

	Period of review
REPUBLIC OF KOREA: Polyvinyl Alcohol, A-580-850	10/1/11-9/30/12
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Barium Carbonate, A-570-880	10/1/11-9/30/12
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Barium Chloride, A-570-007	10/1/11-9/30/12
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide, A-570-919	10/1/11-9/30/12
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Helical Spring Lock Washers, A-570-822	10/1/11-9/30/12
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Polyvinyl Alcohol, A-570-879	10/1/11-9/30/12
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Steel Wire Garment Hangers, A-570-918	10/1/11-9/30/12
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Rod, A-274-804	10/1/11-9/30/12
UKRAINE: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod, A-823-812	10/1/11-9/30/12
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
BRAZIL: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod, C-351-833	1/1/11-12/31/11
IRAN: Roasted In Shell Pistachios, C-507-601	1/1/11-12/31/11
Suspension Agreements	
RUSSIA: Uranium, A-821-802	10/1/11-9/30/12

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review. In addition, a domestic interested party or an interested party described in section 771(9)(B) of the Act must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters.² If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Please note that, for any party the Department was unable to locate in prior segments, the Department will not accept a request for an administrative review of that party absent new information as to the party's location. Moreover, if the interested party who files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an

² If the review request involves a non-market economy and the parties subject to the review request do not qualify for separate rates, all other exporters of subject merchandise from the non-market economy country who do not have a separate rate will be covered by the review as part of the single entity of which the named firms are a part.

explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), the Department has clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders. See also the Import Administration Web site at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>.

All requests must be filed electronically in Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") on the IA ACCESS Web site at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>. See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011). Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of October 2012. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of October 2012, a request for review of entries covered by an order,

finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: September 13, 2012.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012-24123 Filed 9-28-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

Background

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended (“the Act”), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation

suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

Upcoming Sunset Reviews for November 2012

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in November 2012 and will appear in that month’s Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Review.

	Department contact
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from China (A–570–865) (2nd Review)	Jennifer Moats, (202) 482–5047.
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India (A–533–820) (2nd Review)	David Goldberger, (202) 482–4136.
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Indonesia (A–560–812) (2nd Review)	David Goldberger, (202) 482–4136.
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Taiwan (A–583–835) (2nd Review)	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Thailand (A–549–817) (2nd Review)	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Ukraine (A–823–811) (2nd Review)	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India (C–533–821) (2nd Review)	David Goldberger, (202) 482–4136.
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Indonesia (C–560–813) (2nd Review)	David Goldberger, (202) 482–4136.
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Thailand (C–549–818) (2nd Review)	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.

Suspended Investigations

No Sunset Review of suspended investigations is scheduled for initiation in November 2012.

The Department’s procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department’s conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department’s Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year (“Sunset”) Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998). The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: September 12, 2012.
Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
 [FR Doc. 2012–24121 Filed 9–28–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–863]

Honey From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
SUMMARY: On July 2, 2012, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated the second five-year (“sunset”) review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”).¹ On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties, as well as a lack of response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the antidumping duty order, pursuant to

section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 1, 2012.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Katie Marksberry, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7906.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Background

On July 2, 2012, the Department initiated the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c)(2).² The Department received a notice of intent to participate from American Honey Producers Association (“AHPA”) and the Sioux Honey Association (“SHA”) (collectively, “the domestic interested parties”) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) and (E) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic like product in the United States.

² See *Initiation*.
³ See Letter from domestic interested parties, re: Honey from the People’s Republic of China, dated July 17, 2012.

¹ See *Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review*, 77 FR 39218 (July 2, 2012) (“*Initiation*”).