time would enable the newly hired consultant team to better assist the federal agencies in reviewing and providing comments on the proposed study plan, collaborate with AEA and other licensing participants on the extensive studies, and meet internal agency document review periods. The revised schedule results in AEA filing its revised study plan by December 14, 2012. AEA also requests that comments on the revised study plan be due on January 18, 2013, rather than on December 28, 2012 to avoid the holiday period, making Commission’s study plan determination due on February 1, 2013.

Due to complexity of the issues and the large number of proposed studies, and because the extension of time will not delay processing of the license application, the due date for all participants to file comments on the proposed study plan is extended until November 17, 2012, AEA’s revised study plan is due December 14, 2012, and comments on the revised study plan are due January 18, 2013. These revisions to the schedule are granted pursuant to section 5.29(f)(2) of the Commission’s regulations. This extension will facilitate AEA’s unique collaborative approach to develop study plans.

Dated: September 17, 2012.
Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–23421 Filed 9–21–12; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of FERC Staff Attendance at Entergy/Cleco Teleconference on Order No. 1000

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) hereby gives notice that members of its staff may participate in the teleconference noted below. Their participation is part of the Commission’s ongoing outreach efforts.

Entergy/Cleco Teleconference on Order No. 1000 Compliance

September 19, 2012 (2–4pm EDT)

The discussions may address matters at issue in the following proceedings:
Docket No. QA07–32, Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. EL00–66, Louisiana Public Service Commission v. Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. EL01–88, Louisiana Public Service Commission v. Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. EL07–52, Louisiana Public Service Commission v. Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. EL08–60, Ameren Services Co. v. Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. EL09–43, Arkansas Public Service Commission v. Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. EL09–50, Louisiana Public Service Commission v. Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. EL09–61, Louisiana Public Service Commission v. Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. EL11–34, Midwest Independent System Transmission Operator, Inc.
Docket No. EL11–63, Louisiana Public Service Commission v. Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER05–1065, Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER07–682, Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER07–956, Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER08–1056, Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER09–833, Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER09–1224, Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER10–794, Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER10–1350, Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER10–1676, Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER10–3357, Entergy Arkansas, Inc.
Docket No. ER11–2131, Entergy Arkansas, Inc.
Docket No. ER11–2132, Entergy Gulf States, Louisiana, LLC
Docket No. ER11–2133, Entergy Gulf States, Louisiana, LLC
Docket No. ER11–2134, Entergy Mississippi, Inc.
Docket No. ER11–2135, Entergy New Orleans, Inc.
Docket No. ER11–2136, Entergy Texas, Inc.
Docket No. ER11–3156, Entergy Arkansas, Inc.
Docket No. ER11–3657, Entergy Arkansas, Inc.
Docket No. ER12–1378–000, Cleco Power LLC
Docket No. ER12–1379–000, Cleco Power LLC
Docket No. ER12–2390, Entergy Services, Inc.

The meeting is open to the public. For more information, contact Peter Nagler, Office of Energy Market Regulation, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission at (202) 502–6083 or peter.nagler@ferc.gov.

Dated: September 17, 2012.
Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–23427 Filed 9–21–12; 8:45 am]
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ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY


AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public of its finding that the volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) motor vehicle emissions budget (MVEBs) for the portion of York County, South Carolina that is within the Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill, North Carolina-South Carolina Area (hereafter referred to as the “bi-state Charlotte Area” or “Area”) are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. These MVEBs are included in South Carolina’s maintenance plan for the 1997 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), submitted on June 1, 2011, by the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SC DHEC). The South Carolina portion of the bi-state Charlotte Area is comprised of a portion of York County, South Carolina. On March 2, 1999, the District of Columbia Circuit Court ruled that submitted state implementation plans (SIPs) cannot be used for transportation conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of EPA’s finding, the South Carolina portion of the bi-state Charlotte Area must use the VOC and NOx MVEBs from the submitted maintenance...
plan for the Area for future conformity determinations.

**DATES:** This adequacy finding for VOC and NOx MVEBs is effective October 9, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kelly Sheckler, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, Air Quality Modeling and Transportation Section, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303. Ms. Sheckler can also be reached by telephone at (404) 562–9222, or via electronic mail at sheckler.kelly@epa.gov. The finding is available at EPA’s conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Today’s notice is simply an announcement of findings that EPA has already made. EPA Region 4 sent a letter to SC DHEC on September 5, 2012, stating that the 2013 and 2022 VOC and NOx MVEBs in the 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance plan for the South Carolina portion of the bi-state Charlotte Area (also known as York County), dated June 1, 2011, are adequate. EPA posted the availability of these MVEBs on EPA’s Web site on October 28, 2011, as part of the adequacy process, for the purpose of soliciting comments. The comment period ran from October 28, 2011, through November 28, 2011. EPA did not receive any comments in response to the adequacy posting. EPA’s findings have also been announced on EPA’s conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/ index.htm, (once there, click “Transportation Conformity” text icon, then look for “Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions”). The VOC and NOx MVEBs for York County are defined in the following table:

**York County VOC and NOx MVEBs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx MVEBs</td>
<td>11,272</td>
<td>11,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC MVEBs</td>
<td>3,699</td>
<td>3,236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990. EPA’s conformity rule, 40 CFR part 93, requires that transportation plans, programs and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a state implementation plan (SIP) means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the NAAQS.

The criteria by which the EPA determines whether a SIP’s MVEB are adequate for transportation conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e) (4). EPA has described the process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in a May 19, 1999, memorandum entitled “Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision.” EPA has followed this guidance in making this adequacy determination. This guidance is incorporated into EPA’s July 1, 2004, final rulemaking entitled “Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments for the New 8-hour Ozone and PM2.5 National Ambient Air Quality Standards and Miscellaneous Revisions for Existing Areas; Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments: Response to Court Decision and Additional Rule Changes.” See 69 FR 40004. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA’s completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA’s ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if EPA finds the MVEBs adequate, the Agency may later disapprove the SIP.

Within 24 months from the effective date of this notice, the transportation partners will need to demonstrate conformity to the new MVEBs if the demonstration has not already been made. pursuant to 40 CFR 93.104(e). See 73 FR 4419 [January 24, 2008].

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.


A. Stanley Meiburg,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 2012–23493 Filed 9–21–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**FRL–9731–4**

State Program Requirements; Application To Administer Partial National Pollutant Discharge Elimination Program; Oklahoma

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Oklahoma Department of Agriculture, Food and Forestry (ODAFF) has submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) an application for authorization of the Agriculture Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (AgPDES) program pursuant to Section 402(b) of the Clean Water Act (CWA or “the Act”). ODAFF seeks approval to administer a major category partial National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program under Section 402(n)(3) of the Act for all discharges of pollutants into waters of the United States within its jurisdiction. Today, EPA is providing public notice of ODAFF’s request for AgPDES program approval and of both a public hearing and public comment period on the State’s program approval submission. EPA will either approve or disapprove authorization of the AgPDES program after considering all comments received.

**To View or Obtain Copies of Documents:** Copies of ODAFF’s program approval submission (referred to throughout this document as ODAFF’s application) and all other documents in the official record are available for inspection from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays, at EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Ave., Dallas, Texas 75202.

A copy of ODAFF’s application is available online at the EPA Region 6 Web page http://www.epa.gov/region6/water/npdes/ok-daff/index.html. A paper copy of part of all of the State’s application may be obtained from EPA Region 6 in Dallas for a cost of $0.15 per page.

**DATES:** The public comment period on the State’s application will run from the date of publication until November 8, 2012. Comments may be submitted in paper or electronically and must be received or post-marked no later than midnight on November 8, 2012. Both an informal public meeting and a public hearing will be held in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma on October 25, 2012. The public meeting will include a presentation on the AgPDES program approval request and a question and answer session. Written, but not oral, comments for the official record will be accepted at the public meeting. The public hearing will be conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 124.12 and will provide interested parties with the opportunity to provide written and/or oral comments for the official record. The public meeting will begin at 6 p.m. The public hearing will begin at 7 p.m. Both the public meeting and the public hearing will be held at the Metro Technology Centers, Springlake Campus/Business Conference Center, Auditorium, 1900 Springlake Drive, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73111.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be sent to: Ms. Diane Smith (6WQ–NP), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,