proceed in communicating with the trade community as the agency shifts from the Automated Commercial System (ACS) to ACE as functionality becomes available.

- The work of the One U.S. Government at the Border Subcommittee: Recommendation for addressing a One U.S. Government Approach to Trusted Trader Programs and provide the subcommittee term end report.
- The Intellectual Property Rights Enforcement Subcommittee’s work on providing CBP with guidance on new tools to be used in the port of entry to help identify counterfeit products, the distribution chain management and serialization pilot project, and modification to the CBP recordation database of federally registered trademarks, trade names and copyrights.
- The Anti-Dumping/Countervailing Duties Subcommittee’s term end report and a discussion on the impact of trade enforcement and trade intelligence initiatives.
- The Bond Subcommittee’s work on proposed modifications to the CBP Form 5106 (Importer Identification Input Record), liquidated damages/mitigation guidelines, and the use of single transaction bonds (STBs) when additional security is merited. The Subcommittee will respond to the CBP Deputy Commissioner’s request to look into the possibility of issuing bonds prior to the foreign departure of goods that are intended for importation into the United States.
- An update from CBP’s Agriculture Programs and Trade Liaison on the July 2012 USDA/Animal Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) and CBP Joint Stakeholder Conference.

Prior to the COAC taking action on any of these topics of the eight above-mentioned subcommittees, working groups, and Agriculture Programs and Trade Liaison, members of the public will have an opportunity to provide comments orally or, for comments submitted electronically during the meeting, by reading the comments into the record.

The COAC will also receive term-end reports, updates and discuss the following Initiatives and Subcommittee topics that were discussed throughout the 12th Term meetings as set forth below:

- The National Strategy for Global Supply Chain Security as it relates to an effort to solicit, consolidate, and provide to DHS sector and stakeholder input on implementation of the National Strategy.
- The work of the Land Border Security Subcommittee: updates and observations on the Customs—the 21st Century Border Management Initiative and Beyond the Border initiatives.
- The Air Cargo Security Subcommittee’s work on the Air Cargo Advance Screening (ACAS) pilot, and a discussion of the operational involvement of freight forwarders as well as the next steps in drafting a notice of proposed rulemaking.
- The Export Subcommittee’s work on where it stands in identifying incentives for U.S. exporters to participate in Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism (C-TPAT)/Authorized Economic Operator (AEO) programs and a review of the subcommittee’s scope and goals for the 13th Term COAC.

Dated: November 9, 2012.

Maria Luisa O’Connell, Senior Advisor for Trade, Office of Trade Relations.
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Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the HOPE SF Development at Sunnydale and Velasco Public Housing Developments, San Francisco, CA

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Prepare an EIS and to Conduct Public Scoping Meeting.

SUMMARY: The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) gives notice to the public, agencies, and Indian tribes that the City and County of San Francisco’s Mayor’s Office of Housing (MOH) as the Responsible Entity in accordance with 24 CFR 58.2, intends to prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) for the HOPE SF Development at the Sunnydale and Velasco Public Housing Developments (Sunnydale HOPE SF Master Plan Project). The EIR/EIS will be a joint National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) document.

The EIR will satisfy requirements of CEQA (Public Resources Code 21000 et seq) and the State CEQA Guidelines (14 California Code of Regulations 15000 et seq), which require that state and local government agencies consider the environmental consequences of projects over which they have discretionary authority before acting on those projects. The Proposed Action is subject to NEPA, because funding for the project may include HUD funds from programs subject to regulation by 24 CFR part 58; these include, but are not limited to, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds under Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974; Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME) grants under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzales National Affordable Housing Act of 1990, as amended; Project Based Section 8 Vouchers under Section 8(o)(13) of the United States Housing Act of 1937; and/or Public Housing operating subsidies for mixed income developments authorized under the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, Section 35. In accordance with specific statutory authority and HUD’s regulations at 24 CFR part 58 (Environmental Review Procedures for Entities Assuming HUD Environmental Responsibilities), HUD has provided for assumption of its NEPA authority and NEPA lead agency responsibility by the City and County of San Francisco. This notice is issued in accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations at 40 CFR parts 1500–1508.

A Draft EIR/EIS will be prepared for the Proposed Action described herein. Comments relating to the Draft EIR/EIS are requested and will be accepted by the contact person listed below. When the Draft EIR/EIS is completed, a notice will be sent to individuals and groups known to have an interest in the Draft EIR/EIS and particularly in the environmental impact issues identified therein. Any person or agency interested in receiving a notice and making comment on the Draft EIR/EIS should contact the person listed below within 30 days of publication of this notice.

This EIS will be a NEPA document intended to satisfy requirements of Federal environmental statutes. In accordance with specific statutory authority and HUD’s regulations at 24 CFR part 58 (Environmental Review Procedures for Entities Assuming HUD Environmental Responsibilities), HUD has provided for assumption of its NEPA authority and NEPA lead agency responsibility by the City and County of San Francisco. The EIR will be a CEQA document intended to satisfy State environmental statutes (Public Resources Code 21000 et seq. and 14 California Code of Regulations 15000 et seq.).
elevations. The average grade change is to a 2-percent slope at the lower percent at its highest and steepest point (Brookdale Avenue) to east (Hahn Street), at slopes ranging from 15.5 percent at its highest and steepest point to a 2-percent slope at the lower elevations. The average grade change is 9 percent. Elevations range from 250 feet above sea level (asl) at the western edge of the site to 75 feet asl at the southeastern corner.

The project site is a quarter of a mile north of Geneva Avenue, which is roughly the border between the City of San Francisco and Daly City and also leads to the I–280 freeway to the west. The project site is approximately three-quarters of a mile west of Bayshore Boulevard, which is a main street that connects the neighborhood to U.S. Highway 101 to the east. Single-family residential and small-multifamily residential uses are immediately adjacent to the site to the south and east, and these uses characterize most of the Visitacion Valley neighborhood. The north and west is McLaren Park, which is the City’s second largest park at 312 acres. The park’s Gleneagles Golf Course and Herz Playground are directly north of the project site. A sloped natural area of McLaren Park with a walking path to the Crocker Amazon Playground abuts the project site to the west. Also to the west is the partially occupied McLaren campus of the San Francisco Unified School District, which currently houses a pre-kindergarten school.

The Sunnydale and Velasco Public Housing Developments consist of 785 residential units and the Willie Brown Community Center (including the Housing Authority’s Leasing Center) located in 94 buildings that are one and two stories (20–35 feet) in height. The buildings are laid out according to the site topography, as are the streets; neither follow the grid pattern of the surrounding neighborhood. In between the buildings is open space of grass and unmaintained landscaping with three play areas. A bungalow on Velasco Street is occupied by Wu Yee Children’s Services, which operates a child care program for the neighborhood. Several asphalt surface parking lots are located throughout the site and provide 430 off street parking spaces. The streets internal to the project site provide parking for another 452 automobiles. Three bus stops are located within the project site.

The Sunnydale HOPE SF Master Plan Project was developed to provide expanded housing options for currently homeless individuals and families, extending access to resources, and to improve the neighborhood. The site has been identified as part of the City’s Municipal Strategic Plan, which includes a new sustainable housing development and a significant community parks and recreation. The project site is located in the Visitacion Valley neighborhood, which is one of the City’s most under-served neighborhoods. The project site is located within 1000 feet of the project site to the west. Also to the west is the partially occupied McLaren campus of the San Francisco Unified School District, which currently houses a pre-kindergarten school.

The Sunnydale and Velasco Public Housing Developments consist of 785 residential units and the Willie Brown Community Center (including the Housing Authority’s Leasing Center) located in 94 buildings that are one and two stories (20–35 feet) in height. The buildings are laid out according to the site topography, as are the streets; neither follow the grid pattern of the surrounding neighborhood. In between the buildings is open space of grass and unmaintained landscaping with three play areas. A bungalow on Velasco Street is occupied by Wu Yee Children’s Services, which operates a child care program for the neighborhood. Several asphalt surface parking lots are located throughout the site and provide 430 off street parking spaces. The streets internal to the project site provide parking for another 452 automobiles. Three bus stops are located within the project site.

The Sunnydale HOPE SF Master Plan Project (Proposed Action) would replace all 785 units, the current utility and open space infrastructure, re-align the streets and add new community facilities and park spaces. The Proposed Action would consist of the development of up to 1,700 new residential units that are a mix of public housing replacement units, new affordable units and new market rate units in buildings that range from 40–65 feet in height. The Proposed Action would also include up to 6 acres of new park spaces within the project site, up to 72,500 square feet of community facilities including a new community center, neighborhood-serving retail space, and a child care program.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

There are three alternatives to the Proposed Action to be analyzed in the EIS. Alternative 1 is a variation of the project density. Alternative sites for the project were explored early in the process, and it was determined that no other more viable site was available.

Alternative 1—Reduced Development Alternative

Number of Units: 1,372.
Maximum Height: 65 feet.
Acreage: 48.8 acres (no change).
Percent Reduction in Units Compared to Proposed Action: 19 percent.
Alternative 2—Replacement of Existing Public Housing Units

Number of Units: 785 units.
Acreage: 48.8 acres.
No new Community Center, no retail, no additional open space.
Percent Reduction in Units Compared to Proposed Action: 53 percent.
Alternative 3—No Project Alternative

The No Project Alternative would analyze the “no action” alternative, which would be the continuation of uses on the site; therefore, existing buildings and tenants would remain at the project site and no new buildings or uses would be constructed.

B. Need for the EIS

The proposed project may constitute an action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and an EIS will be prepared on this project by the City and County of San Francisco’s MOH in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

Responses to this notice will be used to:
(1) Determine significant environmental issues, (2) identify data that the EIS should address, and (3) identify agencies and other parties that will participate in the EIS process and the basis for their involvement.

C. Scoping

A public joint EIR/EIS scoping meeting will be held on a date within the comment period and after at least 15 days of publishing this Notice of Intent. Notices of the scoping meeting will be mailed when the date has been determined. The joint EIR/EIS scoping meeting will also provide an opportunity for the public to learn more about the project and provide input to the
environmental process. At the meeting, the public will be able to view graphics illustrating preliminary planning work and talk with MOH staff, and members of the consultant team providing technical analysis to the project. Translators will be available. Written comments and testimony concerning the scope of the joint EIR/EIS will be accepted at this meeting. In accordance with 40 CFR 1501.7 affected Federal, State, and local agencies, any affected Indian tribe, and other interested parties will be sent a scoping notice. Owners and occupants within a 300-foot radius will also be notified of the scoping process. In accordance with 24 CFR 58.59, the scoping hearing will be preceded by a notice of public hearing published in the local news media 15 days before the hearing date.

D. Probable Environmental Effects

The following subject areas will be analyzed in the combined EIR/EIS for probable environmental effects: Land Use and Planning (land use patterns, relationship to plans/policies and regulations; Visual Quality/Aesthetics (views/light and glare); Socioeconomics and Community (demographic character changes, displacement); Environmental Justice (disproportionately high and adverse effects on minority and low income populations); Cultural/Historic Resources; Transportation and Circulation; Noise (construction and operational); Air Quality (construction and operational); Greenhouse Gas Emissions; Wind and Shadow; Recreation; Utilities and Service Systems (water supply, stormwater, sewer, solid waste); Public Services (fire, police, schools, parks); Biological Resources; Geology/Soils; Hydrology/Water Quality (erosion control and drainage); Toxic and Hazardous Materials; Mineral and Energy Resources; and Agriculture and Forest Resources.

Questions may be directed to the individual named in this notice under the heading FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Dated: November 8, 2012.

Mark Johnston,
Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development (Acting).

[FR Doc. 2012–27985 Filed 11–15–12; 8:45 am]
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Federal Property Suitable as Facilities To Assist the Homeless

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Notice identifies unutilized, underutilized, excess, and surplus Federal property reviewed by HUD for suitability for use to assist the homeless.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Juanita Perry, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Room 7266, Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 402–3970; TTY number for the hearing- and speech-impaired (202) 708–2565 (these telephone numbers are not toll-free), or call the toll-free Title V information line at 800–927–7588.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with 24 CFR part 581 and section 501 of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411), as amended, HUD is publishing this Notice to identify Federal buildings and other real property that HUD has reviewed for suitability for use to assist the homeless. The properties were reviewed using information provided to HUD by Federal landholding agencies regarding unutilized and underutilized buildings and real property controlled by such agencies or by GSA regarding its inventory of excess or surplus Federal property. This Notice is also published in order to comply with the December 12, 1988 Court Order in National Coalition for the Homeless v. Veterans Administration, No. 88–2503–OG (D.D.C.).

Properties reviewed are listed in this Notice according to the following categories: Suitable/available, suitable/unavailable, suitable/to be excess, and unsuitable. The properties listed in the three suitable categories have been reviewed by the landholding agencies, and each agency has transmitted to HUD: (1) Its intention to make the property available for use to assist the homeless, (2) its intention to declare the property excess to the agency’s needs, or (3) a statement of the reasons that the property cannot be declared excess or made available for use as facilities to assist the homeless.

Properties listed as suitable/available will be available exclusively for homeless use for a period of 60 days from the date of this Notice. Where property is described as for “off-site use only” recipients of the property will be required to relocate the building to their own site at their own expense. Homeless assistance providers interested in any such property should send a written expression of interest to HHS, addressed to Theresa Rita, Division of Property Management, Program Support Center, HHS, room 5B–17, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857; (301) 443–2265. (This is a toll-free number.) HHS will mail to the interested provider an application packet, which will include instructions for completing the application. In order to maximize the opportunity to utilize a suitable property, providers should submit their written expressions of interest as soon as possible. For complete details concerning the processing of applications, the reader is encouraged to refer to the interim rule governing this program, 24 CFR part 581.

For properties listed as suitable/to be excess, that property may, if subsequently accepted as excess by GSA, be made available for use by the homeless in accordance with applicable law, subject to screening for other Federal use. At the appropriate time, HUD will publish the property in a Notice showing it as either suitable/available or suitable/unavailable.

For properties listed as suitable/unavailable, the landholding agency has decided that the property cannot be declared excess or made available for use to assist the homeless, and the property will not be available.

Properties listed as unsuitable will not be made available for any other purpose for 20 days from the date of this Notice. Homeless assistance providers interested in a review by HUD of the determination of unsuitability should call the toll free information line at 1–800–927–7588 for detailed instructions or write a letter to Ann Marie Oliva at the address listed at the beginning of this Notice. Included in the request for review should be the property address (including zip code), the date of publication in the Federal Register, the landholding agency, and the property number.

For more information regarding particular properties identified in this Notice (i.e., acreage, floor plan, existing sanitary facilities, exact street address), providers should contact the appropriate landholding agencies at the following addresses: AIR FORCE: Mr. Robert Moore, Air Force Real Property Agency, 143 Billy Mitchell Blvd., San Antonio, TX 78226, (210) 925–3047; GSA: Mr. Flavio Peres, General Services Administration, Office of Real Property.