burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

**Title of Proposal:** Revitalization Area Designation and Management.

**OMB Control Number, if applicable:** 2502–0566.

**Description of the need for the information and proposed use:** The Department accepts requests from local governments or interested nonprofit organizations to designate specified geographic areas as revitalization areas. A request must describe the nominated area in terms of census block groups.

**Agency form numbers, if applicable:** None.

**Estimated total number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response:** The number of burden hours is 84. The number of respondents is 42, the number of responses is 12, the frequency of response is on occasion, and the burden hour per response is 2.

**Status of the proposed information collection:** Extension of a currently approved collection.

**Agency:** The National Park Service

**Authority:** The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 35, as amended.

**Dated:** September 6, 2012.

Laura M. Marin,
Acting General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing—Acting General Deputy Federal Housing Commissioner.

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and, (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond including the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

**Title of Proposal:** Manufactured Home Construction and Safety Standards Program.

**OMB Control Number, if applicable:** 2502–0233.

**Description of the need for the information and proposed use:** Collection of this information will result in a better determination of reporting how Primary Inspection Agencies and manufacturers request certification labels, track payment, track production, refund monies, and report missing or damaged labels to the Department or its monitoring contractor.

**Agency form numbers, if applicable:**
- Form HUD–101, IPIA Request for Labels
- Form HUD–203, Lost Label Report
- Form HUD–203B, Damage Label Report
- Form HUD–301, Request and Payment for Labels
- Form HUD–302, HUD Manufactured Home Monthly Production Report
- Form HUD–303, Refunds due Manufacturer
- Form HUD–304, Adjustment Report

Estimation of the total number of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours per response: The total number of burden hours is 2,230. The total number of respondents is 140, the total number of responses is 4,460, the frequency of response is on occasion, and the burden hours per response is 0.5.

**Status of the proposed information collection:** This is an extension of a currently approved collection.

**Authority:** The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 35, as amended.

**Dated:** September 7, 2012.

Laura M. Marin,
Acting General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing—Acting Deputy Federal Housing Commissioner.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
National Park Service

[NPS–MWR–INDU–10034; 6065–4000–409]

Draft Shoreline Restoration Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement for Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C., Section 4332(2)(c), the National Park Service announces the availability of the Draft Shoreline Restoration Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement, Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, Indiana.

**DATES:** The Draft Shoreline Restoration Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (SRMP) will be available for public comment for a 60-day public review period. Comments must be received no later than 60 days after the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its notice of availability in the Federal Register.

Public meetings will be held; specific dates, times, and locations will be announced in the local media, on the Internet, and will also be available by contacting the park’s headquarters at (219) 926–7561, extension 225.

**ADDRESSES:** A copy of the SRMP is available on the internet on the NPS
Planning, Environment, and Public Comment Web site at: http://www.parkplanning.nps.gov/indu. It can also be accessed through the Park’s home page at http://www.nps.gov/indu. Copies may be obtained by making a request in writing or picked up in person at Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, 1100 N. Mineral Springs Road, Porter, Indiana 46304; telephone (219) 926–7561, extension 225.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Superintendent Constantine Dillon, Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, at the address above, or by telephone at (219) 926–7561, extension 225.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Park Service (NPS) has prepared a draft SRMP for Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore. The SRMP prescribes the resource conditions and restoration activities intended to maintain the shoreline over the next 15 to 20 years. The project area consists of four reaches of shoreline, Reaches 1 through 4, in an east-to-west direction. The park shoreline is not contiguous because of industrial and navigational structures, state park land, and other non-federal property.

The SRMP presents a range of reasonable management alternatives. Alternative A, the No-Action alternative, describes a continuation of current management practices, and is included as the baseline for comparing consequences of each alternative. Alternatives B, C, and D represent variations on beach nourishment activities. Alternatives B–1 and B–5 discuss beach nourishment using material from an upland source in 1- and 5-year frequencies. Beach nourishment using dredged materials in 1- and 5-year frequencies is described in Alternatives C–1 and C–5, and Alternative D outlines nourishment activities by way of a permanent sediment bypass system. Finally, the use of submerged beach-stabilizing structures is discussed in Alternative E.

The alternatives presented in this plan focus on balancing the quantities of sediment flowing through the shoreline reaches. Over the course of developing the SRMP, the alternatives were fine-tuned to accomplish this task and also address the protection of the shoreline from critical eroding areas, providing habitat opportunities, allowing for natural processes to continue, and rehabilitating the shoreline in a cost-effective manner. For Reaches 1 and 2, the SRMP considered all alternatives and Alternative E has been selected as the Preferred Alternative. The NPS believes that this alternative provides the best combination of strategies to protect the park’s unique resources and visitor experience, while improving the park’s operational sustainability.

Implementation of the Preferred Alternative in Reaches 1 and 2 would offer a high level of protection of natural resources along the shoreline while providing for a wide range of beneficial uses of the environment.

For Reaches 3 and 4, only dredged sources and the sediment bypass system were viable alternatives (no submerged beach-stabilizing structures in these reaches), and Alternative C–5 has been selected as the Preferred Alternative because the NPS believes that it provides for the most cost efficient and greatest potential for both foredune creation and providing protection from major storm events.

The SRMP describes the potential environmental consequences of the alternatives on coastal processes, including sediment transport and dune formation, aquatic fauna, terrestrial habitat, threatened and endangered plant and animal species, wetlands and pannes, soundscape, visitor experience, and park operations.

The SRMP also presents a discussion on terrestrial management practices as they relate to the visitor experience. As the park is a popular destination for millions of people, the impacts of human activities on the natural resources of the park are ever-present and additive.

We welcome comments on the SRMP. Before including your address, telephone number, electronic mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comments, you should be aware that your entire comment (including your personal identifying information) may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comments to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials, of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.


Michael T. Reynolds,
Regional Director, Midwest Region.

BILLING CODE 4310–FH–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–784]

Certain Light-Emitting Diodes and Products Containing the Same; Determination To Review a Final Initial Determination in Part and Set a Schedule for Filing Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review and on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part the final initial determination (“ID”) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) on July 9, 2012, in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on July 11, 2011, based on two complaints filed by OSRAM GmbH of Munich, Germany (“OSRAM”), alleging, inter alia, a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain light-emitting diodes and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,849,881 (“the ’881 patent”); 6,975,011 (“the ’011 patent”); 7,106,090 (“the ’090 patent”); 7,151,283 (“the ’283 patent”); and 7,271,425 (“the ’425 patent”). 76 FR 40746 (July 11,