Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

13. Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

14. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(f), of the Instruction. This rule involves the extension of one anchorage and the establishment of another. An environmental analysis checklist and a categorical exclusion determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 110

Anchorage grounds.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 110 as follows:

PART 110—ANCHORAGE REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 110 continues to read as follows:


2. Amend § 110.228 by revising paragraph (a)(10) and adding paragraph (a)(11) to read as follows:

§ 110.228 Columbia River, Oregon and Washington.

(a) * * *

(10) Cottonwood Island Anchorage. The waters of the Columbia River bounded by a line connecting the following points:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46°05′56.89″ N</td>
<td>122°56′53.19″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°05′14.06″ N</td>
<td>122°54′45.71″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°04′57.12″ N</td>
<td>122°54′12.41″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°04′37.55″ N</td>
<td>122°53′45.80″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°04′13.72″ N</td>
<td>122°53′23.66″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°03′54.94″ N</td>
<td>122°53′11.81″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°03′34.96″ N</td>
<td>122°53′03.17″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°03′11.61″ N</td>
<td>122°52′56.29″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°03′10.94″ N</td>
<td>122°53′10.55″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°03′32.06″ N</td>
<td>122°53′19.69″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°03′50.84″ N</td>
<td>122°53′27.81″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°04′08.10″ N</td>
<td>122°53′38.70″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°04′29.41″ N</td>
<td>122°53′58.17″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°04′49.89″ N</td>
<td>122°54′21.57″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°05′06.55″ N</td>
<td>122°54′50.65″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°05′49.77″ N</td>
<td>122°56′58.12″ W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(11) Prescott Anchorage. The waters of the Columbia River bounded by a line connecting the following points:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46°02′47.01″ N</td>
<td>122°52′53.90″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°02′26.32″ N</td>
<td>122°52′51.89″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°02′25.92″ N</td>
<td>122°53′00.38″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46°02′46.54″ N</td>
<td>122°53′03.87″ W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dated: August 1, 2012.

K.A. Taylor,
Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Thirteenth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2012–20345 Filed 8–22–12; 8:45 am]
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SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone within Sector Boston’s Captain of the Port (COTP) Zone for the drilling, blasting, and dredging operation on the navigable waters of Boston Inner Harbor, in the main ship channel near Castle Island. This temporary safety zone is necessary to enhance navigation, vessel safety, marine environmental protection, and provide for the safety of life on the navigable waters during the drilling, blasting and dredging operations in support of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers rock removal project. Entering into, transiting through, mooring or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the COTP or the designated on-scene representative.

DATES: This rule is effective in the CFR on August 23, 2012, until September 30, 2012, and will be enforced daily from 5 a.m. to 8 p.m. This rule is effective with actual notice for purposes of enforcement beginning on August 13, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Documents mentioned in this preamble are part of docket USCG–2012–0767. To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov, type the docket number in the “SEARCH” Box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with the rulemaking. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation, West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary final rule, call or email Mr. Mark Cutter, Coast Guard Sector Boston Waterways Management Division, telephone 617–223–4000, email Mark.E.Cutter@uscg.mil. If you have
questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Table of Acronyms**

DHS  Department of Homeland Security  
FR  Federal Register  
NPRM  Notice of Proposed Rulemaking  
COTP  Captain of the Port

**A. Regulatory History and Information**

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary final rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.”

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because critical information regarding the scope of the event was not received from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers until July 15, 2012, providing insufficient time for the Coast Guard to solicit public comments before the start date of the project. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers also discussed the rock removal project at the Boston’s Port Operators Group monthly meeting on July 15, 2012. The Coast Guard hosted a meeting on August 2, 2012 inviting stakeholders from the maritime industry in Boston Harbor to discuss and mitigate any impacts this project will have on maritime community. The feedback from the meeting was that this safety zone will have minimum impact on local mariners based on the location and the fact that the majority of boating traffic will be able to transit around the safety zone and that the vessels involved in the rock removal operations will move as needed for deep draft vessels. A delay or cancellation of the project in order to accommodate a notice and comment period would be contrary to the public interest because immediate action is necessary to ensure the safety of the personnel involved in the rock removal project and any public vessels in the vicinity of the drilling, dredging and blasting operations being conducted. For the safety concerns noted, it is in the public interest to have these regulations in effect during the rock removal project.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the *Federal Register*. Any delay in the effective date of this rule would expose personnel involved in the rock removal project and any public vessels in the vicinity to hazards associated with the drilling, dredging and blasting operations.

**B. Basis and Purpose**


The safety zone is being issued to provide for the safety of life on the navigable waters during the drilling, blasting and dredging operations in support of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers rock removal project.

**C. Discussion of Final Rule**

Starting August 13, 2012, daily from 5 a.m. to 8 p.m. until September 30, 2012, the contractor Burnham Associates Inc. will be conducting drilling, blasting and dredging operations in support of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Boston Harbors main ship channel rock removal project.

The COTP Boston has determined that hazards associated with the drilling, dredging and blasting operations pose a significant risk to safety of life on navigable waters. Establishing a safety zone around the vessel conducting the drilling, blasting, and dredging will help ensure the safety of the personnel involved in the rock removal project and any public vessels in the vicinity, and help minimize the associated risks with this project. This safety zone will establish a 100-yard radius around the vessel conducting the drilling, blasting and dredging operations in various locations in Boston Harbor’s main ship channel near Castle Island. To ensure public safety, the safety zone will be enforced only while the vessel is on scene conducting operations involved in the rock removal project in Boston Harbor’s main ship near Castle Island.

**D. Regulatory Analyses**

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on 13 of these statutes or executive orders.

1. Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563. Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) Executive Order 12866 or under section 1 of Executive Order 13563. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

The Coast Guard has determined that this rule is not a significant regulatory action for the following reasons: The Coast Guard expects minimal adverse impact to mariners from the activation of the zone; vessels have sufficient room to transit around the safety zone; the vessel conducting the operations will move out of the channel for deep draft vessels that need to pass through that area and vessels may enter or pass through the affected waterway with the permission of the Captain of the Port (COTP) or the COTP’s designated on-scene representative; and notification of the safety zone will be made to mariners through the local Notice to Mariners, Broadcast Notice to Mariners in advance of the event.

2. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended requires federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons: vessels have sufficient room to transit around the safety zone; the vessel conducting the operations will move out of the channel for deep draft vessels that need to pass through that area and vessels may enter or pass through the affected waterway with the permission of the Captain of the Port (COTP) or the COTP’s designated on-scene representative; notification of the safety zone will be made to mariners through the Local Notice to Mariners, Broadcast Notice to Mariners well in advance of the event.

3. Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT, above.
Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates those actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by members of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not ratify against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

4. Collection of Information
This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

5. Federalism
A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

6. Protest Activities
The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

7. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act
The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

8. Taking of Private Property
This rule will not cause a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

9. Civil Justice Reform
This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

10. Protection of Children
We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

11. Indian Tribal Governments
This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

12. Energy Effects
This action is not a “Significant energy action” under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

13. Technical Standards
This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

14. Environment
We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves the establishment of a safety zone. This rule is categorically excluded from further review under, paragraph 34(g) of figure 2–1 of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist and a categorical exclusion determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165
Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

2. Add § 165.T01–0767 to read as follows:
§ 165.T01–0767 Safety Zone; Boston Harbor’s Rock Removal Project, Boston Inner Harbor, Boston, MA.
(a) General. A temporary safety zone is established for the Boston Harbor’s Rock Removal Project as follows:
(1) Location. All navigable waters from surface to bottom, within a 100-yard radius around the vessel or vessels conducting drilling, blasting, dredging, and other related operations related to rock removal in Boston’s Inner Harbor near Castle Island.
(2) Definitions. For the purposes of this section, “Designated on-scene representative” is any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer who has been designated by the Captain of the Port Boston (COTP) to act on the COTP’s behalf. The designated representative may be on an Official Patrol Vessel. An “Official Patrol Vessel” may consist of any Coast Guard, Coast Guard Auxiliary, state, or local law enforcement vessels assigned or approved by the COTP or the designated on-scene representative may be on shore and will communicate with vessels via VHF–FM radio or loudhailer. In addition, members of the Coast Guard Auxiliary may be present to inform vessel operators of this regulation.
(3) Enforcement period. This rule will be effective from August 13, 2012 until September 30, 2012 and will be enforced daily from 5 a.m. to 8 p.m.
(b) Regulations. (1) The general regulations contained in 33 CFR 165.23, as well as the following regulations, apply.
(2) No vessels, except for participating or public vessels, will be allowed to enter into, transit through, or anchor within the safety zone without the
permission of the COTP or the designated on-scene representative.

[3] All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the COTP or the designated on-scene representative. Upon being hailed by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed.

(4) Vessel operators desiring to enter or operate within the regulated area shall contact the COTP or the designated on-scene representative via VHF channel 16 or 617–223–3201 (Sector Boston command Center) to obtain permission.


J.C. O’Connor, III,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard,
Captain of the Port Boston.

[FR Doc. 2012–20828 Filed 8–22–12; 8:45 am]
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SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone in the navigable waters of the San Francisco Bay near Pier 14 in San Francisco, CA in support of the Wedding Reception Fireworks at Pier 24 on August 24, 2012. This safety zone is established to ensure the safety of mariners and spectators from the dangers associated with the pyrotechnics. Unauthorized persons or vessels are prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or remaining in the safety zone without permission of the Captain of the Port or their designated representative.

DATES: This rule is effective from 9 a.m. through 9:30 p.m. on August 24, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Documents mentioned in this preamble are part of docket USCG–2012–0661. To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov, type the docket number in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rulemaking. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary rule, call or email Ensign William Hawn, U.S. Coast Guard Sector San Francisco; telephone (415) 399–7442 or email at D11–PF–MarineEvents@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone (202) 366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Acronyms

DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

A. Regulatory History and Information

The Coast Guard is issuing this final rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest."

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because publishing an NPRM would be impracticable. The Coast Guard received notification of the event on June 29, 2012 and the event would occur before an NPRM and response to public comment could be completed. Because of the dangers posed by the pyrotechnics used in this fireworks display, the safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of event participants, spectators, spectator craft, and other vessels transiting the event area.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register. For the reasons stated above, delaying the effective date would be impracticable.

B. Basis and Purpose

The legal basis for the temporary rule is the Ports and Waterways Safety Act which authorizes the Coast Guard to establish safety zones (33 U.S.C sections 1221 et seq.).

Stanlee R. Gatti Designs will sponsor the Wedding Reception Fireworks at Pier 24 on August 24, 2012, in the navigable waters of the San Francisco Bay midway between Pier 14 and the Bay Bridge in San Francisco, CA. From 9 a.m. until 2 p.m. on August 24, 2012 the fireworks barge will be loaded off of Pier 50 in San Francisco, CA at position 37°46′28″ N, 122°23′06″ W (NAD 83). From 8 p.m. to 8:20 p.m. on August 24, 2012 the loaded barge will transit from Pier 50 to the launch site midway between Pier 14 and the Bay Bridge at position 37°47′35″ N, 122°23′13″ W. (NAD 83). The Coast Guard has granted the event sponsor a marine event permit for the fireworks display. The fireworks display is meant for entertainment purposes and a safety zone is necessary to establish a temporary restricted area on the waters surrounding the fireworks display. A restricted area around the launch site is necessary to protect spectators, vessels, and other property from the hazards associated with the pyrotechnics.

C. Discussion of the Final Rule

The Coast Guard will enforce a safety zone in navigable waters around and under the fireworks barge within a radius of 100 feet during the loading, transit, and arrival of the fireworks barge to the display location and until the start of the fireworks display. Upon the commencement of the 8 minute fireworks display, scheduled to take place from 9:15 p.m. to 9:23 p.m. on August 24, 2012, the safety zone will encompass the navigable waters around and under the fireworks launch site within a radius 560 feet at position 37°47′35″ N, 122°23′13″ W (NAD 83) for the Wedding Reception Fireworks at Pier 24 in San Francisco, CA. At the conclusion of the fireworks display the safety zone shall terminate.

The effect of the temporary safety zone will be to restrict navigation in the vicinity of the fireworks launch site during the fireworks display. Except for persons or vessels authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the restricted area. These regulations are needed to keep spectators and vessels away from the immediate vicinity of the fireworks barge to ensure the safety of participants, spectators, and transiting vessels.

D. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses