### Southern Missouri Survey Area

- Missouri: Christian
- Greene
- Laclede
- Phelps
- Pulaski
- Webster

**Area of Application. Survey area plus:**

- Kansas: Cherokee
- Crawford

- Missouri: Barry
- Barton
- Benton
- Butler
- Camden
- Carter
- Cedar
- Dade
- Dallas
- Dent
- Douglas
- Hickory
- Howell
- Iron
- Jasper
- Lawrence
- Madison
- Maries
- Miller
- Mississippi
- Morgan
- New Madrid
- Newton
- Oregon
- Ozark
- Polk
- Reynolds
- Ripley
- St. Clair
- Scott
- Shannon
- Stoddard
- Stone
- Taney
- Texas
- Vernon
- Wayne
- Wright

**NEW MEXICO**

- Albuquerque Survey Area

- New Mexico: Bernalillo
- Sandoval

**Area of Application. Survey area plus:**

- New Mexico: Catron
- Cibola
- Colfax
- Curry
- De Baca
- Guadalupe
- Harding
- Lincoln (Does not include White Sands Missile Range portion)
- Los Alamos
- Mora
- Quay

**Rio Arriba**
- Roosevelt
- San Miguel
- Santa Fe
- Socorro (Does not include White Sands Missile Range portion)
- Taos
- Torrance
- Union
- Valencia

**Cleveland Survey Area**

- Ohio: Cuyahoga
- Geauga
- Lake
- Medina

**Area of Application. Survey area plus:**

- Ohio: Ashland
- Ashtabula
- Carroll
- Columbiana
- Erie
- Huron
- Lorain
- Mahoning
- Ottawa
- Portage
- Sandusky
- Seneca
- Stark
- Summit
- Trumbull
- Wayne

- Pennsylvania: Mercer

**PENNSYLVANIA**

- * * * * *

**Pittsburgh Survey Area**

- Pennsylvania: Allegheny
- Beaver
- Butler
- Washington
- Westmoreland

**Area of Application. Survey area plus:**

- Ohio: Belmont
- Harrison
- Jefferson
- Tuscarawas

- Pennsylvania: Armstrong
- Bedford
- Blair
- Cambria
- Cameron
- Centre
- Clarion
- Clearfield
- Clinton
- Crawford

**Elk (Does not include the Allegheny National Forest portion)**
- Erie
- Fayette
- Forest (Does not include the Allegheny National Forest portion)
- Greene
- Huntingdon
- Indiana
- Jefferson
- Lawrence
- Potter
- Somerset
- Venango

- West Virginia: Brooke
- Hancock
- Marshall
- Ohio

- * * * * *

**TEXAS**

- * * * * *

**El Paso Survey Area**

- New Mexico: Dona Ana
- Otero

- Texas: El Paso

**Area of Application. Survey area plus:**

- New Mexico: Chaves
- Eddy
- Grant
- Hidalgo
- Lincoln (Only White Sands Missile Range portion)
- Luna
- Sierra
- Socorro (Only White Sands Missile Range portion)

- Texas: Culberson
- Hudspeth

- * * * * *

[FR Doc. 2012–27671 Filed 11–14–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325–39–P
regulations for reporting Monthly and Annual Statements of Account for the making and distribution of phonorecords under a compulsory license.

DATES: Reply comments on the proposed regulation must be received in the Office of the General Counsel of the Copyright Office no later than 5 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) on December 10, 2012.

ADDRESSES: The Copyright Office strongly prefers that reply comments be submitted electronically. A comment submission page is posted on the Copyright Office Web site at http://www.copyright.gov/docs/section115/sona/comments/. The Web site interface requires submitters to complete a form specifying name and other required information, and to upload comments as an attachment. To meet accessibility standards, all comments must be uploaded in a single file in either the Adobe Portable Document File (PDF) format that contains searchable, accessible text (not an image); Microsoft Word; WordPerfect; Rich Text Format (RTF); or ASCII text file format (not a scanned document). The maximum file size is 6 megabytes (MB). The name of the submitter and organization should appear on both the form and the face of the comments. All comments will be posted publicly on the Copyright Office Web site exactly as they are received, along with names and organizations if provided. If electronic submission of comments is not feasible, please contact the Copyright Office at (202) 707–8380 for special instructions.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 27, 2012, the Copyright Office published a notice of proposed rulemaking and request for comments concerning a new regulation that would amend the regulations for reporting Monthly and Annual Statements of Account for the making and distribution of phonorecords under the compulsory license, 17 U.S.C. 115, to bring the regulations up-to-date to reflect recent and pending rate determinations by the Copyright Royalty Judges, which among other things provide new rates for limited downloads, interactive streaming and incidental digital phonorecord deliveries, and to harmonize these reporting requirements with the existing regulations for reporting the making and distribution of physical phonorecords, permanent downloads and ringtones. The notice of proposed rulemaking stated that comments would be due no later than September 25, 2012 and that reply comments would be due October 25, 2012. Upon the request of several active institutional participants in the mechanical compulsory license system, the Copyright Office extended the comment deadline, making the comments due on October 25, 2012 and reply comments due on November 26, 2012. 77 FR 55783 (September 11, 2012). The Copyright Office posted all comments received by the October 25, 2012 deadline on the Copyright Office Web site at http://www.copyright.gov/docs/docket2012–7/comments/initial/.

On November 7, 2012, the Copyright Office received a joint motion filed on behalf of the Recording Industry Association of America, Inc., National Music Publishers Association, Digital Media Association, and Music Reports, Inc., (“Joint Requestors”) to extend the reply comment period by two weeks (i.e. until December 10, 2012). The Joint Requestors stated that they hope to be in a position to suggest specific certification language in their reply comments. However, they note that several key individuals involved in the Joint Requestors’ discussions were adversely affected by Hurricane Sandy, and that discussions have been disrupted for over a week. They stated that a two week extension would allow them to discuss consensus positions and prepare a written submission setting forth whatever consensus positions are able to be reached.

In the interest of giving the Joint Requestors, the necessary time to conclude the process of formulating consensus positions, the progress of which was interrupted by Hurricane Sandy, the Copyright Office has decided to grant the request and extend the reply comment period by two weeks, making the reply comments due on December 10, 2012.

Dated: November 8, 2012.

Maria Pallante,
Register of Copyrights.

BILLING CODE 1410–30–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81


Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; West Virginia Portion of the Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH 1997 Annual PM2.5 Nonattainment Area to Attainment and Approval of the Associated Maintenance Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a redesignation request and State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of West Virginia. The West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (WVDEP) is requesting that the West Virginia portion of the Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH fine particulate matter (PM2.5) nonattainment area (“Huntington-Ashland Area” or “Area”) be redesignated as attainment for the 1997 annual PM2.5 national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS). The Huntington-Ashland Area is comprised of Cabell and Wayne Counties and a portion of Mason County in West Virginia (West Virginia portion of the Area); Boyd County and a portion of Lawrence County in Kentucky; and Lawrence and Scioto Counties and portions of Adams and Gallia Counties in Ohio. In this rulemaking action, EPA is proposing to approve the PM2.5 redesignation request for the West Virginia portion of the Area. EPA is also proposing to approve the maintenance plan SIP revision that the State submitted in conjunction with its redesignation request. The maintenance plan provides for continued attainment of the 1997 annual PM2.5 NAAQS for 10 years after redesignation of the West Virginia portion of the Area. The maintenance plan includes an insignificance determination for the on-road motor vehicle contribution of PM2.5, nitrogen oxides (NOx), and sulfur dioxide (SO2) for the West Virginia portion of the Area for purposes of transportation conformity. EPA is proposing to find that West Virginia’s insignificance determination for transportation conformity is adequate.1

1 On November 5, 2012, EPA initiated the comment period for this proposed insignificance determination on the Office of Transportation and Air Quality (OTAQ) Web site (http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/curreqs/) in order to...