

confidentiality of data from or about a particular consumer, computer, or device, including but not limited to the extent to which that data is collected, used, disclosed, or shared; or (B) the extent to which software code on a Web page determines whether a user has previously visited a Web page.

Part II of the proposed order prohibits respondents from collecting any data through history sniffing—running software code on a Web page to determine whether a user has previously visited a Web page by checking how a user's browser styles the display of a hyperlink or by accessing a user's browser cache—or using any data obtained by history sniffing.

Part III of the proposed order prohibits respondents from using, disclosing, selling, renting, leasing, or transferring any information that was collected using history sniffing. In addition, within five (5) days after the date of service of the order, respondents must permanently delete or destroy all information collected using history sniffing.

Parts IV through VIII of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part IV requires that respondents retain, for a period of three (3) years, documents relating to its compliance with the order. Part V requires dissemination of the order to all current and future principals, officers, directors, and managers; and all current and future managers, employees, agents, and representatives who have responsibilities on behalf of respondents with respect to the subject matter of this order. Part VI ensures notification to the FTC of changes in corporate status. Part VII mandates that respondents submit an initial compliance report to the FTC and make available to the FTC subsequent reports. Part VIII is a provision “sunsetting” the order after twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of the analysis is to aid public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed complaint or order or to modify the order's terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

**Donald S. Clark,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012–29880 Filed 12–10–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6750–01–P**

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

**[OMB Control No. 3090–0274; Docket 2012–0001; Sequence 16]**

### Public Buildings Service; Submission for OMB Review; Art-in-Architecture Program National Artist Registry (GSA Form 7437)

**AGENCY:** Public Buildings Service (GSA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Regulatory Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement regarding Art-in-Architecture Program National Artist Registry (GSA Form 7437). A notice was published in the **Federal Register** at 77 FR 58141, on September 19, 2012. No comments were received.

The Art-in-Architecture Program is the result of a policy decision made in January 1963 by GSA Administrator Bernard L. Boudin who had served on the Ad Hoc Committee on Federal Office Space in 1961–1962.

The program has been modified over the years, most recently in 2009 when a requirement was instituted that all artists who want to be considered for any potential GSA commission must be included on the National Artists Registry, which serves as the qualified list of eligible artists. The program continues to commission works of art from living American artists. One-half of one percent of the estimated construction cost of new or substantially renovated Federal buildings and U.S. courthouses is allocated for commissioning works of art.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before: January 10, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Jennifer Gibson, Office of the Chief Architect, Art-in-Architecture & Fine Arts Division (PCAC), 1800 F Street NW., Room 3305, Washington, DC 20405, at telephone (202) 501–0930 or via email to [Jennifer.gibson@gsa.gov](mailto:Jennifer.gibson@gsa.gov).

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments identified by Information Collection 3090–0274, Art-in-Architecture Program National Artist Registry (GSA Form 7437), by any of the following methods:

- *Regulations.gov:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching the OMB control number. Select the link “Submit a Comment” that corresponds with “Information Collection 3090–0274, Art-in-Architecture Program National Artist Registry (GSA Form 7437).” Follow the instructions provided at the “Submit a Comment” screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “Information Collection 3090–0274, Art-in-Architecture Program National Artist Registry (GSA Form 7437)” on your attached document.

- *Fax:* 202–501–4067.
- *Mail:* General Services.

Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB), 1275 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20417. ATTN: Hada Flowers/IC 3090–0274, Art-in-Architecture Program National Artist Registry (GSA Form 7437).

**Instructions:** Please submit comments only and cite Information Collection 3090–0274, Art-in-Architecture Program National Artist Registry (GSA Form 7437), in all correspondence related to this collection. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### A. Purpose

The Art-in-Architecture Program actively seeks to commission works from the full spectrum of American artists and strives to promote new media and inventive solutions for public art. The GSA Form 7437, Art-in-Architecture Program National Artist Registry, will be used to collect information from artists across the country to participate and to be considered for commissions.

### B. Annual Reporting Burden

*Respondents:* 300.  
*Responses Per Respondent:* 1.  
*Total Responses:* .25.  
*Hours per Response:* .25.  
*Total Burden Hours:* 75.

#### Obtaining Copies of Proposals:

Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB), 1275 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20417, telephone (202) 501–4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 3090–0274, Art-in-Architecture Program National Artist

Registry (GSA Form 7437), in all correspondence.

Dated: December 3, 2012.

**Casey Coleman,**

*Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2012-29890 Filed 12-10-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6820-34-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Docket 2012-0076; Sequence 38; OMB Control No. 9000-0066]

#### **Federal Acquisition Regulation; Submission for OMB Review; Professional Employee Compensation Plan**

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for comments regarding the extension of a previously existing OMB clearance.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Regulatory Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement concerning submission of a Professional Employee Compensation Plan. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** at 77 FR 45612, on August 1, 2012. One respondent submitted comments.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary; whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before January 10, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments identified by Information Collection 9000-0066, Professional Employee Compensation Plan by any of the following methods:

- *Regulations.gov:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching the OMB control number. Select the link "Submit a Comment" that corresponds with "Information Collection 9000-0066, Professional Employee Compensation Plan". Follow the instructions provided at the "Submit a Comment" screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "Information Collection 9000-0066, Professional Employee Compensation Plan" on your attached document.

- *Fax:* 202-501-4067.

- *Mail:* General Services.

Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB), 1275 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20417. ATTN: Hada Flowers/IC 9000-0066, Professional Employee Compensation Plan.

*Instructions:* Please submit comments only and cite Information Collection 9000-0066, Professional Employee Compensation Plan, in all correspondence related to this collection. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Edward Loeb, Procurement Analyst, Office of Acquisition Policy, GSA, (202) 501-3775 or email [Edward.loeb@gsa.gov](mailto:Edward.loeb@gsa.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **A. Purpose**

FAR 22.1103 requires that all professional employees are compensated fairly and properly. Accordingly, FAR 52.222-46, Evaluation of Compensation for Professional Employees, is required to be inserted in solicitations for negotiated service contracts when the contract amount is expected to exceed \$650,000 and the service to be provided will require meaningful numbers of professional employees. The purpose of the provision at FAR 52.222-46 is to require offerors to submit for evaluation a total compensation plan setting forth proposed salaries and fringe benefits for professional employees working on the contract. Plans indicating unrealistically low professional employees' compensation may be assessed adversely as one of the factors considered in making a contract award.

##### **B. Analysis of Public Comments**

One respondent submitted public comments on the extension of the previously approved information collection. The analysis of the public comments is summarized as follows:

*Comment:* The respondent commented that the extension of the information collection would violate the fundamental purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act because of the burden it puts on the entity submitting the information and the agency collecting the information.

*Response:* In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), agencies can request OMB approval of an existing information collection. The PRA requires that agencies use the **Federal Register** notice and comment process, to extend OMB's approval, at least every three years. This extension, to a previously approved information collection, pertains to the provision at FAR 52.222-46, Evaluation of Compensation for Professional Employees, in solicitations for negotiated service contracts when the contract amount is expected to exceed \$650,000 and the service to be provided will require meaningful numbers of professional employees. The purpose of the provision at FAR 52.222-46 is to require offerors to submit for evaluation a total compensation plan setting forth proposed salaries and fringe benefits for professional employees working on the contract. Plans indicating unrealistically low professional employees' compensation may be assessed adversely as one of the factors considered in making a contract award. Not granting this extension would remove Government evaluators' discretion to adversely assess offers containing unrealistically low professional employees' compensation, and would result in the Government's inability to ensure that professional employees are fairly and properly compensated for their work.

*Comment:* The respondent commented that the agency did not accurately estimate the public burden challenging that the agency's methodology for calculating it is insufficient and inadequate and does not reflect the total burden. The respondent stated that the estimate of one response per respondent annually and .5 hours of burden per response is understated, many companies submit upwards of 100 plans per year, and the burden is more likely in the range of five hours. For this reason, the respondent provided that the agency should reassess the estimated total burden hours and revise the estimate upwards to be more accurate, as was done in FAR Case 2007-006. The same respondent also provided that the burden of compliance with the information collection requirement greatly exceeds the agency's estimate