peter’s University Hospital, Inc., and Sun Chemical Corporation, will contribute to the costs of the performance of the work. The proposed Decree also provides for reimbursement of EPA’s HRDD past costs in the amount of \$1,979,206.75. The proposed Decree includes the United States’ covenants not to sue or take administrative action against the settling defendants with respect to the HRDD Operable Unit Two remedial action, HRDD past costs, and an Operable Unit Three relating to the marsh and Raritan River adjacent to the ARC and Horseshoe Road sites.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the First Amended Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-480/4. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the