

landholdings total 40 acres or less are exempt from the requirement to submit RRA forms. Landholders who are “qualified recipients” have RRA forms submittal thresholds of 80 acres or 240 acres depending on the district’s RRA forms submittal threshold category where the land is held. Only farm operators who provide multiple services to more than 960 acres held in trusts or by legal entities are required to submit forms.

II. Changes to the RRA Forms and Their Instructions

The changes made to the currently approved RRA forms and the corresponding instructions are of a formatting or editorial nature, and are designed to assist the respondents by

increasing their understanding of the forms, clarifying the instructions for completing the forms, and clarifying the information that is required to be on the forms. The proposed revisions to the RRA forms will be effective in the 2014 water year.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 1006–0005.
Title: Individual Landholder’s and Farm Operator’s Certification and Reporting Forms for Acreage Limitation, 43 CFR part 426 and 43 CFR part 428.
Form Number: Form 7–2180, Form 7–2180EZ, Form 7–2181, Form 7–2184, Form 7–2190, Form 7–2190EZ, Form 7–2191, Form 7–2194, Form 7–21TRUST, Form 7–21PE, Form 7–21PE–IND, Form 7–21FARMOP, Form 7–21VERIFY,

Form 7–21FC, Form 7–21XS, Form 7–21XSINAQ, Form 7–21CONT–I, Form 7–21CONT–L, Form 7–21CONT–O, and Form 7–21INFO.

Frequency: Annually.

Respondents: Landholders and farm operators of certain lands in our projects, whose landholdings exceed specified RRA forms submittal thresholds.

Estimated Annual Total Number of Respondents: 14,002.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.02.

Estimated Total Number of Annual Responses: 14,282.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 10,467 hours.

Estimated Completion Time per Respondent: See table below.

Form No.	Burden estimate per form (in minutes)	Number of respondents	Annual number of responses	Annual burden on respondents (in hours)
Form 7–2180	60	3,596	3,668	3,668
Form 7–2180EZ	45	374	381	286
Form 7–2181	78	1,051	1,072	1,394
Form 7–2184	45	32	33	24
Form 7–2190	60	1,618	1,650	1,650
Form 7–2190EZ	45	96	98	73
Form 7–2191	78	777	793	1,030
Form 7–2194	45	4	4	3
Form 7–21PE	75	139	142	177
Form 7–21PE–IND	12	4	4	1
Form 7–21TRUST	60	700	714	714
Form 7–21VERIFY	12	5,081	5,183	1,037
Form 7–21FC	30	214	218	109
Form 7–21XS	30	144	147	73
Form 7–21FARMOP	78	172	175	228
Totals		14,002	14,282	10,467

IV. Request for Comments

We invite your comments on:

(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of our functions, including whether the information will have practical use;

(b) The accuracy of our burden estimate for the proposed collection of information;

(c) Ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

We will summarize all comments received regarding this notice. We will publish that summary in the **Federal Register** when the information collection request is submitted to OMB for review and approval.

V. Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: September 7, 2012.

Roseann Gonzales,
Director, Policy and Administration, Denver Office.

[FR Doc. 2012–22936 Filed 9–17–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–786]

Certain Integrated Circuits, Chipsets, and Products Containing Same Including Televisions; Commission Decision To Review in Part a Final Initial Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) final initial determination (“ID”) issued on July 12, 2012, finding no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337 in the above-captioned investigation. On review, the Commission affirms the ID’s

finding of no violation, and terminates the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on July 14, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. of Austin, Texas ("Freescale"). 76 FR 41521-2 (July 14, 2011). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,467,455 ("the '455 patent"). The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named Funai Electric Co., Ltd. of Osaka, Japan and Funai Corporation, Inc. of Rutherford, New Jersey (collectively "Funai"); MediaTek Inc. of Hsinchu City, Taiwan ("MediaTek"); and Zoran Corporation of Sunnyvale, California ("Zoran") as respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was named as a party. On May 25, 2012, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 27) terminating the investigation as to Funai on the basis of a consent order. Notice (May 25, 2012). On May 29, 2012, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 31) terminating the investigation as to certain Zoran products and certain MediaTek products. Notice (May 29, 2012).

On July 12, 2012, the ALJ issued his final ID, finding no violation of section 337 as to the '455 patent. The ID included the ALJ's recommended determination ("RD") on remedy and bonding. In particular, the ALJ found that claims 9 and 10 of the '455 patent are not invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C.

102, but that they are invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 103. The ALJ further found that those Zoran products that were adjudicated in *Integrated Circuits I* are precluded under the doctrine of issue preclusion. The ALJ also found that certain of the accused Zoran products remaining in the investigation infringe claims 9 and 10 of the '455 patent, but that the accused MediaTek products do not infringe claims 9 and 10 of the '455 patent. The ALJ further found that Freescale has failed to satisfy the domestic industry requirement with respect to the '455 patent. The ALJ's RD recommended a limited exclusion order barring entry of Zoran's and MediaTek's infringing integrated circuits, chipsets, and products containing same including televisions. Freescale did not request, and the ALJ did not recommend, issuance of a cease and desist order against Zoran. The ALJ also recommended that respondents be required to post no bond for the importation of products found to infringe during the period of Presidential review.

On July 24, 2012, Freescale filed a petition for review of certain aspects of the final ID's findings concerning infringement, validity, and domestic industry, and preclusion. Also on July 25, 2012, the IA timely filed a petition for review of certain aspect of the final ID's findings concerning claim construction. Further on July 24, 2012, Zoran and MediaTek contingently petitioned for review of certain aspects of the final ID's findings concerning claim construction, infringement, domestic industry, and preclusion. No post-RD statements on the public interest pursuant to Commission Rule 201.50(a)(4) or in response to the post-RD Commission Notice issued on July 16, 2012, were filed. See 77 FR 42764 (July 20, 2012).

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ's final ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review the final ID in part. Specifically, the Commission has determined to review, and on review, reverses the ALJ's finding that Japanese Patent Application JP H05-83113-A to Kuboki ("Kuboki") discloses the limitation "[a] data processor within an integrated circuit package comprising: * * * a plurality of bus termination circuits" of claim 9 of the '455 patent. The Commission has also determined to review, and on review, affirms with modification the ID's finding that Kuboki in combination with the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art renders obvious claims 9 and 10 of the '455 patent. The Commission has

further determined to review the ID's finding that the Kuboki reference in combination with U.S. Patent No. 5,479,123 to Gist ("Gist") renders obvious claims 9 and 10, and on review, finds that the Kuboki reference in combination with Gist and the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art renders obvious claims 9 and 10 of the '455 patent. The Commission has also determined to review the ID's finding that Freescale failed to establish the existence of a domestic industry based on its licensing activities, and on review, affirms the ID's finding with modification. The Commission has further determined to review the ID's finding that Freescale has failed to show that the Accused Zoran Hybrid Termination Circuits infringe claims 9 and 10 of the '455 patent and on review, affirms the ID's finding with modification.

The Commission has determined not to review the remaining issues decided in the ID. A Commission opinion will issue shortly.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42-46 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42-46 and 210.50).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 12, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-22943 Filed 9-17-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140-0001]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested: ATF Distribution Center Survey

ACTION: 60-Day notice of information collection under review.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments