regarding its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking concerning regulations for reporting Monthly and Annual Statements of Account for the making and distribution of phonorecords under compulsory licenses obtained pursuant to 17 U.S.C. 115.

DATES: Comments on the proposed regulation must be received in the Office of the General Counsel of the Copyright Office no later than 5 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) on October 25, 2012. Reply comments are due November 26, 2012.

ADDRESSES: The Copyright Office strongly prefers that comments be submitted electronically. A comment submission page is posted on the Copyright Office Web site at http://www.copyright.gov/docs/section115/soa/comments/. The Web site interface requires submitters to complete a form specifying name and other required information, and to upload comments as an attachment. To meet accessibility standards, all comments must be uploaded in a single file in either the Adobe Portable Document File (PDF) format that contains searchable, accessible text (not an image); Microsoft Word; WordPerfect; Rich Text Format (RTF); or ASCII text file format (not a scanned document). The maximum file size is 6 megabytes (MB). The name of the submitter and organization should appear on both the form and the face of the comments. All comments will be posted publicly on the Copyright Office Web site exactly as they are received, along with names and organizations if provided. If electronic submission of comments is not feasible, please contact the Copyright Office at (202) 707-8380 for special instructions.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 27, 2012, the Copyright Office published a notice of proposed rulemaking and request for comments concerning a new regulation that would amend the regulations for reporting Monthly and Annual Statements of Account for the making and distribution of phonorecords under the compulsory license, 17 U.S.C. 115, to bring the regulations up to date to reflect recent and pending rate determinations by the Copyright Royalty Judges, which among other things provide new rates for limited downloads, interactive streaming and incidental digital phonorecord deliveries, and to harmonize these reporting requirements with the existing regulations for reporting the making and distribution of physical phonorecords, permanent downloads and ringtones. The notice of proposed rulemaking stated that comments would be due no later than September 25, 2012 and that reply comments would be due October 25, 2012.

On September 5, 2012, the Office received a joint motion to extend the comment and reply comment period by thirty days each (i.e. until October 25, 2012 and November 26, 2012). The motion was filed by the Recording Industry Association of America, Inc., National Music Publishers Association, Songwriters Guild of America, Digital Media Association, and Music Reports, Inc., (“Joint Requestors”). The Joint Requestors stated that they represent the most active institutional participants in the mechanical compulsory license system. They stated that it was their view that it would be beneficial to the Copyright Office and to the outcome of the proceeding for the Joint Requestors to formulate and submit consensus positions on as many of the issues raised in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking as possible. They added that a process for formulating such positions is currently underway. However, they offered that additional time would likely be necessary to allow the Joint Requestors to adequately think through the issues, consult further with their respective members, discuss consensus positions, and prepare a written submission setting forth whatever consensus positions the group is able to reach. They stated that without an extension of time, the Joint Requestors will be less likely to reach consensus and provide the Office unified comments concerning the various issues raised in the NPRM.

In the interest of giving the Joint Requestors the necessary time to conclude the ongoing process of formulating consensus positions, the Office has decided to grant the request for an extension to file comments and reply comments by thirty days in each case, making the comments due on October 25, 2012 and reply comments due on November 26, 2012.

Dated: September 6, 2012.

Tanya Sandros,
Deputy General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2012–22317 Filed 9–10–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

44 CFR Part 67


Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: On April 14, 2010, FEMA published in the Federal Register a proposed rule that included an erroneous name for one of the flooding sources for Franklin County, North Carolina and Incorporated Areas. The flooding source name should have read Taylors Creek instead of Taylors Branch.

DATES: Comments pertaining to Taylors Creek for the location beginning at the confluence with the Tar River to approximately 250 feet upstream of West Green Street are to be submitted on or before October 11, 2012.


SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) publishes proposed determinations of Base (1% annual-chance) Flood Elevations (BFEs) and modified BFEs for communities participating in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed BFEs and modified BFEs, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are minimum requirements. They should not be construed to mean that the community must change any existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain...
management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, State, or regional entities. These proposed elevations are used to meet the floodplain management requirements of the NFIP and also are used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after these elevations are made final, and for the contents in those buildings.

Correction

In the proposed rule published at 75 FR 19320, in the April 14, 2010, issue of the Federal Register, FEMA published a table under the authority of 44 CFR 67.4. The table, entitled “Franklin County, North Carolina, and Incorporated Areas” addressed several flooding sources, including Taylors Creek. The proposed rule incorrectly listed the flooding source name as Taylors Branch instead of Taylors Creek. This proposed rule correction is reopening the comment period for Taylors Creek, from the confluence with the Tar River to approximately 250 feet upstream of West Green Street, due to the error in the flooding source name previously published proposed rule at 75 FR 19320.

In the proposed rule published at 75 FR 62061, in the October 7, 2010, issue of the Federal Register, FEMA published a table under the authority of 44 CFR 62061. The table provided here represents the flooding sources, location of referenced elevations, effective and modified elevations, and communities affected for Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania (All Jurisdictions). Specifically, it addresses the following flooding sources: Good Spring Creek, Little Schuylkill River, Mahanoy Creek, Schuylkill River, and West Branch Schuylkill River.

DATES: Comments are to be submitted on or before December 10, 2012.

SUMMARY: On October 7, 2010, FEMA published in the Federal Register a proposed rule that contained an erroneous table. This notice provides corrections to that table, to be used in lieu of the information published at 75 FR 62061. The table provided here contains inaccurate information as to the location of referenced elevation, effective and modified elevation in feet, or communities affected for the flooding sources Schuylkill River, Good Spring Creek, Little Schuylkill River, and West Branch Schuylkill River. That table contained inaccurate information as to the location of referenced elevation, effective and modified elevation in feet, or communities affected for the flooding sources Schuylkill River, Good Spring Creek, Little Schuylkill River, and West Branch Schuylkill River. In addition, it did not include the flooding source Schuylkill River, Little Schuylkill River and West Branch Schuylkill River. In this notice, FEMA is publishing a table containing the accurate information, to address these prior errors. The information provided below should be used in lieu of that previously published.

Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania (All Jurisdictions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flooding source(s)</th>
<th>Location of referenced elevation**</th>
<th>Communities affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good Spring Creek</td>
<td>Approximately 1,580 feet upstream of Locust Street ...</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>