DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration
[A–570–836]


AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People’s Republic of China (the PRC). The period of review (POR) is March 1, 2011, through February 29, 2012. The review covers one exporter of subject merchandise, Baoding Mantong Fine Chemistry Co. Ltd. (Baoding Mantong). We have preliminarily found that Baoding Mantong has not cooperated to the best of its ability and has withheld information, significantly impeding the proceeding. Therefore, we preliminarily determine that we must rely on facts otherwise available, with an adverse inference, in order to determine a weighted-average dumping margin for Baoding Mantong.

DATES: Effective Date: December 6, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Davis or Ericka Ukrow, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7924 or (202) 482–0405, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the antidumping duty order is glycine, which is a free-flowing crystalline material, like salt or sugar. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading: 2922.49.4020. The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written product description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Preliminary Partial Rescission of Review

With the exception of Baoding Mantong, the sole mandatory respondent in this proceeding, we are preliminarily rescinding this review for all other companies named in the Initiation Notice because while the review requests have been withdrawn in a timely manner, these companies have not previously received separate rate status and, as such, remain part of the PRC-wide entity.

Methodology

The Department has conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://www.trade.gov/ia/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRC-wide entity (including Baoding Mantong Fine Chemistry Co., Ltd.)</td>
<td>453.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department will disclose calculations performed, if applicable, for these preliminary results to the parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Interested parties may submit written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review. Rebuttals to written comments may be filed no later than five days after the written comments are filed.

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results of the review, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review. The Department recently announced a refinement to its assessment practice in non-market economy (NME) cases. Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the NME-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number (i.e., at that
exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the NME-wide rate.\(^9\)

For any individually examined respondents whose weighted-average dumping margin is above de minimis, we will calculate importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).\(^{10}\) We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above de minimis. Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the PRC-wide entity (including Baoding Mantong), the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(n)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.


Ronald K. Lorentzen.

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

### Appendix I

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Partial Rescission of Review
2. Separate Rates
3. Use of Facts Available and Adverse Facts Available

[Billing Code 3510-DS-P]

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

**[A-489-501]**

#### Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Turkey: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2010 to 2011

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On June 1, 2012, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Turkey.\(^1\) This review covers four producers and exporters of subject merchandise: Borusan, Erbosan, Toscelik, and Yucel.\(^2\) The period of review (“POR”) is May 1, 2010, through April 30, 2011. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculations. The final results, consequently, differ from the Preliminary Results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled “Final Results of Review.”

**DATES:** Effective Date: December 6, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Victoria Cho or Christopher Hargett, at (202) 482–5075 or (202) 482–4161, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### Background

On June 1, 2012, the Department published the Preliminary Results. In the Preliminary Results, the Department did not address the targeted dumping allegation submitted by the petitioner on May 9, 2012 and May 14, 2012.\(^4\) We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results.

On October 23, 2012, the Department issued a post-preliminary analysis.\(^5\) At that time, we invited parties to comment on the Department’s analysis in addressing the petitioners’ targeted dumping allegation in this review.\(^6\)

On October 27, 2012, we invited Borusan to submit certain cost data.\(^7\) Borusan submitted that data on October 29, 2012.\(^8\)

---

\(^9\) See Assessment Practice Refinement, 76 FR at 65694.

\(^{10}\) In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

\(^1\) See Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Turkey: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 77 FR 32508 (June 1, 2012) (“Preliminary Results”).

\(^2\) The Department initiated a review on the Borusan Group, which includes Borusan Mameşan Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., Borusan Birlesik Boru Fabrikalari San ve Tic., Borusan Birlesik Boru Tesisleri A.S., Borusan Ihracat Ithalat ve Dagitim A.S., Borusan Ithicat ve Dagitim A.S., Borusan Group, which includes Borusan Metall Metal Ticaret A.S., Tosyali Dis Ticaret A.S. (collectively, “Toscelik”); the Yucel Group and all affiliates, Yucel Boru ve Profil Endustri A.S., Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ve Pazarlama A.S., and Cayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (collectively, “Yucel”).

\(^3\) See id., 77 FR at 32516.

\(^4\) See id., 77 FR at 32512.


\(^6\) See Post-Preliminary Analysis at 5; see also the Department’s October 31, 2012, memorandum to the File setting the case and rebuttal brief due dates.

\(^7\) See the Department’s October 27, 2012, section D supplemental questionnaire to Borusan.