For additional direction on accessing information and submitting comments, see “Accessing Information and Submitting Comments” in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Accessing Information and Submitting Comments

A. Accessing Information
   Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2012–0299 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may access information related to this document by any of the following methods:
   - NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may access publicly-available documents online in the NRC Library at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrf.gov. The draft regulatory guide is available electronically under ADAMS Accession No. ML111870024. The regulatory analysis may be found under ADAMS Accession No. ML112020240.

   Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and NRC approval is not required to reproduce them.
   - NRC’s PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

B. Submitting Comments
   Please include Docket ID NRC–2012–0299 in the subject line of your comment submission, in order to ensure that the NRC is able to make your comment submission available to the public in this docket.

   The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at http://www.regulations.gov as well as enter the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

   If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment submissions into ADAMS.

II. Further Information
   The NRC is issuing for public comment a draft guide in the NRC’s “Regulatory Guide” series. This series was developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the NRC’s regulations, techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data that the staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

   DG–1271 is proposed revision 1 of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.185, “Standard Format and Content for Post-shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report,” which was issued in July 2000. DG–1271 would update RG 1.185 to reflect lessons learned since its original issuance. This regulatory guide identifies the type of information that the PSDAR must contain and establishes a standard format for the PSDAR that the NRC staff considers acceptable. The PSDAR is required of nuclear power plant licensees before or within two years of permanent cessation of operations. The report must include a description of the licensee’s planned decommissioning activities, a schedule for the accomplishment of significant milestones, an estimate of expected costs, and a discussion of the licensee’s evaluation of the environmental impacts associated with site-specific decommissioning activities.

III. Backfitting and Issue Finality
   As discussed in the “Implementation” section of this regulatory guide, the NRC has no current intention to impose this regulatory guide on holders of current operating licenses or combined licenses. Accordingly, the issuance of this regulatory guide would not constitute “backfitting” as defined in 10 CFR 50.109(a)(1) of the Backfit Rule or be otherwise inconsistent with the applicable issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52.

   Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of December, 2012.

   For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Thomas H. Boyce,
Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.
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BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

Notice of Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: Wednesday, January 9, 2013, at 11 a.m.


STATUS: Part of this meeting will be open to the public. The rest of the meeting will be closed to the public. The open session will be audiocast. The audiocast may be accessed via the Commission’s Web site at http://www.prc.gov. A period for public comment will be offered following consideration of the last numbered item in the open session.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The agenda for the Commission’s January 9, 2013 meeting includes the items identified below.

PORTIONS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC: 1. Report on legislative activities.
   2. Report on communications with the public.
   5. Report from the Office of the Secretary and Administration.
   6. Presentation from Allen Kane, director of the Smithsonian Institution’s National Postal Museum, on the activities of the museum and the establishment of the William H. Gross Stamp Gallery.
   7. Discussion of pending litigation.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, Postal Regulatory Commission, 901 New York Avenue NW., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20268–0001, at 202–789–6820 (for agenda-related inquiries) and Shoshana M. Grove, Secretary of the Commission, at 202–789–6800 or shoshana.grove@prc.gov (for inquiries)
I. Introduction

Notice of filing. On December 12, 2012, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it is entering into an additional Global Expedited Package Services (GEPS) 3 contract (Agreement).1 The Notice was filed in accordance with 39 CFR 3015.5. Notice at 1. The Postal Service seeks to have the Agreement included within the GEPS 3 product on grounds of functional equivalence to a previously approved baseline agreement. Id. at 2.

Background. Customers for GEPS contracts are small- or medium-sized businesses that mail products directly to foreign destinations using Express Mail International, Priority Mail International, or both. Id. at 4. The Commission added GEPS 1 to the competitive product list, based on Governors’ Decision No. 08–7, by operation of Order No. 86. Id. at 1. It later approved the addition of GEPS 3 contracts to the competitive product list as a result of Docket Nos. MC2010–28 and CP2010–71.2 The Commission designated the contract filed in Docket No. CP2010–71 as the baseline agreement for purposes of establishing the functional equivalency of other agreements proposed for inclusion within the GEPS 3 product. Id. at 1–2.

II. Contents of Filing

The filing includes a Notice, along with the following attachments:

• Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the Agreement;
• Attachment 2—a redacted copy of the certification required under 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
• Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors’ Decision No. 08–7; and
• Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of material filed under seal.

The material filed under seal consists of unredacted copies of the Agreement and supporting financial documents. Id. at 2. The Postal Service filed redacted versions of the sealed financial documents in public Excel spreadsheets.

Functional equivalency. The Postal Service asserts that the instant Agreement and the baseline contract are functionally equivalent because they share similar cost and market characteristics. Id. at 3. It notes that the pricing formula and classification established in the Governors’ Decision No. 08–7 ensure that each GEPS contract meets the criteria of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and related regulations. Id. The Postal Service further asserts that the functional terms of the two contracts are the same and the benefits are comparable. Id.

The Postal Service states that prices may differ, depending on when an agreement is signed, due to updated costing information. Id. at 4. It also identifies other differences in contractual terms, but asserts that the differences do not affect either the fundamental service being offered or the fundamental structure of the Agreement.3 Id.

III. Commission Action


The Commission appoints Natalie R. Ward to represent the interests of the general public (Public Representative) in this case.

IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:


2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission designates Natalie R. Ward to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

3. Comments are due no later than December 21, 2012.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove, Secretary.