be enacted in fiscal year 2013. To ensure that USDA agencies and staff offices and the Forest Service are in a position to continue compliance with the appropriations restrictions for as long as they remain in effect, OCIO is issuing this notice to effectuate a formal three year clearance of the information collection request. Should the appropriations restrictions become ineffective or not be continued during the three year clearance period, this information request will be cancelled at such time as it is no longer required.

The AD–3030 and AD–3030–FS forms will effectuate compliance with the appropriations restrictions by requiring all corporate applicants to represent at the time of application for a non-procurement program whether they have any felony convictions or tax delinquencies that would prevent USDA or the Forest Service from doing business with them. Corporations include, but are not limited to, any entity that has filed articles of incorporation in one of the 50 States, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States. Corporations include both for profit and non-profit entities. The AD–3031 and AD–3031–FS require an affirmative representation that corporate awardees for non-procurement transactions do not have any felony convictions or tax delinquencies. The AD 3030/3030–FS are required at the time of application and the AD 3031/3031–FS are required at the time of award. If the application and award process are a single step, the agency or staff office may require both forms to be filed at the same time.

Collection of this information is necessary to ensure USDA agencies and staff offices and Forest Service comply with the appropriations restrictions prohibiting the Government from doing business with corporations with felony convictions and/or tax delinquencies. The AD 3030/3030–FS are required at the time of application and the AD 3031/3031–FS are required at the time of award. If the application and award process are a single step, the agency or staff office may require both forms to be filed at the same time.

Collection of this information is necessary to ensure USDA agencies and staff offices and Forest Service comply with the appropriations restrictions prohibiting the Government from doing business with corporations with felony convictions and/or tax delinquencies.

**Estimated burden:** Public reporting burden for this information collection is estimated to average 15 minutes per response.

**Frequency of Collection:** Other:

- Corporations—AD–3030/3030–FS—each time they apply to participate in a multitude of USDA non-procurement programs; Awardees—AD–3031/3031–FS—each time they receive an award in USDA non-procurement programs.

- Respondents: Corporate applicants and awardees for USDA non-procurement programs, including grants, cooperative agreements, loans, loan guarantees, some memoranda of understanding, and non-procurement contracts.

**Estimated number of Annual Respondents:** 741,544.

**Estimated number of Responses per Respondent:** 2.75.

**Estimated Total Annual Responses:** 2,039,246.

**Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours on Respondents:** 529,463.

We are requesting comments on all aspects of this information collection to help us to:

1. Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agencies and Staff offices, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, technological and other forms of information technology collection methods.

All responses to this notice, including names and addresses when provided, will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Cheryl L. Cook, Chief Information Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.


**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Forest Service**

**Travel Management Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS), Eldorado National Forest, El Dorado County, CA**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare a supplemental environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** In March 2008, the U.S. Forest Service completed the Eldorado National Forest Public Wheeled Motorized Travel Management Final Environmental Impact Statement (ENF TM FEIS) and Record of Decision (ROD). The 2008 TM FEIS and ROD designated roads and trails to be open for public motor vehicle use and prohibited cross country travel. In 2009 a complaint was filed with the Eastern District Federal Court (Court Case No. 2:09–CV–02523–LKK–JFM). In its opinion dated May 26, 2011, the Court found the Forest Service failed to comply with the National Forest Management Act (“NFMA”) in connection with its analysis and designation of routes encountering meadows. In particular, the court found that the Forest Service had designated 42 routes through meadows which was inconsistent with certain standards and guidelines in both the Forest’s 1989 Land and Resource Management Plan (LRMP) and standards and guidelines within the 2004 Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment (SNFPA), which amended the ENF LRMP. The Court pointed out that the error in the agency’s Travel Management Decision was limited to 42 routes designated for public wheeled motorized travel that have some segment(s) that go through meadows.

The purpose of the current analysis is to comply with the subsequent court order to reconsider that portion of the Travel Management Decision that pertains to the Riparian Conservation Objective (RCO) #2 for Standard and Guideline #101 pertaining to the meadows on the 42 routes, and to determine whether public wheeled motor vehicle use will be allowed on the portions of those routes that were closed by Court Order.

**DATES:** Comments concerning the scope of the analysis must be received by November 7, 2012. The draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS) is expected in December 2012, and the final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) is expected in July, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to Kathryn Hardy, Forest Supervisor, Eldorado National Forest, 100 Forni Road, Placerville, CA 95667, Attention: Travel Management SEIS.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Diana Erickson, TM SEIS Project Leader, 100 Forni Road, Placerville, CA 95667, or by telephone at 530–621–5214. More detailed information about the project may also be found at http://www.fs.usda.gov/eldorado/.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Purpose and Need for Action**

There is a need for compliance with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California Case No. 2:09–CV–02523–LKK–JFM, Court Order filed 07/31/12 in which the Court ‘set aside and remanded for reconsideration in light of the applicable law that portion of the Forest Service’s Final Environmental Impact Statement relating to the Riparian Conservation Guideline #100 pertaining to the meadows on the 42 routes designated for public wheeled motorized travel that have some segment(s) that go through meadows.**
Objective ("RCO") Analysis for RCO #2 Standards and Guidelines #100 pertaining to the meadows on the 42 routes.

There is a need for determining whether public wheeled motor vehicle use will be allowed on the portions of the 42 specific routes designated for such use in the Eldorado National Forest Public Wheeled Motorized Travel Management EIS Record of Decision, March 2008 that were found by the court to be inconsistent with the ENF LRMP Standards and Guidelines, as amended by SNFPA.

Proposed Action

The Forest Service proposes the following designations for the portions of the 42 routes that were closed by Court Order:

1. Designate for public motorized use 9 routes that field surveys conducted in 2011 and 2012 determined did not cross meadows, as defined in the 1988 ENF LRMP.
2. Designate for public motorized use 12 routes where field surveys determined the meadow crossings meet Standard and Guideline (S&G) No. 100.
3. Designate for public motorized use a portion of one route where the field survey determined a logical closure point before crossing a meadow.
4. Amend the Eldorado National Forest Plan as amended by SNFPA S&G No. 100 to allow continued public motorized use on 19 routes that field surveys determined to not currently meet S&G 100, and are needed to meet other purposes, and designate those routes for public motorized use.
5. Amend the Eldorado National Forest Plan as amended by SNFPA S&G 100 to allow continued public motorized use on a portion of one route up to a logical closure point after the main destination before crossing additional meadows that the field survey determined to not meet S&G 100, and designate that portion for public motorized use.

Responsible Official

Kathryn D. Hardy, Forest Supervisor of the Eldorado National Forest will be the Responsible Official for the project.

Nature of Decision To Be Made

The decision to be made is whether to adopt and implement the proposed action, an alternative to the proposed action, or take no action to address the 42 routes.

Scoping Process

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process, which guides the development of the environmental impact statement.

It is important that the reviewers provide their comments at such times and in such manner that they are useful to the agency's preparation of the environmental impact statement. Therefore, comments should be provided prior to the close of the comment period and should clearly articulate the reviewer's concerns and contentions.

Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be part of the public record for this proposed action. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered, however:

Three public Informational Open Houses will be held:

- Monday, October 22, 2012 (4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.) at Turtle Rock Community Center, 17300 State Route 89, Markleeville, CA;
- Thursday, October 25, 2012 (3:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.) at Placerville Inn, Best Western, 6850 Greenleaf Drive, Placerville, California; and
- Monday, October 29, 2012 (3:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.) at the Jackson Civic Center, 33 Broadway, Jackson, California.


Kathryn D. Hardy,
Forest Supervisor.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service


AGENCY: Payette National Forest, Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed New Fee Site.

SUMMARY: The Payette National Forest is proposing to charge a $85 fee at Burgdorf Rental Cabin from June 1 to October 15 and a $65 fee from October 16 to June 1 with no water available; a $65 fee at the Paddy Flat Rental Cabin; and a $65 fee at the Lake Fork Rental Cabin. Fees are assessed based on the level of amenities and services provided, cost of operations and maintenance, and market assessment. The fee is proposed and will be determined upon further analysis and public comment. Funds from fees would be used for the continued operation and maintenance and improvements of these rental cabins.

An analysis of the nearby private rental cabins with similar amenities shows that the proposed fees are reasonable and typical of similar sites in the area.

DATES: Comments will be accepted through March 30, 2013. New fees would begin July 2013.

ADDRESSES: Keith Lannom, Forest Supervisor, Payette National Forest, 800 West Lakeside Avenue, McCall, Idaho 83638.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jane Cropp, Recreation Fee Coordinator, 208–634–0757. Information about proposed fee changes can also be found on the Payette National Forest Web site: http://www.fs.usda.gov/payette.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Recreation Lands Enhancement Act (Title VII, Pub. L. 108–447) directed the Secretary of Agriculture to publish a six month advance notice in the Federal Register whenever new recreation fee areas are established.

Once public involvement is complete, these new fees will be reviewed by a Recreation Resource Advisory Committee prior to a final decision and implementation.

Dated: October 2, 2012.

Keith B. Lannom,
Forest Supervisor, Payette National Forest.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Extension of the Comment Period: The Village at Wolf Creek Access Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Extension of Comment Period


Dan S. Dallas,
Forest Supervisor/Center Manager.