

to expand the choices available to participants in the options markets. Other than the difference in the unit of trading, Mini Options have the same terms, use, and characteristics as standard equity options (“Standard Options”), which cover 100 shares. The Commission approved the exchanges’ request to list and trade Mini Options on September 28, 2012.⁵

Under OCC’s By-Laws, equity options may be adjusted upon the occurrence of certain corporate actions, including Distributions. Currently, OCC’s By-Laws stipulate that a Distribution must be in excess of \$12.50 *per contract* in order for OCC to consider adjusting any type of option contract. Some Distributions, however, would exceed the adjustment threshold in the case of Standard Options, but would not exceed the adjustment threshold in the case of a Mini Option. The reason for this is that the per contract Distribution on the Mini Option would be only 1/10th of the Distribution on the Standard Option, and the adjustment threshold is stated on a *per contract* basis rather than a *per share* basis. OCC does not believe this result to be appropriate given that Mini Options are intended to be identical to Standard Options, but for the smaller unit of trading.

Instead, OCC believes that it is appropriate to fashion a new adjustment policy such that a Distribution that would result in an adjustment on a Standard Option would also result in an adjustment on a Mini Option. Moreover, the exchanges that will list Mini Options, as well as OCC clearing members, have expressed a preference for OCC to design an adjustment policy under which OCC makes consistent and parallel adjustments to both Mini Options and Standard Options. Therefore, OCC has proposed to amend the adjustment threshold in Article VI, Section 11A of OCC’s By-Laws to \$.125 *per share* from \$12.50 *per contract*.

Furthermore, OCC does not intend for this rule change to affect options contracts that were originally listed with units of trading in excess of 100 shares. The Securities Committee⁶ made this

determination because, if OCC applied a \$.125 per share threshold to all option contracts, OCC might not adjust an option contract that has a unit of trading of 1,000 shares for certain Distributions even though such a Distribution may represent a significant dollar amount on a per contract basis.⁷ For example, in the case of an option contract with a unit of trading of 1,000 shares, a Distribution of \$.12 per share would not trigger an adjustment even though the amount of the Distribution would be \$120 on a single 1,000 share contract—far in excess of the existing \$12.50 per contract *de minimis* threshold. To address this adjustment issue, OCC has proposed to retain the existing adjustment threshold of \$12.50 per contract in Article VI, Section 11A of its By-Laws for options contracts that were originally listed in share amounts greater than 100 shares.

III. Discussion

Section 19(b)(2)(C) of the Act⁸ directs the Commission to approve a self-regulatory organization’s proposed rule change if it determines that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to such organization. Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act⁹ requires, among other things, that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to further several goals, including, among other things: (i) Promoting the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and, to the extent applicable, derivative agreements, contracts and transactions; (ii) encouraging cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in the clearance and settlement of securities transactions; and (iii) safeguarding securities and funds that are in a clearing agency’s custody or control, or for which it is responsible.

The Commission concludes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to OCC. By assuring that traders of Mini Options will receive appropriate adjustments when corporate Distributions are made, the proposed rule change will foster the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of options contracts, facilitate cooperation with exchanges and others involved in the clearance and settlement of these contracts, and ensure the safety and

proper allocation of securities and funds for which OCC is responsible.

Further, the Commission concludes that there is good cause, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹⁰ for approving the proposed rule change prior to the 30th day after the date of publication of notice in the **Federal Register**. As noted above, the Commission has approved proposals by the International Securities Exchange and NYSE Arca to list and trade Mini Options.¹¹ Accelerated approval of this proposed rule change will facilitate the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of options contracts by ensuring that OCC is fully prepared to clear and settle Mini Options as soon as they begin to trade.

IV. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act, in particular with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act¹² and the rules and regulations thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹³ that the proposed rule change (SR–OCC–2012–16) be, and hereby is, *approved* on an accelerated basis.¹⁴

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁵

Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–26711 Filed 10–30–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–68105; File No. SR–CBOE–2012–097]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Fees Schedule

October 25, 2012.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,²

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67948 (September 28, 2012), 77 FR 60753 (October 4, 2012).

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78q–1.

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹⁴ In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposal’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹⁵ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

ISE–2012–58); 67283 (June 27, 2012), 77 FR 39535 (July 3, 2012) (SR–NYSE Arca–2012–64). For example, Mini Options are proposed to be listed on SPY (SPDR S&P 500), GLD (SPDR Gold Trust) and AAPL (Apple, Inc.).

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67948 (September 28, 2012), 77 FR 60753 (October 4, 2012).

⁶ The Securities Committee is authorized under OCC By-Law Article VI Section 11(a) to determine contract adjustments in particular cases and to formulate adjustment policy or interpretations having general applicability. The Securities Committee is comprised of representatives of OCC’s participant options exchanges and authorized representatives of OCC.

⁷ OCC has rules to accommodate options with a unit of trading of 1,000 shares, although no such options currently trade.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(C).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78q–1(b)(3)(F).

notice is hereby given that on October 16, 2012, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the "Exchange" or "CBOE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fees Schedule. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site (<http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx>), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

On June 18, 2010, the Exchange established an SPX Tier Appointment Fee (the "Fee").³ The Fee is assessed to any Market-Maker Trading Permit Holder that either (a) has an SPX Tier Appointment at any time during a calendar month; or (b) conducts any open outcry transactions in SPX or SPX Weeklys at any time during a calendar month.⁴

CBOE Rule 24.19 permits the execution of Multi-Class Broad-Based Index Option Spread Orders ("Multi-Class Spread Orders"), which are generally defined as orders to buy a

stated number of contracts of a broad-based index option or ETF/ETN option derived from a broad-based index and to sell an equal number, or an equivalent number of contracts of a different broad-based index option or ETF/ETN option derived from a broad-based index. These orders may be represented at the trading station of either option involved, subject to the conditions in Rule 24.19.⁵ For example, a common Multi-Class Spread Order involves executing an OEX (which is based on the S&P 100) order along with an SPX (which is based on the S&P 500) order. This is often executed by Market-Makers who have an OEX Tier Appointment but not an SPX Tier Appointment, and who do not otherwise engage in SPX transactions.

The Fee was not enacted with the intention of assessing it to Market-Makers to whom it would only apply due to their execution of Multi-Class Spread Orders that included an SPX component; the Fee was intended to be assessed on Market-Makers holding an SPX Tier Appointment and those doing regular SPX trades in the SPX trading crowd. As such, on July 6, 2010, the Exchange put out a regulatory circular (the "Regulatory Circular") that stated that the Fee is not applicable to Multi-Class Spread Orders executed by Market-Makers that include SPX options (the "Exclusion") because these spread transactions also include non-SPX options.⁶ In order to avoid being assessed the Fee as a result of the execution of Multi-Class Spread Orders with an SPX component, Market-Makers to which the Fee is not otherwise applicable were directed to submit a form to the Exchange within three business days following the execution of the applicable spread transaction(s).⁷

The Exchange believed, upon releasing the Regulatory Circular, that the Exclusion was fairly and reasonably implied from the language in the Fees Schedule that describes the Fee. As such, the Exchange did not, at the time, include the Exclusion in such language in the Fees Schedule. However, the Exchange now proposes to codify the Exclusion in the Fees Schedule by stating that the Fee will not be assessed to a Trading Permit Holder Market Maker who (i) does not have an SPX Tier Appointment, (ii) only executes SPX or SPX Weeklys open outcry transactions as part of multi-class broad-based index spread transactions, and (iii) submits the SPX Tier Appointment Fee Exclusion for Multi-Class Broad-Based Index Spread Transactions Form

(the "Form") within three business days of execution of the applicable spread transaction(s). Upon effectiveness of this rule change, the Exchange will issue another Regulatory Circular which will explain the Exclusion and provide directions on how Market-Makers may access and submit the Form.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁸ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)⁹ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts, to remove impediments to and to perfect the mechanism for a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Codifying the Exclusion prevents any potential confusion regarding whether or not Market-Makers trading Multi-Class Spread Orders that include an SPX component will be assessed the Fee. This elimination of any potential confusion serves to perfect the mechanism for a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,¹⁰ which provides that Exchange rules may provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its Trading Permit Holders and other persons using its facilities. The Exclusion itself is reasonable because it allows Market-Makers to whom the Fee would apply only due to their execution of Multi-Class Spread Orders with an SPX component to avoid having to pay the Fee. The Exclusion is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Fee is intended to be assessed on those Market-Makers who hold an SPX Tier Appointment or conduct open outcry transactions in SPX or SPX Weeklys (*i.e.*, Market-Makers who are engaging in regular SPX trades), since those Market-Makers are engaging in transactions for which executing SPX trades is the primary purpose of such transactions (or are signing up to do so). Market-Makers who only engage in SPX transactions through the execution of Multi-Class

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62386 (June 25, 2012) 75 FR 38566 (July 2, 2012) (SR-CBOE-2012-060) [sic].

⁴ See CBOE Fees Schedule, table on Trading Permit and Tier Appointment Fees.

⁵ See CBOE Rule 24.19.

⁶ See CBOE Regulatory Circular RG10-80.

⁷ See CBOE Regulatory Circular RG10-80.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

Spread Orders with an SPX component are not engaging in such transactions with primary purpose of executing an SPX order, but instead are just executing an SPX order as part of a larger Multi-Class Spread Order.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)¹¹ of the Act and paragraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4¹² thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CBOE-2012-097 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2012-097. This file

number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2012-097 and should be submitted on or before November 21, 2012.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-26712 Filed 10-30-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-68108; File No. SR-NYSEArca-2012-117]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Listing and Trading of Shares of the Pring Turner Business Cycle ETF Under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600

October 25, 2012.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that, on October 17, 2012, NYSE Arca, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "NYSE Arca") filed with

the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to list and trade the following under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600 ("Managed Fund Shares"): The Pring Turner Business Cycle ETF. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to list and trade shares ("Shares") of the Pring Turner Business Cycle ETF ("Fund") under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600, which governs the listing and trading of Managed Fund Shares.³ The Shares will be offered by AdvisorShares Trust (the

³ A Managed Fund Share is a security that represents an interest in an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-1) ("1940 Act") organized as an open-end investment company or similar entity that invests in a portfolio of securities selected by its investment adviser consistent with its investment objectives and policies. In contrast, an open-end investment company that issues Investment Company Units, listed and traded on the Exchange under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 5.2(j)(3), seeks to provide investment results that correspond generally to the price and yield performance of a specific foreign or domestic stock index, fixed income securities index or combination thereof.

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

¹³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.