Docket Number: M–2012–007–M. 
Mine: Shafter Mine, MSHA I.D. No. 41–02905, located in Presidio County, Texas.
Regulation Affected: 30 CFR 49.2(c) (Availability of mine rescue teams).
Modification Request: The petitioner requests a modification of the existing standard to permit a miner with three months experience as a team member, instead of a miner with one year of experience.

Further, the petitioner states that due to the remote location of the mine it has become burdensome to keep two certified teams with five members and one alternate. The shortest response time for the next closest mine rescue team is 4 hours for a team in New Mexico and 6 hours for a team in Texas. The petitioner states that surface and underground personnel who do not have one year mining experience would have the following general certifications:
1. DOT-First Responder, EMT, EMT–1, Paramedics.
2. Certified surface firefighters.
3. Personnel would still be trained with a Certified MSHA Instructor within the three months.
4. With small and remote mines, three months would be an adequate amount of time for miners (Mine Rescue Team Members) to learn the mine and the mining methods.

The petitioner asserts that the alternative method will at all times provide the same measure of protection as the existing standard.

4. Air nozzles will be guarded to eliminate the possibility of incidental contact that could create mechanical damage to the air nozzles during the clothes cleaning process.
9. The petitioner will conduct periodic maintenance checks of the booth according to the recommendations contained in the Manufacturer’s Instruction Manual.
10. The air receiver tank supplying air to the manifold system will be of sufficient volume to permit no less than 20 seconds of continuous clothes cleaning time.
11. An appropriate hazard warning sign will be posted on the booth that states, at a minimum, Compressed Air and Respirable Dust.
12. A pressure relief valve designed for the booth’s air reservoir will be installed.
Type Of Meeting: Open. 
Contact Person: Deborah Young, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 505, Arlington, Virginia 22203 703/292–8300.
Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice, recommendations and counsel on major goals and policies pertaining to engineering programs and activities.

Agenda
Wednesday, October 17, 2012—8:00 a.m.–5:30 p.m.
• Division of Civil, Mechanical, and Manufacturing Innovation (CMMI) Overview
  • CMMI Committee of Visitors Report
  • Introduction to ENG Strategic Activities
    • Panel and Discussion on Advanced Manufacturing
    • Division of Chemical, Bioengineering, Environmental, and Transport Systems (CBET) Overview
    • CBET Committee of Visitors Report
    • Panel and Discussion on Developing Next-generation Engineers
    • Future Opportunities and Challenges for Engineering

Thursday, October 18, 2012—7:30 a.m.–12:45 p.m.
• Welcome from Subra Suresh, NSF Director, and Cora Marrett, NSF Deputy Director
  • Panel and Discussion on Neuroscience and Engineering
  • Roundtable on ENG Strategic Activities and Recommendations
    • Recognition, Closing Remarks, and Wrap Up

Susanne Bolton,
Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012–23881 Filed 9–27–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
[NRC–2012–0222]
Compliance With Information Request, Flooding Hazard Reevaluation

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Draft Japan Lessons-Learned Project Directorate guidance; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) is issuing draft Japan Lessons-Learned Project Directorate Interim Staff Guidance (JLD–ISG), JLD–ISG–2012–05, “Performance of an Integrated Assessment.” This draft JLD–ISG provides guidance and clarification to assist nuclear power reactor applicants and licensees with performing an integrated assessment in response to enclosure 2 of a March 12, 2012, information request.

DATES: Comments must be filed no later than October 29, 2012. Comments received after this date will be considered, if it is practical to do so, but the NRC staff is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: You may access information and comment submissions related to this document, which the NRC possesses and are publically available, by searching on http://www.regulations.gov under Docket ID NRC–2012–0222. You may submit comments by any of the following methods:
  • Mail comments to: Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch (RADB), Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TBW–05–B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.
  • Fax comments to: RADB at 301–492–3446.

For additional direction on accessing information and submitting comments, see “Accessing Information and Submitting Comments” in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Accessing Information and Submitting Comments

A. Accessing Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2012–0222 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may access information related to this document by any of the following methods:

II. Background Information

The NRC staff developed draft JLD–ISG–2012–05 to provide guidance and clarification to assist nuclear power reactor applicants and licensees with the performance of an integrated

B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC–2012–0222 in the subject line of your comment submission, in order to ensure that the NRC is able to make your comment submission available to the public in this docket.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information in comment submissions that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. The NRC posts all comment submissions at http://www.regulations.gov as well as entering the comment submissions into ADAMS, and the NRC does not edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information in their comment submissions that they do not want to be publicly disclosed. Your request should state that the NRC will not edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment submissions into ADAMS.