determination not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 9) granting Lutron’s motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to substitute Elemental LED, LLC d/b/a Diode LED (“Elemental”) as a respondent in place of Elemental LED and Diode. On November 22, 2011 and February 27, 2012, respectively, the Commission issued notices of its determinations not to review the ALJ’s IDs (Order Nos. 10 and 15) terminating Pass & Seymour and AH Lighting from the investigation based on consent orders.

On December 12, 2011, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 11) finding Elemental in default under Commission Rule 210.16(b)(3) based on its own election. On January 17, 2012, the Commission issued notice of its determination to review the ID, and on review to find Elemental in default under Commission Rules 210.16(a)(2) and (b)(2). Also, on January 17, 2012, Westgate filed a notice electing to default. On March 5, 2012, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 17) finding Westgate in default under Commission Rule 210.16(a)(2) and (b)(2). In the same ID, the ALJ found respondents Big Deal, American Top, Wenzhou Huir, Zhejiang Yuelong, and Zhejiang Lux in default under Commission Rule 210.16 for failing to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation, and for failing to respond to the show cause order issued on February 8, 2012 (Order No. 14). On March 21, 2012, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ID finding these six respondents in default.

On January 20, 2012, Lutron filed a motion for summary determination of violation of section 337 pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16(c)(2) and requested entry of a general exclusion order with respect to the ‘930 patent. Lutron also requested entry of a limited exclusion order with respect to the ‘919 patent directed against the accused products of all defaulting respondents. Lutron further requested cease and desist orders with respect to both asserted patents against all defaulting respondents, except for Westgate. The Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) filed a response supporting the motion.

The ALJ issued the subject ID on June 7, 2012, granting in-part the motion for summary determination. The ALJ found that all defaulting respondents met the importation requirement and that complainant satisfied the domestic industry requirement. See 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(1)(B), (a)(2). He found that each of the defaulting respondents’ accused products infringe one or more of the asserted claims of the ‘930 patent, except for one accused product with respect to claim 178. He found that the defaulting respondents infringe the asserted claims of the ‘919 patent in accordance with Commission Rule 210.16(c). The ID also contained the ALJ’s recommended determination on remedy and bonding. Specifically, the ALJ recommended issuance of a limited exclusion order with respect to all defaulting respondents for the asserted claims of both asserted patents. Also, he recommended cease and desist orders directed against domestic respondents Big Deal, American Top, and Elemental with respect to the asserted claims of both asserted patents. The ALJ further recommended that the Commission set a bond of 100 percent of the entered value of the covered products during the period of Presidential review.

On July 19, 2012, the Commission issued notice of its determination to review-in-part the ALJ’s ID. On review, the Commission vacated all portions of the ID relating to the ‘919 patent because the patent expired on March 31, 2012. The Commission determined not to review the remainder of the ID. The Commission also requested written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding from the parties and interested non-parties. 77 FR 43612–14 (July 25, 2012). On August 2 and 9, 2012, respectively, Lutron and the IA each filed a brief and a reply brief regarding remedy, the public interest, and bonding.

The Commission has made its determination on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. The Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief is both: (1) a general exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry of lighting control devices including dimmer switches and parts thereof that infringe one or more of claims 36, 65, 94, and 178 of the ‘930 patent; and (2) cease and desist orders prohibiting American Top, Big Deal, Elemental, and Zhejiang Yuelong from conducting any of the following activities in the United States: importing, selling, marketing, advertising, distributing, offering for sale, transferring (except for exportation), and soliciting U.S. agents or distributors for, lighting control devices including dimmer switches and parts thereof that infringe one or more of claims 36, 38–41, 53–56, 58, 60, 65, 67–70, 76, 82–83, 85, 87, 89, 94, 96–99, 105, 111–112, 114, 116, 118, 178, 180, 189, 193, and 197 of the ‘930 patent.

The Commission further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in sections 337(d)(1) and (g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (g)(1)) do not preclude issuance of the general exclusion order or the cease and desist orders. Finally, the Commission determined that there shall be a bond in the amount of 100% of the entered value of the covered products to permit temporary importation during the period of Presidential review (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)). The Commission’s orders and opinion were delivered to the President and to the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.

The Commission has terminated this investigation. The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.50 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 210.50).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: October 17, 2012.
Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2012–26042 Filed 10–22–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Office on Violence Against Women; Charter Reestablishment

AGENCY: Office on Violence Against Women, United States Department of Justice.

ACTION: Notice of Charter Reestablishment.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), as amended (5 U.S.C. App.2), and Title IX of the Violence Against Women Act of 2005 (VAWA 2005), the Attorney General has determined that the reestablishment of the Task Force on Research on Violence Against American Indian and Alaska Native Women (hereinafter “the Task Force”) is necessary and in the public interest and will provide information that will assist the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) to develop and implement a program of research on violence against American Indian and Alaska Native women, including domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and murder. The program of research will evaluate the effectiveness of the Federal, state, and tribal response to violence against Indian women and will propose recommendations to improve these responses. Title IX of VAWA 2005 also required the Attorney General to establish a Task Force to assist NIJ with development of the research study and
the implementation of the recommendations. The Attorney General, acting through the Director of the Office on Violence Against Women, originally established the Task Force on March 31, 2008. The Charter to reestablish the Task Force was filed with Congress on October 4, 2012. The Task Force is comprised of representatives from national tribal domestic violence and sexual assault nonprofit organizations, tribal governments, and national tribal organizations. Task Force members, with the exception of travel and per diem for official travel, shall serve without compensation. The Director of the Office on Violence Against Women shall serve as the Designated Federal officer for the Task Force.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Lorraine Edmo, Deputy Tribal Director, Office on Violence Against Women, United States Department of Justice, 145 N Street NE., Suite 10W.121, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: October 17, 2012.

Virginia Davis,
Acting Director, Deputy Director for Policy Development, Office on Violence Against Women.

[FR Doc. 2012–26085 Filed 10–22–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–FX–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Filing of Proposed Settlement Agreement Resolving Bankruptcy Proofs of Claim Relating to the Breslube-Penn Superfund Site

On October 17, 2012, a proposed Settlement Agreement was filed, on behalf of the United States and others, with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware in the proceeding entitled In re: Hussey Copper Corp., et al., Bkr. Case No. 11–13010 (BLS), D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–3–1762/6. All comments must be submitted no later than twenty (20) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments: Send them to:

By email ... pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.

By mail ..... Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed Settlement Agreement may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed Settlement Agreement upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for $3.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Maureen Katz,
Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–26010 Filed 10–22–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Parole Commission

Sunshine Act Meeting

Record of Vote of Meeting Closure (Pub. L. 94–409) (5 U.S.C. 552b)


In witness whereof, I make this official record of the vote taken to close this meeting and authorize this record to be made available to the public.


Isaac Fulwood, Jr.,
Chairman, U.S. Parole Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–26114 Filed 10–19–12; 11:15 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–31–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Bureau of Labor Statistics

Renewal of the Bureau of Labor Statistics Technical Advisory Committee

The Secretary of Labor is announcing the renewal of a Federal Advisory Committee. In accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. App. 2, the Secretary of Labor has determined that the renewal of the Bureau of Labor Statistics Technical Advisory Committee (the “Committee”) is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed upon the Commissioner of Labor Statistics by 29 U.S.C. 1 and 2. This determination follows consultation with the Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration.

The Committee presents advice and makes recommendations to the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) on technical aspects of the collection and formulation of economic measures. The Committee functions solely as an advisory body to the BLS, on technical topics selected by the BLS. Important aspects of the Committee’s responsibilities include, but are not limited to:

a. Provide comments on papers and presentations developed by BLS research and program staff. The