(i) For airplanes with 600 or more hours time-in-service (TIS) as of the effective date of this AD: Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD or within the next 25 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, and repetitively thereafter at intervals not to exceed 100 hours TIS or 12 months, whichever occurs first,

(ii) For airplanes with less than 600 hours TIS as of the effective date of this AD: Within 30 days after accumulating 600 hours TIS or within 25 hours TIS after accumulating 600 hours TIS, whichever occurs first, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 100 hours TIS or 12 months, whichever occurs first.

(2) If a crack is found during any inspection required by paragraph (f)(1) of this AD, before further flight, replace the applicable hinge support(s) with an airworthy part.

(g) Credit for Actions Accomplished in Accordance With Previous Service Information

This AD provides credit for the actions required in this AD if already done before the effective date of this AD following Costruzioni Aeronautiche TECNAM Service Bulletin No. SB 102–CS–Rev1, dated June 29, 2012; or Costruzioni Aeronautiche TECNAM Service Bulletin No. SB 102–CS–Rev2, dated July 3, 2012.

(b) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, Standards Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Albert Mercado, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4119; fax: (816) 329–4090; email: albert.mercado@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) Reporting Requirements: For any reporting requirement in this AD, a federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2120–0056. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to be approximately 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing and reviewing the collection of information. All responses to this collection of information are mandatory. Comments concerning the accuracy of this burden and suggestions for reducing the burden should be directed to the FAA at: 800 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20591, Attn: Information Collection Clearance Officer, AES–200.

(i) Related Information

Refer to MCAI European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) AD No.: 2012–0146, dated August 6, 2012; and Costruzione Aeronautiche TECNAM Service Bulletin No. SB 102–CS–Rev2, dated July 3, 2012, for related information. For service information related to this AD, contact Costruzioni Aeronautiche TECNAM Airworthiness Office, Via Maiorise—81043 Capua (CE) Italy; telephone: +39 0823 620134; fax: +39 0823 622899; email: m.oliva@tecnam.com or g.paduanote@tecnam.com; Internet: www.tecnam.com/it/IT/documents/service bulletins.aspx. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329–4148. Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 25, 2012.

James E. Jackson, Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2012–26968 Filed 11–2–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
38 CFR Part 3
RIN 2900–AO31
Eligibility of Disabled Veterans and Members of the Armed Forces With Severe Burn Injuries for Financial Assistance in the Purchase of an Automobile or Other Conveyance and Adaptive Equipment

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is amending its adjudication regulation regarding a certificate of eligibility for financial assistance in the purchase of an automobile or other conveyance and adaptive equipment. The amendment is necessary to incorporate statutory changes made by the Veterans’ Benefits Act of 2010.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before January 4, 2013.

Applicability Date: VA would apply this rule to all claims for benefits received on or after October 1, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted through www.Regulations.gov; by mail or hand-delivery to Director, Regulations Management (02REG), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Ave. NW., Room 1068, Washington, DC 20420; or by fax to (202) 273–9026. (This is not a toll-free number.) Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to RIN 2900–AO31 “Eligibility of Disabled Veterans and Members of the Armed Forces with Severe Burn Injuries for Financial Assistance in the Purchase of an Automobile or Other Conveyance and Adaptive Equipment.” Copies of comments received will be available for public inspection in the Office of Regulation Policy and Management, Room 1063B, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday (except holidays). Please call (202) 461–4902 for an appointment. (This is not a toll-free number.) In addition, during the comment period, comments may be viewed online through the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) at www.Regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Copeland, Consultant, Regulations Staff (211D), Compensation Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20420, (202) 461–9487. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 803 of Public Law 111–275, the Veterans’ Benefits Act of 2010, amended subsection 3901(1)(A) of title 38, United States Code (U.S.C.), by reformatting the statute and adding “severe burn injury (as determined pursuant to regulations prescribed by the Secretary)” as one of the disabilities that VA will consider when making a determination of eligibility for financial assistance in the purchase of an automobile or other conveyance and adaptive equipment. Pursuant to the authority granted to the Secretary in 38 U.S.C. 501(a) and 3901(1)(A)(iv), as added by the Veterans’ Benefits Act of 2010, VA proposes to amend 38 CFR 3.808 to define the term “severe burn injury.” The purpose of 38 U.S.C. 3901 and 3902 is to provide an automotive allowance and adaptive equipment to veterans having certain severe disabilities that may impair their ability to operate a standard motor vehicle. Prior to the enactment of the Veterans’ Benefits Act of 2010, the automobile allowance was authorized only for the loss or permanent loss of use of one or both hands or feet or for permanent impairment of vision of both eyes. In discussing the proposed extension of this benefit to veterans with severe burn injuries...
injuries, the Chairman of the Senate Committee on Veterans’ Affairs explained that, “[d]ue to the severe damage done to their skin, individuals with these disabilities experience difficulty operating a standard automobile not equipped to accommodate their disabilities” and that the proposed legislation “would help them obtain vehicles with special adaptations for assistance in and out of the vehicle, seat comfort, and climate control.” 156 Cong. Rec. S7656 (daily ed. Sept. 28, 2010) (statement of Chairman Akaka).

For purposes of determining eligibility of disabled veterans and members of the Armed Forces for financial assistance in the purchase of an automobile or other conveyance and adaptive equipment, VA proposes to define severe burn injury as a disability resulting from a severe burn that is a deep partial thickness or full-thickness burn resulting in scar formation that causes contractures and limits motion of one or more extremities or the trunk and precludes effective operation of an automobile.

Skin that has experienced deep partial and full-thickness burns is never restored to normal. In a deep partial thickness burn, there is complete destruction of the epidermis and severe damage to the dermal layer. Healing may occur with hypertrophic scars and keloid formation. In a full-thickness burn, there is complete destruction of the epidermis and dermis, and there may be some damage to the underlying subcutaneous fat layer. Scar tissue from these types of burns is thin, fragile, and prone to chronic ulceration. Scars resulting from these burns may cause disfigurement. The most frequent cause of disability is burn scar contracture. This residual prohibits movement of a joint in its normal range of motion and influences not only the underlying joint but also the adjacent joints. Burn scar contracture is not only limited to the extremities but also can occur as a result of burns to the trunk, resulting in postural impairments.

Although full-thickness burns are generally more disabling than deep partial thickness burns, depending upon location, a deep partial thickness burn may result in more scarring with contracture limiting motion and, therefore, be more disabling than a full-thickness burn. For example, a deep partial thickness burn resulting in limited motion may involve an important joint such as a thumb, hand, or elbow which are more crucial in operating an automobile than other joints. Additionally, some individuals tend to be significant scar formers based on race and ethnicity. For example, one individual with a deep partial thickness burn may be more significantly disabled due to the exuberance of scar formation with contractures than another individual with a well treated full-thickness burn. As such, the category of burn injury is not always the predictor of disability. Rather, disability must be based on the eventual limitation of motion of which joint is involved. For all these reasons, VA proposes to consider both “deep partial thickness burns” and “full-thickness burns” as severe burn injuries.

We believe that VA’s definition of severe burn injury for purposes of determining eligibility of disabled veterans and members of the Armed Forces for financial assistance concerning the purchase of an automobile or other conveyance and adaptive equipment certification is consistent with congressional intent. This definition generally reflects the purpose found at 38 U.S.C. 3901 and 3902 to authorize the automobile allowance or other conveyance and adaptive equipment for severely disabling conditions affecting the veteran’s ability or military member’s ability to operate a standard automobile in a safe and effective manner. As such, VA believes that it is fair and reasonable to define a severe burn injury as a deep partial thickness or full-thickness burn resulting in scar formation that causes contractures and limits motion of one or more extremities or the trunk and precludes effective operation of an automobile.

Therefore, in 38 CFR 3.808, VA would redesignate current paragraph (b)(4) as (b)(5) and add a new paragraph (b)(4) that adds “severe burn injury,” and the criteria noted above, as one of the conditions that determines entitlement for a certificate of eligibility for financial assistance in the purchase of an automobile or other conveyance and adaptive equipment. Additionally, VA would replace the title “Automobiles or other conveyances; certification” with “Automobiles or other conveyances and adaptive equipment certification” to mirror the statutory provisions of 38 U.S.C. 3901 and 3902. Finally, VA would revise the authority citation for paragraph (b) to include 38 U.S.C. 3901. Since the statutory amendment authorizing this regulatory change became effective on October 1, 2011, VA would apply this rule to all claims for benefits received on or after October 1, 2011.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This proposed rule contains no provisions constituting a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary hereby certifies that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612. This proposed rule would not affect any small entities. Only VA beneficiaries could be directly affected. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), this proposed rule is exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of sections 603 and 604.

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). Executive Order 13563 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review) emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, reducing costs, harmonizing rules, and promoting flexibility. Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review) defines a “significant regulatory action,” which requires review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), as “any regulatory action that is likely to result in a rule that may: (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities; (2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President’s priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order.”

The economic, interagency, budgetary, legal, and policy implications of this regulatory action have been examined, and it has been determined not to be a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.
Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires, at 2 U.S.C. 1532, that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any one year. This proposed rule would have no such effect on State, local, and tribal governments, or on the private sector.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers and Titles

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program numbers and titles for the programs affected by this document are 64.013, Veterans Prosthetic Appliances; 64.109, Veterans Compensation for Members of the Armed Forces; and 64.109, Veterans Compensation for Service-Connected Disability.

Signing Authority

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs, or designee, approved this document and authorized the undersigned to sign and submit the document to the Office of the Federal Register for publication electronically as an official document of the Department of Veterans Affairs. John R. Gingrich, Chief of Staff, Department of Veterans Affairs, approved this document on October 24, 2012, for publication.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 3


William F. Russo,
Deputy Director, Office of Regulation Policy and Management, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, VA proposes to amend 38 CFR Part 3 as follows:

PART 3—ADJUDICATION

Subpart A—Pension, Compensation, and Dependency and Indemnity Compensation

1. The authority citation for part 3, subpart A continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 510(a), unless otherwise noted.

2. Amend §3.808 as follows:

a. Add a new paragraph (b)(4).

b. Revise the authority citation at the end of paragraph (b).

The addition and revisions read as follows:

§3.808 Automobiles or other conveyances and adaptive equipment; certification.

* * * * * * *

(b) * * *

(4) A severe burn injury. For the purposes of this section, a severe burn injury is defined as follows:

(i) Deep partial thickness or full-thickness burns resulting in scar formation that causes contractures and limits motion of one or more extremities or the trunk and precludes effective operation of an automobile.

(ii) For adaptive equipment eligibility only, ankylosis of one or both knees or one or both hips.

(Authority: 38 U.S.C. 3901, 3902)

[FR Doc. 2012–26607 Filed 11–2–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; New Hampshire; Reasonably Available Control Technology for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone Standard

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve State Implementation Plan revisions submitted by the State of New Hampshire. These SIP revisions consist of a demonstration that New Hampshire meets the requirements of reasonably available control technology for oxides of nitrogen and volatile organic compounds set forth by the Clean Air Act with respect to the 1997 8-hour ozone standard, revisions to existing rules controlling these pollutants, and source-specific orders for fifteen individual sources. This action is being taken in accordance with the Clean Air Act.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before December 5, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. RO1–OAR–2009–0451 by one of the following methods:

1. www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

2. Email: arnold.anne@epa.gov.

3. Fax: (617) 918–0047.


5. Hand Delivery or Courier. Deliver your comments to: Anne Arnold, Manager, Air Quality Planning Unit, Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, 5 Post Office Square—Suite 100, (Mail code OEP05–2), Boston, MA 02109–3912. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office’s normal hours of operation. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding legal holidays.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob McConnell, Air Quality Planning Unit, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (mail code: OEP05–2), Boston, MA 02109–3912, telephone number (617) 918–1046, fax number (617) 918–0046, email mcconnell.robert@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State’s SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.