The regulations prescribe design, construction, performance, and labeling requirements for electrically operated toys and children’s articles. The regulations also require manufacturers and importers of those products to develop and maintain a quality assurance program. 16 CFR 1505.4(a)(2).

Additionally, section 1505.4(a)(3) of the regulations require those firms to maintain records for 3 years, containing information about: (1) The material and production specifications and the description of the quality assurance program required by 16 CFR 1505.4(a)(2); (2) the results of all inspections and tests conducted; and (3) records of sales and distribution.

OMB approved the collection of information requirements in the regulations under control number 3041–0035. OMB’s most recent extension of approval expires on December 31, 2012. The Commission now proposes to request an extension of approval for the information collection requirements in the regulations.

The safety need for this collection of information remains. Specifically, if a manufacturer or importer distributes products that violate the requirements of the regulations, the records required by section 1505.4(a)(3) can be used by the firm and the Commission to: (i) identify specific lots or production lines of products that fail to comply with applicable requirements; and (ii) notify distributors and retailers in the event the products are subject to recall.

B. Estimated Burden

The Commission staff estimates that about 40 firms are subject to the testing and recordkeeping requirements of the regulations. Each one may have an average of 10 products each year, for which testing and recordkeeping would be required, resulting in approximately 400 records. Commission staff estimates that the tests required by the regulations can be performed on one product in 16 hours and that recordkeeping can be performed on one product in 4 hours. Thus, the estimated testing burden hours are 6,400 (16 hours × 400), and the estimated recordkeeping burden hours are 1,600 hours (400 records × 4 hours).

Commission staff estimates that each firm may spend 30 minutes or less per model on the labeling requirements. Assuming each firm produces 10 new models each year, the estimated labeling burden hours are 200 hours (40 firms × 10 models per firm × 0.5 hours per model = 200 hours) per year. The estimated total burden hours for recordkeeping and labeling are 1,800 hours for all firms (1,600 hours for recordkeeping + 200 hours for labeling).

CPSC staff estimates that the hourly wage for the time required to perform the required testing and recordkeeping is approximately $61.75 (Bureau of Labor Statistics: total compensation for management, professional, and related workers in goods-producing private industries: http://www.bls.gov/ncs, and the hourly wage for the time required to maintain the labeling requirements is approximately $27.64 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, total compensation for all sales and office workers in goods-producing, private industries: http://www.bls.gov/ncs). The annualized total cost to the industry is estimated to be $444,952 (6,400 × $61.75 + 1,800 × $27.64).

Commission staff will expend less than one staff month reviewing records required to be maintained for electrically operated toys and children’s articles. The annual cost to the federal government of the collection of information in these regulations is estimated to be less than $14,338. This estimate uses an annual total compensation of $119,238 (the equivalent of a GS–14 step 5 employee, with an additional 30.7 percent added for benefits.)

C. Request for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

—Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission’s functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
—Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
—Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
—Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic, or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: October 1, 2012.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.
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CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC–2013–0001]

Proposed Extension of Approval of Information Collection; Comment Request—Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) requests comments on a proposed request for extension of approval of a collection of information from manufacturers and importers of residential garage door operators. The collection of information consists of testing and recordkeeping requirements in certification regulations implementing the Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators (16 CFR part 1211). The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice, before requesting approval of this extension of a collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

DATES: The Office of the Secretary must receive written comments not later than December 3, 2012.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC–2013–0001, by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

To ensure timely processing of comments, the Commission is no longer accepting comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except through www.regulations.gov.

Written Submissions

Submit written submissions in the following way:
Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for paper, disk, or CD–ROM submissions), preferably in five copies, to: Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 502, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504–7923.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. All comments received may be posted.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information contact: Robert H. Squibb, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504–7815, or by email to: rsquibb@cpsc.gov.

FOR ACCESS TO THE DOCKET: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to http://www.regulations.gov.

S. Record of Decision for the U.S. Marine Corps Basewide Water Infrastructure Project at Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton, California

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Record of Decision for the U.S. Marine Corps Basewide Water Infrastructure Project at Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton, California

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of record of decision.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, 42 United States Code (U.S.C.) Section 4332(2)(c), the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] parts 1500–1508), the Department of the Navy (DoN) NEPA regulations (32 CFR part 775), and the Marine Corps Environmental Compliance and Protection Manual (Marine Corps Order P5090.2A, Change 2), the DoN announces its decision to upgrade and improve the Basewide water infrastructure at Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton, California (MCCBP). More specifically, the DoN has decided to implement Alternative 5, the Preferred Alternative of the Basewide Water Infrastructure Improvements Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The DoN has prepared the Record of Decision (ROD) to address the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) findings and to record the decision to implement Alternative 5. The ROD also includes the Decision Memorandum (DM).