

electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on October 13, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Openwave Systems Inc. of Redwood City, California. 76 FR 63657-58 (Oct. 13, 2011). 76 FR 54252 (Aug. 31, 2011). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain devices for mobile data communication by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,233,608; 6,289,212; 6,405,037; 6,430,409; and 6,625,447. The notice of investigation named the following entities as respondents: Apple Inc. of Cupertino, California; Research In Motion Ltd. of Ontario, Canada; and Research In Motion Corp. of Irving, Texas.

On August 17, 2012, complainant filed a renewed motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation. Respondents filed an opposition to the motion on August 29, 2012. The Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of complainant's original motion on July 2, 2012, but did not file a response to the renewed motion.

On September 6, 2012, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting in part the motion. The ALJ found that, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(b) (19 CFR 210.14(b)), good cause exists to amend the complaint and notice of investigation. None of the parties petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 1, 2012.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.*

[FR Doc. 2012-24499 Filed 10-3-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-790]

### Certain Coenzyme Q10 Products and Methods of Making Same; Notice of Request for Statements on the Public Interest

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the presiding administrative law judge has issued a Final Initial Determination and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bond in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission is soliciting comments on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief, specifically a limited exclusion order with respect to the accused products of respondents Zhejiang Medicine Co., Ltd., ZMC-USA, L.L.C., Xiamen Kingdomway Group Company, Pacific Rainbow International, Mitsubishi Gas and Chemical Company, Mitsubishi Gas Chemical America, Inc., and Shenzhou Biology and Technology Co., Ltd.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lisa R. Barton, Acting Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record on this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 provides that if the Commission finds a violation it shall exclude the articles concerned from the United States:

Unless, after considering the effect of such exclusion upon the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, and United States consumers, it finds

that such articles should not be excluded from entry.

19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1). A similar provision applies to cease and desist orders. 19 U.S.C. 1337(f)(1).

The Commission is interested in further development of the record on the public interest in these investigations. Accordingly, members of the public are invited to file submissions of no more than five (5) pages, inclusive of attachments, concerning the public interest in light of the administrative law judge's Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bond issued in this investigation on September 27, 2012. Comments should address whether issuance of an exclusion order and a cease and desist order in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the recommended orders are used in the United States;

(ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the recommended orders;

(iii) Identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) Indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the recommended exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) Explain how the exclusion order and cease and desist order would impact consumers in the United States.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business on October 29, 2012.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadline stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337-TA-790") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing

Procedures, [http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed\\_reg\\_notices/rules/handbook\\_on\\_electronic\\_filing.pdf](http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf).) Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with any confidential filing. All non-confidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.50).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 28, 2012.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.*

[FR Doc. 2012-24498 Filed 10-3-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-12-027]

### Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** October 11, 2012 at 9:30 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

1. Agendas for future meetings: none
2. Minutes
3. Ratification List
4. Vote in Inv. Nos. 731-TA-671-673 (Third Review) (Silicomanganese from Brazil, China, and Ukraine). The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determinations and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before October 24, 2012.
5. Outstanding action jackets: none

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 2, 2012.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.*

[FR Doc. 2012-24583 Filed 10-2-12; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On September 28, 2012, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of Colorado in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Elm Ridge Exploration Company LLC*, Civil Action No. 12-cv-02584.

The Consent Decree resolves alleged violations of the Clean Air Act's hazardous air pollutant control program at 42 U.S.C. 7412, and its Title V federal operating permits program at 42 U.S.C. 7661 at Elm Ridge's Ignacio Gas Treating Plant in La Plata County, Colorado, within the exterior boundaries of the Southern Ute Indian Reservation. The Consent Decree requires: (1) Payment of a civil penalty of \$207,150; (2) performance of a \$150,000 SEP to replace 50 residential wood stoves on the Reservation with cleaner wood or pellet stoves; (3) \$67,850 paid to EPA's Title V fee fund to recover unpaid Title V permit fees; (4) mitigation of past violations by replacing four engines not presently covered by the HAP regulations with newer, cleaner engines at a cost of approximately \$1,050,000; (5) injunctive provisions to ensure forward compliance with the Act's HAP control program on all eight engines at the Facility; (6) replacement of existing instrument gas systems with instrument air systems at a cost of \$125,000; and (7) a requirement that Elm Ridge submit an updated Title V permit application to the Southern Ute Indian Tribe, which has recently obtained delegated Title V authority.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Elm Ridge Exploration Company LLC*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-

10362. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email ....	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to:

Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$10.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

**Robert Brook,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2012-24511 Filed 10-3-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On September 27, 2012, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of Nevada in the lawsuit entitled *United States, et al. v. Atlantic Richfield, et al.*, Civil Action No. 3: 12-civ-524.

The Consent Decree resolves claims brought by the State of Nevada on behalf of the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (“NDEP”) and the Nevada Department of Wildlife (“NDOW”), the United States, on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), the United States Department of Interior (“DOI”) Bureau of Indian Affairs and Fish and Wildlife Service (“BIA” and “FWS” respectively), the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (“USFS”), and the Shoshone-