alternative, as described below:

Alternative A would leave in place the current tide control structure at Chequessett Neck Road and continue management of the estuary without restoration.

Alternative B would employ an adaptive management strategy to restore tides in the lower reach of the Herring River up to a maximum high tide of approximately six feet. At this tide level flood mitigation of sensitive properties can be achieved without a secondary dike at Mill Creek.

Alternative C would employ an adaptive management strategy to restore tides up to the maximum Chequessett Neck Road dike capacity (10 foot vertical tide gate opening) with a new dike at Mill Creek. Mill Creek would remain unrestored, but no new flood proofing measures would be needed in Mill Creek.

Alternative D would employ an adaptive management strategy to restore tides up to the maximum Chequessett Neck Road dike capacity (10 foot vertical tide gate opening) with a new dike at Mill Creek. Mill Creek tides would be controlled by this secondary structure to the same level as that of Alternative B, the maximum level that can be achieved after flood proofing several low-lying properties. Tidal restoration would be maximized in all other sub-basins.

For Alternatives B and D, two options are considered for mitigating project impacts to the Chequessett Yacht & Country Club (CYCC) golf course, a private golf course in Mill Creek: (1) raise low-lying fairways a minimum of two feet above proposed inundation levels, or (2) relocate low-lying fairways to an undeveloped upland area owned by CYCC.

Alternative D, with the option to raise existing low-lying fairways a minimum of two feet above proposed inundation levels, has been identified as the NPS Preferred Alternative. This alternative best fulfills the restoration objectives of the project while mitigating adverse impacts to developed properties.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: August 8, 2012.

Michael A. Caldwell,
Acting Regional Director, National Park Service, Northeast Region.

[FR Doc. 2012–24888 Filed 10–11–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4100–WV–P
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 18, 2012 (77 FR 42329), we published a notice of intent (NOI) to prepare an EIS for the Four Corners Power Plant and Navajo Mine Energy Project. The NOI included public comments on the scope of the EIS and significant issues that should be addressed in the EIS. The close of the comment period for the NOI was September 17, 2012. In response to requests for an extension of the comment period, we are granting a 45 day extension from September 17, 2012 to November 1, 2012. All comments received between September 17, 2012, and November 1, 2012, will be considered.

The July 18, 2012, NOI listed the dates and times of the public scoping meetings and discussed the alternatives and related impacts under consideration. To summarize, the EIS will analyze the impacts for the BHP Pinabete Permit and for the Navajo Mine Permit Renewal, both of which are located on the Navajo Reservation in San Juan County, New Mexico. The EIS will also analyze the impacts for the Apache Counties in Arizona. In addition, the EIS will analyze impacts for the Public Service Company of New Mexico transmission line rights-of-way renewal associated with the FCPP and located on the Navajo Reservation in New Mexico.

Availability of Comments

OSM will make comments, including name of respondent, address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information, available for public review during normal business hours. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments may not have standing to appeal the subsequent decision.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—will be publicly available. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: August 30, 2012.

Bill Clark,
Acting Regional Director, Western Region.

BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–772]

Certain Polyimide Films, Products Containing Same, and Related Methods Commission Determination To Affirm the Final Initial Determination With Respect to the Issues on Review and To Terminate the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to affirm, as modified, the final initial determination (“final ID” or “ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) in the above-captioned investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and has terminated the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.


On February 23, 2012, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID (Order No. 26) that Kaneka has satisfied the importation requirement with respect to all versions of the following SKC products: IN30 (75um), IN70 (19um), IN 70 (25um), IN70 (50um), IF30 (75um), IF75 (25um), IF75 (50um), LV200, and LV300.

On February 27, 2012, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID (Order No. 25) terminating the investigation with respect to claims 4–5 of the ’704 patent and claims 4, 11, 16, 17, and 20 of the ’961 patent. An evidentiary hearing was held from March 12, 2012, to March 16, 2012.

On May 10, 2012, the ALJ issued a final ID finding no violation of section 337 in the above-identified investigation. Specifically, the ALJ found that there was no violation with respect to the ’866 patent, the ’639 patent, the ’704 patent, or the ’961 patent by SKC. The ALJ also issued a recommended determination on remedy and bonding.


On August 1, 2012, the Commission issued notice of its determination to partially review the final ID. 77 FR 47092 (August 7, 2012). With respect to the ’866 patent, the Commission determined to review the finding that Kaneka does not satisfy the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement. Id. With respect to the ’961 patent, the Commission determined to review the ALJ’s finding that certain of the accused products infringe and certain of the accused products do not