versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 705(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”), we calculated an individual rate for Al Jazeera, the only producer/exporter individually investigated in this proceeding. Section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act states that for companies not investigated, we will determine an “all-others” rate equal to the weighted average countervailable subsidy rates established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and de minimis countervailable subsidy rates, and any rates determined entirely under section 776 of the Act. The rate calculated for Al Jazeera, which is neither zero, de minimis, nor determined entirely under section 776 of the Act, is being assigned to “all-others.” We determine the total net countervailable subsidy rates to be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter/manufacturer</th>
<th>Net subsidy rate (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al Jazeera Steel Products Co. SAOG</td>
<td>4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>4.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In accordance with section 705(c)(1)(C) of the Act, we are directing U.S. Customs and Border Protection to suspend liquidation of all entries of circular welded pipe from Oman that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of the publication of this notice in the Federal Register, and to require a cash deposit or bond for such entries of merchandise in the amounts indicated above.

If the United States International Trade Commission (“ITC”) issues a final affirmative injury determination, we will issue a countervailing duty order pursuant to section 706(a) of the Act. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury, does not exist, this proceeding will be terminated and all estimated deposits or securities posted as a result of the suspension of liquidation will be refunded or canceled.

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 705(d) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of our determination. In addition, we are making available to the ITC all non-privileged and non-proprietary information related to this investigation. We will allow the ITC access to all privileged and non-proprietary information in our files, provided the ITC confirms that it will not disclose such information, either publicly or under an administrative protective order (“APO”), without the written consent of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

In the event that the ITC issues a final negative injury determination, this notice will serve as the only reminder to parties to an APO of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This determination is published pursuant to sections 705(d) and 777(i) of the Act.


Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

List of Comments and Issues in the Decision Memorandum

Tariff Exemptions on Imported Equipment, Machinery, Materials and Packaging Materials

Comment 1 Whether the program is specific because it provides prohibited export subsidies.

Comment 2 Whether the program is de jure specific because its use is limited to non-oil industrial activity.

Comment 3 Whether the Department should find the tariff exemptions specific on the basis of adverse facts available (AFA).

Sohar Industrial Estates

Comment 4 Whether the GSO’s subsidization of the Sohar Industrial Estate (SIE) is regionally specific.

Comment 5 Whether the GSO failed to provide its economic plans thus warranting the application of AFA for the GSO’s subsidization of the SIE.

Comment 6 Whether the GSO’s provision of natural gas in the SIE is specific.

Comment 7 Whether the GSO impeded the investigation and did not act to the best of its ability with respect to its provision of water in Sohar.

Comment 8 Whether the GSO’s provision of land confers a benefit.

Comment 9 Whether the GSO’s provision of electricity confers a benefit.

Soft Loans for Industrial Projects Under RD 17/97

Comment 10 Whether the loans provided under the RD 17/97 are specific.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–520–805]

Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the United Arab Emirates

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has determined that imports of circular welded carbon-quality steel pipe (circular welded pipe) from the United Arab Emirates (UAE) are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV), as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The estimated margins of sales at LTFV are listed in the “Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation” section of this notice.

DATES: Effective Date: October 22, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Scott or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2657 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background


1See Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the United Arab Emirates: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination, 77 FR 32539 (June 1, 2012) (Preliminary Determination).
As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we conducted sales and cost verifications of the questionnaire responses submitted by Universal. We used standard verification procedures, including examination of relevant accounting and production records, as well as original source documents provided by the company.2

On July 2, 2012, petitioners Allied Tube and Conduit and the JMC Steel Group requested a hearing pursuant to the Department’s regulations at 19 CFR 351.301(c).

On August 14, 2012, petitioners Allied Tube and Conduit and the JMC Steel Group submitted a letter requesting that the Department reject the reclassification of sales from home market to third country. Universal filed a response to petitioners’ comments on August 17, 2012.

On August 15, 2012, the Department requested that Universal submit a revised cost database reflecting the minor cost data certified at the start of the cost verification.3 Universal timely filed its new cost database on August 22, 2012, in accordance with the Department’s memorandum issued on that date.4

On September 13, 2012, Universal, respondent Abu Dhabi Metal Pipes & Profiles Industries Complex LLC (ADPICO), and petitioners Allied Tube and Conduit and the JMC Steel Group timely filed case briefs. Petitioners Allied Tube and Conduit and the JMC Steel Group withdrew their request for a hearing on September 13, 2012. On September 18, 2012, Universal and Allied Tube and Conduit and the JMC Steel Group timely submitted rebuttal comments.

**Period of Investigation**

The period of investigation is October 1, 2010, to September 30, 2011.

---


---

**Scope of the Investigation**

This investigation covers welded carbon-quality steel pipes and tube, of circular cross-section, with an outside diameter (O.D.) not more than 16 inches (406.4 mm), regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (e.g., black, galvanized, or painted), end finish (plain end, beveled end, grooved, threaded, or threaded and coupled), or industry specification (e.g., American Society for Testing and Materials International (ASTM), proprietary, or other) generally known as standard pipe, fence pipe and tube, sprinkler pipe, and structural pipe (although subject product may also be referred to as mechanical tubing). Specifically, the term “carbon quality” includes products in which: (a) iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements; (b) the carbon content is 2 percent or less, by weight; and (c) none of the elements listed below exceeds the quantity, by weight, as indicated: (i) 1.80 percent of manganese; (ii) 2.25 percent of silicon; (iii) 1.00 percent of copper; (iv) 0.50 percent of aluminum; (v) 1.25 percent of chromium; (vi) 0.30 percent of cobalt; (vii) 0.40 percent of lead; (viii) 1.25 percent of nickel; (ix) 0.30 percent of tungsten; (x) 0.15 percent of molybdenum; (xi) 0.10 percent of niobium; (xii) 0.41 percent of titanium; (xiii) 0.15 percent of vanadium; (xiv) 0.15 percent of zirconium.

Subject pipe is ordinarily made to ASTM specifications A53, A135, and A795, but can also be made to other specifications. Structural pipe is made primarily to ASTM specifications A252 and A500. Standard and structural pipe may also be produced to proprietary specifications rather than to industry specifications. Fence tubing is included in the scope regardless of certification to a specification listed in the exclusions below, and can also be made to the ASTM A513 specification. Sprinkler pipe is designed for sprinkler fire suppression systems and may be made to industry specifications such as ASTM A53 or to proprietary specifications. These products are generally made to standard O.D. and wall thickness combinations. Pipe multi-stenciled to a standard and/or structural specification and to other specifications, such as American Petroleum Institute (API) API–5L specification, is also covered by the scope of this investigation when it meets the physical description set forth above, and also has one or more of the following characteristics: is 32 feet in length or less; is less than 2.0 inches (50mm) in outside diameter; has a galvanized and/or painted (e.g., polyester coated) surface finish; or has a threaded and/or coupled end finish.

However, products certified to ASTM mechanical tubing specifications are not excluded as mechanical tubing if they otherwise meet the standard sizes (e.g., outside diameter and wall thickness) of standard, structural, fence and sprinkler pipe. Also, products made to the following outside diameter and wall thickness combinations, which are generally recognized by the industry as typical for fence tubing, would not be excluded from the scope based solely on their being certified to ASTM mechanical tubing specifications:

- 1.315 inch O.D. and 0.035 inch wall thickness (gage 20)
- 1.315 inch O.D. and 0.047 inch wall thickness (gage 18)
- 1.315 inch O.D. and 0.055 inch wall thickness (gage 17)
- 1.315 inch O.D. and 0.065 inch wall thickness (gage 16)
- 1.315 inch O.D. and 0.072 inch wall thickness (gage 15)
- 1.315 inch O.D. and 0.083 inch wall thickness (gage 14)
- 1.315 inch O.D. and 0.095 inch wall thickness (gage 13)
- 1.660 inch O.D. and 0.047 inch wall thickness (gage 18)
- 1.660 inch O.D. and 0.055 inch wall thickness (gage 17)
- 1.660 inch O.D. and 0.065 inch wall thickness (gage 16)
- 1.660 inch O.D. and 0.072 inch wall thickness (gage 15)
- 1.660 inch O.D. and 0.083 inch wall thickness (gage 14)
- 1.660 inch O.D. and 0.095 inch wall thickness (gage 13)
- 1.660 inch O.D. and 0.109 inch wall thickness (gage 12)
- 1.900 inch O.D. and 0.047 inch wall thickness (gage 18)
- 1.900 inch O.D. and 0.055 inch wall thickness (gage 17)

---

2 Finished scaffolding is defined as component parts of a final, finished scaffolding that enters the United States unassembled as a “kit.” A “kit” is understood to mean a packaged combination of component parts that contain, at the time of importation, all the necessary component parts to fully assemble a final, finished scaffolding.
1.900 inch O.D. and 0.065 inch wall thickness (gage 16)
1.900 inch O.D. and 0.072 inch wall thickness (gage 15)
1.900 inch O.D. and 0.095 inch wall thickness (gage 13)
1.900 inch O.D. and 0.109 inch wall thickness (gage 12)
2.375 inch O.D. and 0.047 inch wall thickness (gage 18)
2.375 inch O.D. and 0.055 inch wall thickness (gage 17)
2.375 inch O.D. and 0.065 inch wall thickness (gage 16)
2.375 inch O.D. and 0.072 inch wall thickness (gage 15)
2.375 inch O.D. and 0.095 inch wall thickness (gage 13)
2.375 inch O.D. and 0.109 inch wall thickness (gage 12)
2.375 inch O.D. and 0.120 inch wall thickness (gage 11)
2.875 inch O.D. and 0.109 inch wall thickness (gage 10)
2.875 inch O.D. and 0.134 inch wall thickness (gage 10)
3.500 inch O.D. and 0.165 inch wall thickness (gage 9)
3.500 inch O.D. and 0.190 inch wall thickness (gage 9)
4.000 inch O.D. and 0.148 inch wall thickness (gage 9)
4.000 inch O.D. and 0.165 inch wall thickness (gage 8)
4.500 inch O.D. and 0.203 inch wall thickness (gage 7)

The pipe subject to this investigation is currently classifiable in Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) statistical reporting numbers 7306.19.1010, 7306.19.1050, 7306.19.5110, 7306.19.5150, 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085, 7306.30.5090, 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5050, and 7306.50.5070. However, the product description, and not the HTSUS classification, is dispositive of whether the merchandise imported into the United States falls within the scope of the investigation.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this antidumping investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as Appendix I. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://www.trade.gov/ia/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

Based on our analysis of the comments received and our findings at verification, we have made certain changes to the margin calculation for Universal. For a discussion of these changes, see Memorandum to the File, through Robert James, Program Manager, from Deborah Scott, International Trade Compliance Analyst, entitled “Analysis of Data Submitted by Universal in the Final Determination of the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates,” dated October 15, 2012; see also Memorandum to Neal M. Halper, Director, Office of Accounting, through Theresa C. Deeley, Lead Accountant, from Ji Young Oh, Senior Accountant, entitled, “Cost of Production and Constructed Value Calculation Adjustments for the Final Determination—Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd.” dated October 15, 2012. We have not made any changes since the Preliminary Determination with respect to ADPCICO.

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

Pursuant to section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of circular welded pipe from the UAE which were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after June 1, 2012, the date of publication of the Preliminary Determination. We will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average margins, as indicated below. The rates for Universal and ADPCICO will be the rates we have determined in this final determination; (2) if the exporter is not a firm identified in this investigation but the producer is, the rate will be the rate established for the producer of the subject merchandise; (3) the rate for all other producers or exporters will be 3.85 percent, as discussed in the “All Others Rate” section, below. These suspension-liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer/exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd./KHK Scaffolding &amp; Formwork LLC/ Universal Tube and Pipe Industries LLC</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu Dhabi Metal Pipes &amp; Profiles Industries Complex LLC</td>
<td>11.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All Others Rate

Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act provides that the estimated all others rate shall be an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated excluding any zero or de minimis margins and any margins determined entirely under section 776 of the Act. Universal is the only respondent in this investigation for which we calculated a company-specific rate that is not zero, de minimis, or determined entirely under section 776 of the Act. Therefore, for purposes of determining the “all others” rate and pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, we are using the dumping margin calculated for Universal, 3.85 percent, for the “all others” rate, as referenced above.

Disclosure

We intend to disclose to parties in this proceeding the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission (ITC) of our final determination. As our final determination is affirmative and in accordance with section 735(b)(2) of the Act, the ITC will determine, within 45 days, whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports or sales (or the likelihood of sales) for importation of the subject merchandise. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an
antidumping duty order directing CBP to assess antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.


Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I

List of Issues in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Universal
Comment 1: Reclassification of Sales From Home Market to Third Country
Comment 2: Level of Trade
Comment 3: Early Payment Discounts
Comment 4: Post-Sale Price Adjustments to U.S. Price
Comment 5: U.S. Indirect Selling Expense Ratio
Comment 6: Various Issues Noted in the Home Market and U.S. Sales Verification Reports
Comment 7: UTP–JA’s Other Material Costs
Comment 8: UTP–JA’s General and Administrative Expense Ratio Calculation
Comment 9: KHK’s Un-Reconciled COM Difference
Comment 10: KHK’s General and Administrative Expense Ratio Calculation
Comment 11: Universal’s Financial Expense Ratio Calculation
Comment 12: Targeted Dumping/Zeroing ADPCO
Comment 13: Application of Total Adverse Facts Available
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BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–852]

Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From India: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: October 22, 2012.

SUMMARY: On June 1, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the Preliminary Determination of sales at less than fair value (LTFV) in the antidumping investigation of circular welded carbon-quality steel pipe from India.1 The period of investigation (POI) is October 1, 2010, through September 30, 2011. No comments were received from interested parties, and we have made no changes to our Preliminary Determination. The Department continues to find that circular welded carbon-quality steel pipe from India is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at LTFV, as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The estimated margin of sales at LTFV is shown in the “Final Determination Margin” section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Steve Bezirgian or Robert James, AD/ CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1131 or 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The only respondent in this investigation is Zenith Birla (India) Limited (previously known as Zenith Steel Pipes and Industries Ltd.) (Zenith).2 In response to a request by Zenith, the Department postponed the final determination until no later than 135 days after the publication of the Preliminary Determination in the Federal Register, or October 14, 2012.3 No interested parties submitted a case brief. The Department is not making any changes to the Preliminary Determination.

Scope of the Investigation

At the time of the filing of the petition for this case, there was an existing antidumping duty order on welded steel pipe and tube from India. See Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipes and Tubes From India, 51 FR 17384 (May 12, 1986). Therefore, the scope of this investigation covers merchandise manufactured and/or exported by Zenith Steel Pipes and Industries Ltd., and any successors-in-interest to that company, which is the only company excluded from the 1986 order known to exist.

This investigation covers welded carbon-quality steel pipes and tube, of circular cross-section, with an outside diameter (“O.D.”) not more than 16 inches (406.4 mm), regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (e.g., black, galvanized, or painted), end finish (plain end, beveled end, grooved, threaded, or threaded and coupled), or industry specification (e.g., American Society for Testing and Materials International (“ASTM”), proprietary, or other) generally known as standard pipe, fence pipe and tube, sprinkler pipe, and structural pipe (although subject product may also be referred to as mechanical tubing). Specifically, the term “carbon quality” includes products in which: (a) iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements; (b) the carbon content is 2 percent or less, by weight; and (c) none of the elements listed below exceeds the quantity, by weight, as indicated: (i) 1.80 percent of manganese; (ii) 2.25 percent of silicon; (iii) 1.00 percent of copper; (iv) 0.50 percent of aluminum; (v) 1.25 percent of chromium; (vi) 0.30 percent of cobalt; (vii) 0.40 percent of lead; (viii) 1.25 percent of nickel; (ix) 0.30 percent of tungsten; (x) 0.15 percent of molybdenum; (xi) 0.10 percent of niobium;

1 See Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From India: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 77 FR 32562 (June 1, 2012) (“Preliminary Determination”).
2 We noted in the Preliminary Determination that this investigation covers merchandise manufactured and/or exported by Zenith Steel Pipes and Industries Ltd., which was excluded from an existing antidumping duty order covering welded steel pipe and tube from India. We also noted nothing on the record of this investigation that contradicts our conclusion in the initiation of this investigation that Zenith Birla (India) Limited is the current name for the company formerly known as Zenith Steel Pipes and Industries, Ltd. See Preliminary Determination, 77 FR at 32562–63.