

Division will each, concurrently, have the same set of delegated powers.

DATES: Attorney General Order No. 3350–2012 became effective November 9, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rosemary Hart, Special Counsel, Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530; (202) 514–2027.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 509, “[a]ll functions of other officers of the Department of Justice and all functions of agencies and employees of the Department of Justice are vested in the Attorney General” but for exceptions not applicable here.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 510, the Attorney General has broad authority to “authorize[e] the performance by any other officer, employee, or agency of the Department of Justice of any function of the Attorney General.” Various powers and authorities have been delegated to the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division. *See, e.g.*, 28 CFR Ch. I, Pt. 0, Subpts. I, Y, App. to Subpt. Y; 28 CFR 15.4. This most recent delegation ensures that the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division and the Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division will each, concurrently, have the same set of delegated powers, thereby enhancing efficient management of Civil Division operations.

The delegation order is a matter of internal Department management. Accordingly, the requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act for notice and comment and a delay in effective date are not applicable. See 5 U.S.C. 553. Although publication is not required, the Department has chosen to publish this notice to advise the public of this recent delegation.

The order is not a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and accordingly it has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget. In addition, the order will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. See 5 U.S.C. 605(b). Nor will it have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, this order does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment pursuant to Executive Order 13132.

Attorney General Order 3350–2012 reads as follows:

“By virtue of the authority vested in me by law, including 28 U.S.C. §§ 509

and 510, I hereby delegate to the Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division all the power and authority of the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division, unless any such power or authority is required by law to be exercised by the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division personally.”

Dated: November 13, 2012.

Rosemary Hart,

Special Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2012–27942 Filed 11–15–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–12–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA”)

On November 9, 2012, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree (“proposed Decree”) with the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania in the lawsuit entitled *United States and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection v. Cast Parts, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 12–1656.

The United States filed this lawsuit under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). The United States’ complaint names Cast Parts, Inc., Energy Control Systems, Inc., Gutierrez Machine Corporation, Kirschbaum-Krupp Metal Corporation, K&K Metal Recycling, LLC., Lavigne Manufacturing Co., M.J. Metal, Inc., Marshalltown Company, Metal Mart International, Inc., Middletown Aerospace Corporation, Mid-State Investment Company, Mid-State Machine Company, LLC, National Machine Company, Premco, Inc., Rolls-Royce Corporation, Black & Decker (U.S.) Inc., Johns Hopkins University, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, LLC, and Winter’s Performance Products as defendants. The complaint requests recovery of costs that the United States incurred responding to releases of hazardous substances at the Remacor Superfund Site in West Pittsburg, Lawrence County, Pennsylvania. All defendants signed the consent decree, and collectively agree to pay \$1,110,865.40 of the United States’ response costs. In return, the United States agrees not to sue the defendants under sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607(a).

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the

proposed Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection v. Cast Parts, Inc. et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–3–09682/1. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email ...	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$10.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–27874 Filed 11–15–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employee Benefits Security Administration

Exemptions From Certain Prohibited Transaction Restrictions

AGENCY: Employee Benefits Security Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Grant of Individual Exemptions.

SUMMARY: This document contains exemptions issued by the Department of Labor (the Department) from certain of the prohibited transaction restrictions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA or the Act) and/or the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code). This notice includes the following: D–11710, El Paso Corporation Retirement Savings Plan