and independent sources to fulfill the requirements in 10 CFR 51.20(b)(6).

The Draft SEIS for the proposed Dewey-Burdock Project may also be accessed on the internet at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/.

Additionally, a copy of the Draft SEIS will be available at the following public libraries:
- Edgemont Public Library, 412 2nd Avenue, Edgemont, SD 57735.
- Rapid City Public Library, 610 Quincy Street, Rapid City, SD 57701–3630.
- Custer County Library, 447 Crook Street, Custer, SD 57730.
- Weston County Library, 23 West Main Street, Newcastle, WY 82701.
- Hot Springs Public Library, 145 N. Chicago Street, Hot Springs, SD 57747.
- Oglala Lakota College Library, P.O. Box 310, Kyle, SD 57752.

The Draft SEIS was prepared in response to an application submitted by Powertech on August 10, 2009. The applicant proposes the construction, operation, aquifer restoration, and decommissioning of an ISR facility.

The Draft SEIS was prepared by the NRC and its contractor, the Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses, in cooperation with the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM). The NRC has prepared this Draft SEIS in compliance with NEPA and the NRC’s regulations for implementing NEPA (10 CFR Part 51).

The proposed Dewey-Burdock ISR Project will be located approximately 21 kilometers (13 miles) north-northwest of Edgemont, South Dakota, in northern Fall River and southern Custer Counties. The proposed facility would encompass approximately 4,282 hectares (10,580 acres), which consists of two contiguous mining units: the Burdock Unit and the Dewey Unit.

The Draft SEIS is being issued as part of the NRC’s process to decide whether to issue a license to Powertech pursuant to 10 CFR Part 40. In this Draft SEIS, the NRC staff assessed the potential environmental impacts from the construction, operation, aquifer restoration, and decommissioning of the proposed Dewey-Burdock Project. The NRC staff assessed the impacts of the proposed action and its alternatives on land use; historical and cultural resources; visual and scenic resources; climatology, meteorology and air quality; geology, minerals and soils; water resources; ecological resources; socioeconomics; environmental justice; noise; traffic and transportation; public and occupational health and safety; and waste management. Additionally, the Draft SEIS analyzes and compares the benefits and costs of the proposed action.

In doing so, the NRC staff evaluated site-specific data and information on the Dewey-Burdock ISR Project to determine if Powertech’s proposed activities and the site characteristics were consistent with those evaluated in the GEIS. NRC then determined which relevant sections of, and impact conclusions in, the GEIS could be incorporated by reference. The NRC staff also determined if additional data or analysis was needed to assess the potential environmental impacts for a specific environmental resource area. The NRC documented its assessments and conclusions in the Draft SEIS.

In addition to the action proposed by Powertech, the NRC staff addressed the no-action alternative, as well as alternative wastewater disposal options under the proposed action. All the alternatives were analyzed in detail. The no-action alternative serves as a baseline for comparing the potential environmental impacts of the proposed action.

After weighing the impacts of the proposed action and comparing the alternatives, the NRC staff, in accordance with 10 CFR 51.71(f), sets forth its preliminary recommendation regarding the proposed action. Unless safety issues mandate otherwise, the NRC staff preliminarily recommends that the proposed action be approved (i.e., the NRC should issue a source material license for the proposed Dewey-Burdock ISR Project).

The proposed Dewey-Burdock ISR Project will locate approximately 21 kilometers (13 miles) north-northwest of Edgemont, South Dakota, in northern Fall River and southern Custer Counties. The proposed facility would encompass approximately 4,282 hectares (10,580 acres), which consists of two contiguous mining units: the Burdock Unit and the Dewey Unit.

The Draft SEIS being issued as part of the NRC’s process to decide whether to issue a license to Powertech pursuant to 10 CFR Part 40. In this Draft SEIS, the NRC staff assessed the potential environmental impacts from the construction, operation, aquifer restoration, and decommissioning of the proposed Dewey-Burdock Project. The NRC staff assessed the impacts of the proposed action and its alternatives on land use; historical and cultural resources; visual and scenic resources; climatology, meteorology and air quality; geology, minerals and soils; water resources; ecological resources; socioeconomics; environmental justice; noise; traffic and transportation; public and occupational health and safety; and waste management. Additionally, the Draft SEIS analyzes and compares the benefits and costs of the proposed action.

In doing so, the NRC staff evaluated site-specific data and information on the Dewey-Burdock ISR Project to determine if Powertech’s proposed activities and the site characteristics were consistent with those evaluated in the GEIS. NRC then determined which relevant sections of, and impact conclusions in, the GEIS could be incorporated by reference. The NRC staff also determined if additional data or analysis was needed to assess the potential environmental impacts for a specific environmental resource area. The NRC documented its assessments and conclusions in the Draft SEIS.

In addition to the action proposed by Powertech, the NRC staff addressed the no-action alternative, as well as alternative wastewater disposal options under the proposed action. All the alternatives were analyzed in detail. The no-action alternative serves as a baseline for comparing the potential environmental impacts of the proposed action.

After weighing the impacts of the proposed action and comparing the alternatives, the NRC staff, in accordance with 10 CFR 51.71(f), sets forth its preliminary recommendation regarding the proposed action. Unless safety issues mandate otherwise, the NRC staff preliminarily recommends that the proposed action be approved (i.e., the NRC should issue a source material license for the proposed Dewey-Burdock ISR Project).

This Draft SEIS is being issued for public comment. The public comment period on the Draft SEIS begins with publication of this notice and continues until January 10, 2013. Written comments should be submitted as described in the ADDRESSES section of this document. The NRC will consider comments received or postmarked after that date to the extent practical.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of November 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Aby Mohseni,
Deputy Director, Environmental Protection and Performance Assessment Directorate, and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials.

[FR Doc. 2012–28425 Filed 11–21–12; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[S Docket Nos. 50–361–CAL, 50–362–CAL; ASLB No. 13–924–01–CAL–BD01]

Southern California Edison Company; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission, see 37 FR 28,710 (Dec. 29, 1972), and the Commission’s regulations, see 10 CFR 2.104, 2.105, 2.300, 2.309, 2.313, 2.318, and 2.321, notice is hereby given that an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board) is being established to preside over the following proceeding:

Southern California Edison Company
(San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3)

This proceeding involves a March 27, 2012 NRC Confirmatory Action Letter (CAL) issued to Southern California Edison Company (SCE), and the NRC Commission’s November 8, 2012 decision in CLI–12–20 referring to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel that portion of Friends of the Earth’s June 18, 2012 intervention petition challenging the CAL. In particular, the Commission directs (CLI–12–20, slip op. at 5) that a duly constituted Board “consider whether: (1) the [CAL] issued to SCE constitutes a de facto license amendment that would be subject to a hearing opportunity under Section 189a [of the Atomic Energy Act]; and, if so, (2) whether the petition meets the standing and contention admissibility requirements of 10 CFR § 2.309.”

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges:

All correspondence, documents, and other materials shall be filed in accordance with the NRC E-Filing rule (10 CFR 2.302), which the NRC promulgated in August 2007 (72 FR 49,139).
OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meeting Cancellation Notice—OPIC November 28, 2012 Public Hearing

OPIC’s Sunshine Act notice of its Public Hearing in Conjunction with each Board meeting was published in the Federal Register (Volume 77, Number 221, Pages 68162 and 68163) on November 15, 2012. No requests were received to provide testimony or submit written statements for the record; therefore, OPIC’s public hearing scheduled for 2 p.m., November 28, 2012 in conjunction with OPIC’s December 6, 2012 Board of Directors meeting has been cancelled.

Contact Person for Information: Information on the hearing cancellation may be obtained from Connie M. Downs at (202) 336–8438, or via email at Connie.Downs@opic.gov.

Dated: November 21, 2012.

Connie M. Downs,
OPIC Corporate Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–28754 Filed 11–21–12; 4:15 pm]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P