

reviews of the antidumping duty orders for Belarus, Indonesia, Latvia, Moldova, Poland, the PRC, and Ukraine.

Scope of the Orders

The product covered by the orders is all steel concrete reinforcing bars sold in straight lengths, currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) under item numbers 7214.20.00, 7228.30.8050, 7222.11.0050, 7222.30.0000, 7228.60.6000, 7228.20.1000, or any other tariff item number. Specifically excluded are plain rounds (*i.e.*, non deformed or smooth bars) and rebar that has been further processed through bending or coating.

Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the orders remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum (“Decision Memorandum”) from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated November 1, 2012, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“IA ACCESS”). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit in Room 7046 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/ia/>. The signed Decision Memorandum and electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

Pursuant to sections 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on steel concrete reinforcing bars from Belarus, Indonesia, Latvia, Moldova, Poland, the PRC and Ukraine would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of

dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/exporters/producers	Weighted-average margin (percent)
<i>Belarus</i>	
Belarus-Wide Rate	114.53
<i>Indonesia</i>	
PT Gunung Gahapi Sakti	71.01
PT Bhirma Steel	71.01
Krakatau Wajatama	71.01
PT Jakarta Steel Perdana Industri	71.01
PT Hanil Jaya Metal Works ..	71.01
PT Pulogadung Steel	71.01
PT Jakarta Cakra Tunggal	71.01
PT The Master Steel Manufacturing Co.	71.01
All Others Rate	60.46
<i>Latvia</i>	
Joint Stock Company Liepajas Metalurgs	16.99
All Others Rate	16.99
<i>Moldova</i>	
Moldova-Wide Rate	232.86
<i>Poland</i>	
Stalexport	52.07
All Others Rate	47.13
<i>PRC</i>	
Laiwu Steel Group	133.00
PRC-Wide Rate	133.00
<i>Ukraine</i>	
All Others Rate	41.69

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 1, 2012.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–28480 Filed 11–21–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub.

L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before December 13, 2012. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 12–048. Applicant: Howard Hughes Medical Institute, 4000 Jones Bridge Rd., Chevy Chase, MD 20815. *Instrument:* Micro-litre and nanolitre dispensing system. *Manufacturer:* TTP Labtech Ltd, United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to obtain crystals of biological macromolecules and complexes such as ribonucleic acid, proteins, and ribosomes to enable the determination of their three-dimensional atomic resolution structures. The unique features of this instrument which are required for the experiments are that it has a disposable tip system, its speed of operation, and its ability to deliver the small drops required to perform the experiments. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* October 23, 2012.

Docket Number: 12–049. Applicant: Howard Hughes Medical Institute, 4000 Jones Bridge Rd., Chevy Chase, MD 20815. *Instrument:* Micro-litre and nanolitre dispensing system. *Manufacturer:* TTP Labtech Ltd, United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to obtain crystals of biological macromolecules and complexes such as ribonucleic acid, proteins, and ribosomes to enable the determination of three-dimensional atomic resolution structures. The unique features of this instrument which are required for the experiments are that it has a disposable tip system, its speed of operation, and its ability to deliver the small drops required to perform the experiments. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* October 18, 2012.

Docket Number: 12–050. *Applicant:* North Carolina State University, Campus Box 7212, Raleigh, NC 27695. *Instrument:* Twin-screw Microcompounder. *Manufacturer:* DSM, Netherlands. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to study biomaterials such as starches, lignin, and proteins, and compare them with styrenics and petroleum based materials. The behavior of these materials before, during, and after physical or chemical modification, in excess or limited water, without shear or at high shear, as well as their hydration, plasticization or blending with other oligomers will be investigated. Moreover, foams will be generated by the use of blending a suitable blowing agent and/or the carbonization of the materials to determine their density, foam structure and tensile and compression properties. The goal of this project will be to identify suitable technologies for producing moldable biomass based materials for applications presently occupied by conventional plastics. The core of this research will use rheology, spectroscopies and thermal techniques to follow macromolecular structures and functions on the biopolymers after applying the extruder. The unique features of this instrument are its recirculation loop and its ability to connect to a fiber spinner. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* October 22, 2012.

Docket Number: 12–051. *Applicant:* University of Central Florida, 4000 Central Florida Blvd., Orlando, FL 32816. *Instrument:* Near Ambient Pressure Scanning Probe Microscope. *Manufacturer:* SPECS Surface Nano Analysis, GmbH, Germany. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to determine the relationships between nanoparticle size, shape and chemical state and their catalytic activity in various chemical reactions, by investigating solid catalytically-active materials such as transition metals and examining their chemical states and chemical reactivity before and after applying a specified pressure and temperature inside a vacuum chamber inside the instrument. The unique features of this instrument include its small volume (0.045 L) reaction cell in which the sample and STM scanner are placed, which can maintain a pressure of up to 100 mbar while the surrounding large volume (>100 L) Ultra-High Vacuum (UHV) chamber maintains a

pressure lower than 10^{-6} mbar, allowing the sample to be held at a controlled pressure ranging from UHV up to 100 mbar while measurements are recorded, and can be easily integrated into a system of other UHV measurement instruments to transfer the sample to other measurement chambers. In addition to pressure control, another unique feature of the instrument is its ability to control the temperature from room temperature to 300 degrees Celsius in a gaseous environment (up to 10 mbar). *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* October 25, 2012.

Dated: November 14, 2012.

Gregory W. Campbell,
Director of Subsidies Enforcement, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–28523 Filed 11–21–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–821–809]

Initialed Draft Revision to the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon Quality Steel Products From the Russian Federation; Request for Comment

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and the Russian Federation’s Ministry of Economic Development (“MED”) have initialed a draft revision to the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon Quality Steel Products (“Suspension Agreement”). The proposed revision will update the reference prices provided under the Suspension Agreement applicable to October 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, to bring them into alignment with current U.S. prices. The Department is now inviting interested parties to comment on the text of the proposed revision.

DATES: Comments must be submitted by no later than November 23, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sally C. Gannon at (202) 482–0162 or Anne D’Alauro (202) 482–4830, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of

Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Background

On July 12, 1999, the Department and the Ministry of Trade (“MOT”) of the Russian Federation signed an agreement under section 734(l) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), suspending the antidumping duty (“AD”) investigation on hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products (“hot-rolled steel”) from the Russian Federation. *See Suspension of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From the Russian Federation*, 64 FR 38642 (July 19, 1999). Upon the request of the petitioners, the investigation was continued and the Department made an affirmative final determination of sales at less than fair value. *See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from the Russian Federation*, 64 FR 38626 (July 19, 1999). Likewise, the International Trade Commission (“ITC”) continued its investigation and made an affirmative determination of material injury to an industry in the United States. *See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Products from Brazil and Russia*, 64 FR 46951 (August 27, 1999). MOT was the predecessor to MED, which is now the relevant agency representing the Government of the Russian Federation for purposes of this Suspension Agreement.

On August 1, 2011, Nucor Corporation (“Nucor”) submitted a request for an administrative review pursuant to *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 76 FR 38609 (July 1, 2011). On August 26, 2011, the Department initiated an administrative review of the Suspension Agreement. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 76 FR 53404 (August, 26, 2011). On April 2, 2012, the Department postponed the preliminary results of this review until May 24, 2012. *See Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Administrative Review of the Suspension Agreement on Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from the Russian Federation*, 77 FR 19619 (April 2, 2012).

On June 1, 2012, the preliminary results of the administrative review were published. *See Notice of Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Suspension Agreement on Hot-Rolled*