

Nature of Decision To Be Made

If the BLM adopts the new FERC EIS for the Pacific Connector pipeline project (in Docket No. PF12-17-000), the BLM Oregon/Washington State Director will make the following decisions and determinations:

- Determine whether to amend the RMPs for the BLM Coos Bay, Roseburg, and Medford Districts and the Klamath Falls Resource Area of the Lakeview District as proposed or as described in an alternative to the Proposed Action; and

- Respond to the Pacific Connector application with concurrence of the Bureau of Reclamation and the Forest Service by issuing a right-of-way grant, granting the right-of-way with conditions, or denying the application.

If the Forest Service adopts the new FERC EIS for the Pacific Connector pipeline project (in Docket No. PF12-17-000), the Forest Supervisor of the Umpqua National Forest will make the following decisions and determinations:

- Decide whether to amend the LRMPs of the Umpqua, Rogue River, and Winema National Forests as proposed or as described in an alternative; and

- Determine the significance of the proposed amendments or alternatives in accordance with national forest planning regulation 36 CFR 219.10(f) (1982 procedures) using criteria in Forest Service Manual 1926.5.

Scoping Process: The BLM and the Forest Service seek public input on issues and planning criteria related to issuance of the Right-of-Way Grant. The BLM and the Forest Service seek public input on issues and planning criteria related to amendment of their district and forest land management plans related to the Pacific Connector pipeline project. All comments received related to actions of the BLM and the Forest Service will be considered in the preparation of land management plan amendments and issuance of a right-of-way grant for the Project.

It is important that reviewers provide their comments at such times and in such manner that they are useful to the agency's preparation of the environmental impact statement. Therefore, comments should be provided prior to the close of the comment period and should clearly articulate the reviewer's concerns and contentions. Your comments should focus on the potential changes in the goods and services that are provided by affected BLM districts and National Forests, reasonable alternatives, and measures to avoid or lessen any adverse changes in the goods and services

produced. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be.

Currently Identified Issues Specific to Proposed BLM and Forest Service Land Management Plan Amendments

The purpose of the public scoping process is to determine relevant issues that will influence the scope of the environmental analysis, including alternatives, and guide the plan amendment process. Preliminary issues for the plan amendments have been identified by BLM and Forest Service personnel, Federal, State, and local agencies, and other stakeholders. The issues include:

- Effects of proposed amendments on Survey and Manage species and their habitat;
- Effects of proposed amendments on contiguous existing or recruitment habitat for marbled murrelets within 0.5 mile of occupied marbled murrelet sites;
- Effects of proposed amendments on habitat in Known Owl Activity Centers, and
- Effects of the proposed amendments on Late Successional Reserves.

Preliminary BLM and Forest Service planning criteria include:

- Evaluation of significance of proposed amendments to Forest Service LRMPs in the context of LRMP goals and objectives. Whether a plan amendment is significant is guided by several factors, including the timing and duration of the proposed change, the location and size of the project, and how the proposed change could alter multiple-use goals and objectives for long-term land and resource management.

- Likelihood of persistence of affected Survey and Manage species within the range of the northern spotted owl.

- Amount and quality of marbled murrelet habitat affected by construction and operation of the Pacific Connector pipeline project.
- Amount and quality of habitat in Known Owl Activity Centers affected by construction and operation of the Pacific Connector pipeline project.
- Functionality of LSRs.
- Impacts on Connectivity and Diversity Blocks on BLM lands.

Administrative Review of Bureau of Land Management and Forest Service Decisions To Amend Land Management Plans and Appeal of BLM Response to Right-of-Way Grant Application

Decisions by the BLM and the Forest Service to amend land management plans are subject to administrative review. In accordance with 36 CFR 219.59, the Forest Service has elected to use the administrative review

procedures (otherwise known as protest procedures) of the BLM. Administrative objections to Forest Service land management plan amendment decisions and protests of BLM land management plan amendment decisions may be filed under the provisions of 43 CFR 1610.5-2. The BLM's decision on the application for a right-of-way grant may be appealed to the Interior Board of Land Appeals in accordance with 43 CFR part 4.

The submission of timely and specific comments can affect a reviewer's ability to participate in subsequent administrative appeal or judicial review. Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be part of the public record for this proposed action. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, anonymous comments will not provide the respondent with standing to object to the subsequent decision.

Michael S. Mottice,

Acting BLM State Director, Oregon/Washington.

Alice B. Carlton,

FS Forest Supervisor, Umpqua National Forest.

[FR Doc. 2012-23206 Filed 9-20-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLES956000-L71300000-BK0000-LVTSM12M1660]

Eastern States: Filing of Plat of Survey

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) will file the plat of survey of the lands described below in the BLM-Eastern States office in Springfield, Virginia, 30 calendar days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bureau of Land Management-Eastern States, 7450 Boston Boulevard, Springfield, Virginia 22153. Attn: Cadastral Survey. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The survey was requested by the Eastern States Jackson Field Office of the Bureau of Land Management.

The lands surveyed are:

Tallahassee Meridian, Florida

T. 16 and 17 S., R. 34 E.

The plat of survey represents the dependent resurvey of a portion of the South Boundary, a portion of the subdivisional lines, the surveys of a tract of land for the United States Coast Guard, and a tract of land for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, in Section 32, and a tract of land for Volusia County, in Sections 32 and 33, in Townships 16 and 17 South, Range 34 East, in the State of Florida, and was accepted August 24, 2012.

We will place a copy of the plat we described in the open files. It will be available to the public as a matter of information.

If BLM receives a protest against the survey, as shown on the plat, prior to the date of the official filing, we will stay the filing pending our consideration of the protest.

We will not officially file the plat until the day after we have accepted or dismissed all protests and they have become final, including decisions on appeals.

Dominica Van Koten,

Chief Cadastral Surveyor.

[FR Doc. 2012-23293 Filed 9-20-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GJ-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-856]

Certain Wireless Communication Devices, Portable Music and Data Processing Devices, Computers, and Components Thereof; Institution of Investigation Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on August 17, 2012, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Motorola Mobility LLC of Libertyville, Illinois; Motorola Mobility Ireland of Bermuda; and Motorola Mobility International Limited of Bermuda. A letter supplementing the complaint was filed on September 6, 2012. The complaint

alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless communication devices, portable music and data processing devices, computers, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,883,580 (“the ‘580 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 5,922,047 (“the ‘047 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 6,425,002 (“the ‘002 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 6,493,673 (“the ‘673 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 6,983,370 (“the ‘370 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,007,064 (“the ‘064 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 7,383,983 (“the ‘983 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2012).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on September 14, 2012, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a

violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless communication devices, portable music and data processing devices, computers, and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 1-3, 10-11, 13, and 15 of the ‘580 patent; claims 17 and 18 of the ‘047 patent; claims 1, 5, 6, and 11 of the ‘002 patent; claims 1, 9-11, and 50 of the ‘673 patent; claims 50-52 and 54 of the ‘370 patent; claims 1, 2, 5-7, and 9-13 of the ‘064 patent; and claims 1-4, 9, 12-14, 25-26, 31, 33-35, 37, 39, and 40 of the ‘983 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:
Motorola Mobility LLC, 600 North US Highway 45, Libertyville, IL 60048;
Motorola Mobility Ireland, Clarendon House, 2 Church Street, Hamilton HMCX, Bermuda;
Motorola Mobility International Limited, Clarendon House, 2 Church Street, Hamilton, HM11, Bermuda.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served:
Apple Inc., 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)-(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be