

APPENDIX—Continued

[31 TAA petitions instituted between 9/24/12 and 9/28/12]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
82016	Trostel, Limited (Company)	Whitewater, WI	09/28/12	09/27/12

[FR Doc. 2012-25133 Filed 10-11-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training
Administration****Notice of a Virtual Meeting of the
Advisory Committee on
Apprenticeship (ACA)****AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Labor.**ACTION:** Notice of a virtual meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 10 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (Pub. L. 92-463; 5 U.S.C. APP. 1), notice is hereby given to announce an open virtual meeting of the Advisory Committee on Apprenticeship (ACA) on November 14–15, 2012, which can be accessed from the Office of Apprenticeship's (OA) homepage: <http://www.dol.gov/oa/>. The ACA is a discretionary committee established by the Secretary of Labor, in accordance with FACA, as amended 5 U.S.C., App. 2, and its implementing regulations (41 CFR 101-6 and 102-3).

All meetings of the ACA are open to the public. A virtual meeting of the ACA provides a cost savings to the government while still offering a venue that allows for public participation and transparency, as required by FACA.

DATES: The meeting will begin at approximately 1:00 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, November 14, 2012, and will continue until approximately 3:00 p.m. The meeting will reconvene on Thursday, November 15, 2012, at approximately 1:00 p.m. Eastern Time and adjourn at approximately 3:00 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Designated Federal Official, Mr. John V. Ladd, Administrator, Office of Apprenticeship, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room N-5311, Washington, DC 20210. Telephone: (202) 693-2796, (this is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This virtual meeting will take place via webinar and audio-video conferencing technology. Web and audio instructions

to participate in this meeting will be prominently posted on the OA homepage: <http://www.dol.gov/oa/>.

Members of the public are encouraged to attend the meeting virtually. For members of the public wishing to attend in person, a listening room with limited seating will be made available upon request. The location for the listening room will be: U.S. Department of Labor, Frances Perkins Building, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. The agenda may be updated should priority items come before the Committee between the time of this publication and the scheduled date of the ACA meeting. All meeting updates will be posted to OA's homepage: <http://www.dol.gov/oa/>. All meeting participants, whether attending virtually or in person, should submit a notice of intention to attend by Wednesday, November 7, 2012, via email to Mr. John V. Ladd at oa.administrator@dol.gov, subject line "Virtual ACA Meeting." The webinar will be limited to 200 participants, unless OA receives more than 200 submissions to attend. If individuals have special needs and/or disabilities that will require special accommodations, please contact Kenya Huckaby on (202) 693-3795 no later than Wednesday, November 7, 2012.

Any member of the public who wishes to file written data or comments pertaining to the agenda may do so by sending the data or comments to Mr. John V. Ladd via email at oa.administrator@dol.gov, subject line "Virtual ACA Meeting," or submitting to the Office of Apprenticeship, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-5311, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. Such submissions will be included in the record for the meeting if received by Wednesday, November 7, 2012.

Purpose of the Meeting and Topics To Be Discussed

The primary purpose of the meeting is to provide the ACA with an opportunity to reconvene after the summit honoring the 75th anniversary of the National Apprenticeship Act, finalize their recommendations to the Secretary of Labor, and begin to proactively develop implementation strategies for the

upcoming term. The meeting agenda will include the following:

- Improving Completion Rates
- Final Recommendations and Report to the Secretary
- Pre-Apprenticeship Update
- Community Based Organizations (CBO) White Paper
- Efforts to Improve Opportunities for Veterans
- Sector Caucus Breakout Sessions and Report Outs
- Annual Outlook: Finalize Workgroups and Implementation Strategies for Fiscal Year (FY) 2013
- Other Matters of Interest to the Apprenticeship Community
- Public Comment

Any member of the public who wishes to speak at the meeting should indicate the nature of the intended presentation and the amount of time needed by furnishing a written statement to the Designated Federal Official, Mr. John V. Ladd, by Wednesday, November 7, 2012. The Chairperson will announce at the beginning of the meeting the extent to which time will permit the granting of such requests.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of October, 2012.

Jane Oates,*Assistant Secretary for the Employment and Training Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2012-25121 Filed 10-11-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FR-P**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training
Administration****[TA-W-81,351]****Truseal Technologies, Inc., A Division of Quanex Building Products Corporation, Barbourville, Kentucky; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration**

On April 27, 2012, the Department of Labor issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of Truseal Technologies, a Division of Quanex Building Products Corporation, Barbourville, Kentucky (subject firm). The subject firm produces flashing used in building construction

and sealants used in window and door products and photovoltaic panels. Workers are not separately identifiable by article produced.

The negative determination was based on the Department's findings of no subject firm sales or production declines and no shift of production to a foreign country.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The request for reconsideration alleges that the subject firm has shifted to Germany the production of articles like or directly competitive with the flashing and sealant produced by the subject firm and that this information was provided by a company official.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department received confirmation from the subject firm of no shift to (or acquisition from) a foreign country the production of articles like or directly competitive with the flashing and sealant produced by the subject firm. Rather, the subject firm consolidated production to an existing, affiliated domestic facility.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department also contacted the company official identified in the request for reconsideration. The company official clarified that, while the subject firm does have a facility in Germany, there was no shift in production to any facility than the Cambridge, Ohio facility and the workers who filed the request for reconsideration had misunderstood him.

Previously-submitted information revealed that subject firm employment, sales, and production did not decline prior to the plant closure in August 2012. Rather, employment, sales, and production increased in 2011 from 2010 levels.

Therefore, after careful review of previously-submitted information, the request for reconsideration, and information obtained during reconsideration investigation, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After careful reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Truseal Technologies, a Division of Quanex Building Products Corporation, Barbourville, Kentucky.

Signed in Washington, DC on this 27th day of September, 2012.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2012-25136 Filed 10-11-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-80,525]

Long Elevator & Machine Company, Inc., Including Workers Whose Wages Were Reported Through Kone, Inc., Riverton, IL; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On May 21, 2012, the Department of Labor issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for workers and former workers of Long Elevator & Machine Company, Inc., including workers whose wages were reported through Kone, Inc., Riverton, Illinois (hereafter referred to as Long Elevator & Machine Company or the subject firm). The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 6, 2012 (77 FR 33490). The workers' firm was engaged in activities related to the supply of elevator production and repair services. The subject worker group was engaged in activities related to the supply of elevator repair services, which included production of repair parts (elevator component parts).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on no shift in production of elevator

component parts to a foreign country and no increased imports of elevator component parts (or like or directly competitive articles). Rather, the supply of elevator repair services and production of elevator components at the subject firm was consolidated to another facility within the United States by the parent company, Kone, Inc.

In the request for reconsideration, a worker alleged that the subject firm's parent company had shifted abroad the production of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at the subject firm facility of Long Elevator & Machine Company.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department clarified information provided by workers, sought confirmation of previously-submitted information from the subject firm, and obtained new information from the subject firm.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation confirmed that neither the subject firm nor its parent company shifted to (or acquired from) a foreign country the production of articles like or directly competitive with the elevator component parts produced by the subject workers and that neither the subject firm nor its parent company shifted to (or acquired from) a foreign country the supply of services like or directly competitive with the repair services supplied by the subject workers.

Because each component part is specific to an elevator and the replacement parts produced at the Riverton, Illinois facility are for existing elevators, the component parts used in new elevators are not directly competitive with those for repaired elevators.

Although Kone, Inc. has facilities abroad which produce new elevators for installation, elevators are not like or directly competitive with elevator parts because component parts are not like or directly competitive with finished articles (elevators). The subject firm confirmed that component parts which are like or directly competitive with those formerly produced at the Riverton, Illinois facility are produced at other domestic facilities.

Therefore, after careful review of existing information, the request for reconsideration, and new information obtained during the reconsideration investigation, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After careful reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for