

(2) the accuracy of MSPB's estimate of burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information

on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

5 CFR parts	Annual number of respondents	Frequency per response	Total annual responses	Hours per response (average)	Total hours
1201.24, 1208.13, 1208.23, and 1209.6	7,150	1	7,150	1.0	7,150

William D. Spencer,
Clerk of the Board.
 [FR Doc. 2012-27995 Filed 11-16-12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7400-01-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 12-098]

Notice of Information Collection

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).
ACTION: Notice of information collection.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of under parental/adult supervision its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: All comments should be submitted within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Ms. Frances Teel, JF000, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546-0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Frances Teel, NASA Clearance Officer, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street SW., JF0000, Washington, DC 20546, *Frances.C.Teel@nasa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

In accordance with Executive Order 13506 establishing the White House Council on Women and Girls, the Women@NASA Program was created to provide mentoring opportunities in science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) disciplines for female

students. To support the White House *Educate To Innovate* campaign, the Women@NASA Program was expanded to offer an equivalent program for young males called NASA Building Outstanding Young Scientists (BOYS). Both programs are designed to engage underrepresented rising 5th-8th grade students in a one-on-one virtual mentoring experience, under parental/adult supervision, one hour per week for a five-week period. Participants will be selected from a diverse set of geographical locations across the USA.

This clearance request pertains to the collection of information associated with the administration of electronic application forms, parental consent forms, and pre and post parent/student surveys. Surveys are designed to gauge participant interest in STEM subjects before and after the virtual mentoring experience, measure the program impact, access the effectiveness of the virtual mentoring approach and identify opportunities for improvement.

II. Method of Collection

Electronic.

III. Data

Title: NASA Girls and Boys Virtual Mentoring Program.

OMB Number: 2700-XXXX.

Type of review: New Information Collection.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 4,800.

Estimated Time per Response: Variable.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 2,600.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$170,000.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NASA, including whether the information collected has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the

proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection. They will also become a matter of public record.

Frances Teel,
NASA PRA Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012-28019 Filed 11-16-12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Proposal Review Panel for Physics; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

Name: LIGO Operations Proposal and Annual Review in Physics (1208).

Date and Time: Tuesday, December 4, 2012; 8:30 a.m.-6:15 p.m.; Wednesday, December 5, 2012 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m.; Thursday, December 6, 2012 8:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m.

Place: California Institute of Technology in Pasadena, CA.

Type of Meeting: Partially closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Thomas Carruthers, Program Director for LIGO Facility, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 292-7373.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning the LIGO Operations Proposal and Annual Review.

Agenda: To review and evaluate the LIGO Operations proposal review, as part of the selection process.

Tuesday, December 4, 2012

8:30a–12:30p Introductions, Status, Overview Open
Advanced LIGO and Beyond
1:15p–4:45p Astrophysics and Data Analysis Open
Observatory Operations
Role of LIGO Engineering Computing
4:45p–6:15p Panel Executive Session Closed
6:15p Panel presents questions for Day 2 sessions Open

Wednesday, December 5, 2012

8:30a–9:00a Panel Executive Session Closed
9:00a–10:45a Management and Oversight Open
Scientific Collaboration
Role in the Global Scene: Emphasis on LIGO-India
10:45a–5:00p Executive Sessions—Program Reviews Closed

Thursday, December 6, 2012

8:30a–3:00p Panel Executive Session, Panel Executive Summary, LIGO Response Closed
Reason for Closing: The proposal being reviewed includes information of a proprietary or confidential nature including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b (c) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: November 14, 2012.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012–28094 Filed 11–16–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. NRC–2012–0165]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby

informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The NRC published a **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period on this information collection on July 27, 2012 (77 FR 44291).

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Extension.

2. *The title of the information collection:* Reports Concerning Possible Non-Routine Emergency Generic Problems.

3. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150–0012.

4. *The form number if applicable:* N/A.

5. *How often the collection is required:* On occasion.

6. *Who will be required or asked to report:* Nuclear power reactor licensees, non-power reactors, and materials applicants and licensees.

7. *An estimate of the number of annual responses:* 339.

8. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 235.

9. *An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 85,900.

10. *Abstract:* The NRC is requesting approval authority to collect information concerning possible non-routine generic problems which would require prompt action from the NRC to preclude potential threats to public health and safety.

The public may examine and have copied for a fee publicly available documents, including the final supporting statement, at the NRC's Public Document Room, Room O–1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. The OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC's Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/>. The document will be available on the NRC's home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by December 19, 2012. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

Chad Whiteman, Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0012), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be emailed to Chad_S_Whiteman@omb.eop.gov or submitted by telephone at 202–395–4718.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Tremaine Donnell, 301–415–6258.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of November, 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Tremaine Donnell,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. 2012–27998 Filed 11–16–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–219; NRC–2010–0200]

Exelon Generation Company, LLC., Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station; Exemption

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Issuance; Correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on April 7, 2011 (76 FR 19488), that incorrectly described Sections 3.9.2 and 3.18.2, “Detection, Control, and Extinguishment.” This action is necessary to correct erroneous information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John G. Lamb, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone 301–415–3100, email: John.Lamb@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 19497, in the first column, in the third complete paragraph, it is corrected to read from “The licensee stated that RB–FZ–1E has an area-wide smoke detection system and an automatic fixed deluge water spray system installed over cable trays and open hatches. The deluge suppression system protecting safety-related cable trays is automatically activated by a cross-zoned detection system consisting of linear heat detection wire located on top of the cables in each original safety-related cable trays and smoke detectors are located in each beam pocket at the ceiling” to, “The licensee stated that RB–FZ–1E has a smoke detection system and an automatic fixed deluge water spray system installed over cable trays and open hatches. The deluge suppression system protecting safety-related cable trays is automatically activated by a cross-zoned detection system.”

On page 19505, in the first column, in the first complete paragraph, it is corrected to read from “The licensee stated that a closed head automatic sprinkler and spray systems protect the