products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;

2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:


(a) Comments Due Date

We must receive comments by January 7, 2013.

(b) Affected Airworthiness Directives (ADs)

None.

(c) Applicability

This AD applies to Rolls-Royce Deutschland Ltd & Co KG (RRD) BR700–710A1–10 and BR700–710A2–20 turbofan engines, all serial numbers, and BR700–710C4–11 turbofan engines that have either of the following hardware configuration standards engraved on the engine data plate:

(1) standard 710C4–11, RRD Alert Non-Modification Service Bulletin (NMSB) SB–BR700–72–101466 standard not incorporated, or


(d) Reason

This AD was prompted by service experience that demonstrated premature wear of the splined coupling on the fuel pump. We are issuing this AD to prevent failure of the engine and loss of the airplane.

(e) Actions and Compliance

Unless already done, do the following. (1) After the effective date of this AD, replace the fuel pump splined coupling as follows and every 4,000 hours time in service (TIS) thereafter:

(i) If the engine has 3,750 hours TIS or more, within 250 hours TIS.

(ii) If the engine has less than 3,750 hours TIS, before reaching 4,000 hours TIS.

(2) If you replaced the engine fuel pump splined coupling before the effective date of this AD, replace the fuel pump splined coupling before reaching 4,000 hours TIS since last replacement, or before further flight, whichever comes later.

(f) Installation Prohibition

After the effective date of this AD, do not approve for return to service any engine with a fuel pump with an affected splined coupling that has accumulated 4,000 hours TIS, or any airplane with an engine with an affected fuel pump splined coupling installed that has accumulated 4,000 hours TIS.

(g) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

The Manager, Engine Certification Office, FAA, may approve AMOCs to this AD. Use the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19 to make your request.

(h) Related Information

(1) For more information about this AD, contact Frederick Zink, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803; email: frederick.zink@faa.gov; phone: 781–238–7779; fax: 781–238–7199.


(3) For service information identified in this AD, contact Rolls-Royce Deutschland Ltd & Co KG, Eschenweg 11, Dahlewitz, 15827 Blankenfelde-Mahlow, Germany; telephone: 49 0 33–7086–1883; fax: 49 0 33–7086–3276. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 781–238–7125.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on October 26, 2012.

Colleen M. D’Alessandro,
Assistant Manager, Engine & Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2012–27108 Filed 11–6–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Airplanes]


RIN 2120–AA64

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to supersede an existing airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to all Airbus Model A300 and A310 series airplanes; and Model A300 B4–600, B4–600R, and F4–600R series airplanes, and Model A300 C4–605R Variant F airplanes (collectively called A300–600 series airplanes). The existing AD currently requires revising the Airworthiness Limitations section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness to incorporate new and revised structural inspections and inspection intervals. Since we issued that AD, Airbus has revised certain ALI documents, which require more restrictive maintenance requirements and airworthiness limitations. This proposed AD would revise the maintenance program to incorporate the limitations section. We are proposing this AD to prevent fatigue cracking, damage, or corrosion in principal structural elements, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by December 24, 2012.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• Fax: (202) 493–2251.


• Hand Delivery: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–
This proposed AD requires revisions to certain operator maintenance documents to include new actions (e.g., inspections). Compliance with these actions is required by section 91.403(c) of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 91.403(c)). For airplanes that have been previously modified, altered, or repaired in the areas addressed by these inspections, an operator might not be able to accomplish the actions described in the revisions. In this situation, to comply with 14 CFR 91.403(c), the operator must request approval of an alternative method of compliance (AMOC) in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (u)(1) of this proposed AD. The request should include a description of changes to the required actions that will ensure the continued damage tolerance of the affected structure.

Costs of Compliance

Based on the service information, we estimate that this proposed AD would affect about 170 products of U.S. registry.

The actions that are required by AD 2011–10–17, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011), and retained in this proposed AD take about 1 work-hour per product, at an average labor rate of $85 per work hour. Based on these figures, the estimated cost of the
currently required actions is $85 per product.

We estimate that it would take about 1 work-hour per product to comply with the new basic requirements of this proposed AD. The average labor rate is $85 per work-hour. Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of the proposed AD on U.S. operators to be $14,450, or $85 per product.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. “Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs,” describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in “Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska; and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the ADocket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by removing airworthiness directive (AD) 2011–10–17, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011), and adding the following new AD:


(a) Comments Due Date

We must receive comments by December 24, 2012.

(b) Affected ADs


(c) Applicability

This AD applies to all Airbus model airplanes identified in paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(2), and (c)(3) of this AD, certified in any category.


(d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 57: Wings.

(e) Reason

This AD was prompted by revisions of certain Airbus Airworthiness Limitation Items (ALI) documents, which require more restrictive maintenance requirements and airworthiness limitations. We are issuing this AD to prevent fatigue cracking, damage, or corrosion in principal structural elements, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

(f) Compliance

You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

(g) Retained Maintenance Program Revision

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (g) of AD 2011–10–17, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011). Within one year after August 9, 1996 (the effective date of AD 96–13–11, Amendment 39–9679 (61 FR 35122, July 5, 1996)), replace the revision of the maintenance program with the inspections, inspection intervals, repairs, and replacements defined in Airbus Industrie A300 Supplemental Structural Inspection Document, Revision 2, dated June 1994. Accomplish the actions specified in the service bulletins identified in Section 6, “SB Reference List,” in Airbus Industrie A300 Supplemental Structural Inspection Document, Revision 2, dated June 1994, at the times specified in those service bulletins. The actions are to be accomplished in accordance with those service bulletins. Accomplishing the initial ALI tasks required by paragraph (r) of this AD terminates the actions required by this paragraph.

1. For airplanes that have exceeded the threshold specified in any of the service bulletins identified in Section 6, “SB Reference List,” in Airbus Industrie A300 Supplemental Structural Inspection Document, Revision 2, dated June 1994: Accomplish the actions specified in those service bulletins within the grace period specified in those service bulletins. The grace period is to be measured from August 9, 1996 (the effective date of AD 96–13–11, Amendment 39–9679 (61 FR 35122, July 5, 1996)).

2. For airplanes that have exceeded the threshold specified in any of the service bulletins identified in Section 6, “SB Reference List,” in Airbus Industrie A300 Supplemental Structural Inspection Document, Revision 2, dated June 1994, and a grace period is not specified in those service bulletins: Accomplish the actions specified in that service bulletin within 1,500 flight cycles after August 9, 1996 (the effective date of AD 96–13–11, Amendment 39–9679 (61 FR 35122, July 5, 1996)).

(h) Retained Revision of the Maintenance Inspection Program

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraphs (h) and (i) of AD 2011–10–17, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011).

1. For airplanes identified in paragraph (c)(1) of this AD: Within 12 months after April 3, 2007 (the effective date of AD 2007–04–11, Amendment 39–14943 (72 FR 8604, February 27, 2007)), replace the revision of the maintenance program required by paragraph (g) of this AD with the revision of the supplemental structural inspections, inspection intervals, and repairs defined in Airbus A300 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document SEM2/95A.1090/05, Issue 3, dated September 2005, as revised by Airbus A300 Temporary Revision (TR) 5.1, dated April 2006. Accomplish the actions specified in
Airbus A300 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document SEM2/95A.1090/05, Issue 3, dated September 2005, as revised by Airbus A300 TR 3.1, dated April 2006, at the times specified in that ALL except as provided by paragraph (h)(2) of this AD. The actions must be accomplished in accordance with Airbus A300 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document SEM2/95A.1090/05, Issue 3, dated September 2005, as revised by Airbus A300 TR 3.1, dated April 2006. Accomplishing the initial ALI tasks required by paragraph (r) of this AD terminates the actions required by this paragraph.

(2) For airplanes identified in paragraph (c)(1) of this AD that have exceeded the threshold or intervals specified in the Airbus A300 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document SEM2/95A.1090/05, Issue 3, dated September 2005, for the application tolerance on the first interval for new and revised requirements and have exceeded 50 percent of the intervals specified in sections D and E of Airbus A300 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document SEM2/95A.1090/05, Issue 3, dated September 2005: Do the actions within 6 months after October 31, 2007 (the effective date of AD 2007–04–11, Amendment 39–14943 (72 FR 8604, February 27, 2007)).

(i) Retained Corrective Actions

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (f) of AD 2011–10–17, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011). Damaged, cracked, or corroded structure detected during any inspection done in accordance with the Airbus A300 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document SEM2/95A.1090/05, Issue 3, dated September 2005, must be repaired, before further flight, in accordance with Airbus A300 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document SEM2/95A.1090/05, Issue 3, dated September 2005, as revised by Airbus A300 TR 3.1, dated April 2006, at the times specified in paragraph (i) of this AD; or other data meeting the certification basis of the airplane which is approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA; or by the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) (or its delegated agent).

(j) Retained Exception

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (k) of AD 2011–10–17, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011). Where the Airbus A300 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document SEM2/95A.1090/05, Issue 3, dated September 2005, specifies contacting Airbus for appropriate action: Before further flight, repair the damaged, cracked, or corroded structure using a method approved by either the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116; or the EASA (or its delegated agent).

(k) Retained No Fleet Sampling

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (l) of AD 2011–10–17, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011). Although Airbus A300 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document SEM2/95A.1090/05, Issue 3, dated September 2005, specifies to do a “Sampling Concept” in section B, this AD prohibits the use of such a sampling program and requires all affected airplanes of the fleet to be inspected.

(l) Retained No Reporting

This paragraph restates the exception specified in paragraph (m) of AD 2011–10–17, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011). Although Airbus A300 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document SEM2/95A.1090/05, Issue 3, dated September 2005, specifies to submit certain information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include that requirement.

(m) Retained Actions and Compliance

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (n) of AD 2011–10–17, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011). For airplanes identified in paragraph (c)(3) of this AD: Within 3 months after October 31, 2007 (the effective date AD 2007–20–03, Amendment 39–15213 (72 FR 54536, September 26, 2007)), revise the Airworthiness Limitation Item (ALI) to incorporate Airbus A300–600 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document AI/SE–M2/95A.0502/06, Issue 11, dated April 2006. The tolerance (grace period) for compliance (specified in paragraph 2 of Section B—Program Rules) with Airbus A300–600 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document AI/SE–M2/95A.0502/06, Issue 11, dated April 2006, is within 2,000 flight cycles after October 31, 2007 (the effective date AD 2007–20–03), provided that none of the following is exceeded. Accomplishing the initial ALI tasks required by paragraph (r) of this AD terminates the actions required by this paragraph.

(1) Thresholds or intervals in the operator’s current approved maintenance schedule that are taken from a previous ALI issue, if existing, and are higher than or equal to those given in Airbus A300–600 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document AI/SE–M2/95A.0502/06, Issue 11, dated April 2006.

(2) 50 percent of the intervals given in Airbus A300–600 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document AI/SE–M2/95A.0502/06, Issue 11, dated April 2006.


(n) Retained Revision of the ALS of the ICA

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (o) of AD 2011–10–17, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011). For airplanes identified in paragraph (c)(2) of this AD: Within 3 months after January 14, 2008 (the effective date AD 2007–25–02, Amendment 39–15283 (72 FR 69612, December 10, 2007)), provided that none of the following is exceeded. Accomplishing the initial ALI tasks required by paragraph (r) of this AD terminates the actions required by this paragraph.

(1) Thresholds or intervals in the operator’s current approved maintenance schedule that are taken from a previous ALI issue, if existing, and are higher than or equal to those given in Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006, is within 1,500 flight cycles after January 14, 2008 (the effective date AD 2007–25–02, Amendment 39–15283 (72 FR 69612, December 10, 2007)), provided that none of the following is exceeded.

(2) 50 percent of the intervals given in Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006.

(o) Retained Exception to Issue 6 of the A310 ALI Document

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (p) of AD 2007–25–02, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011). The tolerance (grace period) for compliance with Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006, is with in 1,500 flight cycles after January 14, 2008 (the effective date AD 2007–25–02, Amendment 39–15283 (72 FR 69612, December 10, 2007)), provided that none of the following is exceeded.

(1) Thresholds or intervals in the operator’s current approved maintenance schedule that are taken from a previous ALI issue, if existing, and are higher than or equal to those given in Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006.

(2) 50 percent of the intervals given in Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006.

(p) Retained Corrective Actions

This paragraph restates certain requirements of paragraph (q) of AD 2011–10–17, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011). Damaged, cracked, or corroded structure detected during any inspection done in accordance with Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006, must be accomplished in accordance with Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006. Thereafter, except as provided by paragraph (o) of this AD, no alternative structural inspection intervals may be approved.

(q) Retained Exception to Issue 6 of the A310 ALI Document

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (r) of AD 2011–10–17, Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011). The tolerance (grace period) for compliance with Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006, is within 1,500 flight cycles after January 14, 2008 (the effective date AD 2007–25–02, Amendment 39–15283 (72 FR 69612, December 10, 2007)), provided that none of the following is exceeded.

(1) Thresholds or intervals in the operator’s current approved maintenance schedule that are taken from a previous ALI issue, if existing, and are higher than or equal to those given in Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006.

(2) 50 percent of the intervals given in Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006.
Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006, must be repaired, before further flight, in accordance with Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006; or in accordance with other data meeting the certification basis of the airplane that has been approved by either the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, or the EASA (or its delegated agent). Where Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006, specifies to contact Airbus for appropriate action: Before further flight, repair the damaged, cracked, or corroded structure using a method approved by either the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116; or the EASA (or its delegated agent).

(q) Retained Reporting Requirement

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (r) of AD 2011–10–17. Amendment 39–16698 (76 FR 27875, May 13, 2011). If any damage that exceeds the allowable limits specified in Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitations Items Document, AI/SE–M2/95A.0263/06, Issue 6, dated April 2006, is detected during any inspection required by this AD: At the applicable time specified in paragraph (q)(1) or (q)(2) of this AD, submit a report of the finding to Airbus, Customer Service Directorate, Attn: Department Manager Maintenance Engineering, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; email: scheck mainte@airbus.com. The report must include the ALI task reference, airplane serial number, the number of flight cycles and flight hours on the airplane, identification of the affected structure, location and description of the finding including its size and orientation, and the circumstances of detection and inspection method used.

(1) If the inspection was done after January 14, 2008 (the effective date of AD 2007–25–02, Amendment 39–15283 (72 FR 69612, December 10, 2007)): Submit the report within 30 days after the inspection.

(2) If the inspection was accomplished prior to January 14, 2008 (the effective date of AD 2007–25–02, Amendment 39–15283 (72 FR 69612, December 10, 2007)): Submit the report within 30 days after January 14, 2008 (the effective date of AD 2007–25–02).

(s) New Maintenance Program Revision

Within 3 months after the effective date of this AD, do the applicable revision specified in paragraph (o)(1) or (o)(2) of this AD. The initial compliance times for the actions specified in the documents specified in paragraphs (s)(3), (s)(4), and (s)(5) of this AD are at the applicable compliance time specified in the document specified in paragraphs (s)(3), (s)(4), and (s)(5) of this AD, or within 3 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later; except for actions identified in both documents for the Model A300–600 series airplanes, use the applicable compliance time specified in Airbus TR 13.1, dated February 2011, to the Airbus A300–600 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document AI/SE–M2/95A.1310/07, Issue 13, dated October 2010. Accomplishing the applicable initial actions constitutes terminating action for the requirements of paragraph (r) of this AD for that airplane only.

(1) For Model A310 series airplanes: Within 3 months after the effective date of this AD, use the applicable compliance time specified in Airbus TR 13.1, dated February 2011, to the Airbus A300–600 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document AI/SE–M2/95A.1310/07, Issue 13, dated October 2010.

(2) For Model A300–600 series airplanes: Within 3 months after the effective date of this AD, use the applicable compliance time specified in Airbus TR 13.1, dated February 2011, to the Airbus A300–600 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document AI/SE–M2/95A.1310/07, Issue 13, dated October 2010.

(t) New Alternative Inspections and Inspection Intervals Limitation

After accomplishing the revision required by paragraph (s) of this AD, no alternative actions (e.g., inspections) or intervals may be used unless the actions or intervals are approved as an alternative method of compliance (AMOC) in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (u) of this AD.

(u) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Branch, send it to ATTN: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 227–2125; fax (425) 227–1149. Information may be emailed to: 9–ANM–116–AMOC–REQUESTS@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(v) Related Information

Refer to MCAI EASA Airworthiness Directive 2011–0198, dated October 19, 2011, and the service information specified in paragraphs (v)(1) through (v)(12) of this AD, for related information.


### Table 1 to Paragraph (r) of this AD—Airworthiness Limitations Items Document

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Document Description</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A300</td>
<td>Airbus A300 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document AI/SE–M2/95A.1308/07</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>June 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A310</td>
<td>Airbus A310 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document AI/SE–M2/95A.1309/07</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>June 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A300–600</td>
<td>Airbus A300–600 Airworthiness Limitation Items Document AI/SE–M2/95A.1310/07</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>June 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Bureau of Industry and Security

15 CFR Parts 764 and 766
[Docket No. 120207107–2565–01]
RIN 0694–AF59

Time Limit for Completion of Voluntary Self-Disclosures and Revised Notice of the Institution of Administrative Enforcement Proceedings

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule would require that the final, comprehensive narrative account required in voluntary self-disclosures (VSDs) of violations of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) be submitted to the Office of Export Enforcement within 180 days of the initial VSD notification. This proposed rule also would authorize the use of delivery services other than registered or certified mail for providing notice of the issuance of a charging letter instituting an administrative enforcement proceeding under the EAR. It also would remove the phrase “if delivery is refused” from a provision relating to determining the date of service of notice of a charging letter’s issuance based on an attempted delivery to the respondent’s last known address.

The Bureau of Industry and Security is proposing these changes to be better able to resolve administrative enforcement proceedings in a timely manner and provide more efficient notice of administrative charging letters.

DATES: Comments must be received no later than July 1, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

• By mail or delivery to Regulatory Information
  Division, Bureau of Industry and Security,
  U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 2224A, 14th
  Street and Pennsylvania Avenue NW.,
  Washington, DC 20230. Refer to RIN 0694–AF59.

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://
  www.regulations.gov. The identification
  number for this rulemaking is BIS–2012–0043.

• By email directly to
  publiccomments@bis.doc.gov. Include
  RIN 0694–AF59 in the subject line.

• By mail or delivery to Regulatory Policy
  Division, Bureau of Industry and Security,
  U.S. Department of Commerce,
  Room 2099B, 14th Street and
  Pennsylvania Avenue NW.,
  Washington, DC 20230. Refer to RIN 0694–AF59.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Special Agent Kirk Flashner, Office of
Export Enforcement, Bureau of Industry
and Security, U.S. Department of
Commerce, Room 4514, 14th Street
and Pennsylvania Avenue NW.,
Washington, DC 20230, Tel: (202) 482–1208.
Facsimile: (202) 482–5899.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS), Office of Export Enforcement (OEE), investigates possible violations of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) and orders, licenses, and authorizations issued thereunder. These investigations may result in allegations of violations that may be settled, adjudicated in an administrative enforcement proceeding, or referred to the Department of Justice for possible criminal prosecution. This rule proposes three changes to the EAR. One change addresses voluntary self-disclosures in connection with OEE’s conduct of investigations. The other two changes address service of notice in administrative enforcement proceedings.

Proposed Change Regarding Voluntary Self-Disclosures

Section 764.5 of the EAR provides a procedure whereby parties that believe that they may have committed a violation of the EAR can voluntarily disclose the facts of the potential violations to OEE. Such disclosures that meet the requirements of § 764.5 typically are afforded “great weight” by BIS, relative to other mitigating factors, in determining what administrative sanctions, if any, to seek. Section 764.5 requires an initial notification, which is to include a description of the general nature and extent of the suspected violations, and is followed at a later date by a thorough review and narrative account of the suspected violations, including all relevant supporting documentation. If the person making the initial notification subsequently completes the narrative account, the disclosure is deemed to have been submitted to OEE on the date of the initial notification. The date of the initial notification may be significant because information provided to OEE may only be considered a voluntary disclosure if the information “is received by OEE for review prior to the time that OEE or another United States Government agency has learned of the same or substantially similar information from another source and has commenced an investigation or inquiry in connection with that information.” 15 CFR 764.5(b)(3).

Currently, § 764.5 of the EAR does not include a specific time limit within which a narrative account must be submitted to OEE. Too often, initial notifications are not promptly followed by comprehensive narrative accounts, and as a result, OEE must maintain open files on voluntary disclosures for extended periods of time without making sufficient progress towards resolving the matter disclosed. To address these situations and promote expeditious resolution of self-disclosed violations, BIS proposes to set a 180-day deadline for persons who have submitted an initial notification to complete and submit the final narrative report to OEE. The Director of OEE could extend this 180-day time deadline, at his or her discretion, if U.S. Government interests would be served by an extension or upon a showing by the party making the disclosure that more time is reasonably necessary to complete the narrative account. Some illustrative examples of circumstances that might warrant additional time include the following:

• Records or information from multiple entities and/or jurisdictions are