ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision concerns the definition of volatile organic compounds (VOC). We are approving a local rule that helps regulate VOCs under the Clean Air Act, as amended (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by September 10, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA–R09–OAR–2012–0311, by one of the following methods:

2. Email: steckel.andrew@epa.gov.
3. Mail or deliver: Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through www.regulations.gov or email. www.regulations.gov is an “anonymous access” system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send email directly to EPA, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: Generally, documents in the docket for this action are available electronically at www.regulations.gov and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed at www.regulations.gov, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material, large maps), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia G. Allen, EPA Region IX, (415) 947–4120, allen.cynthia@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the following local rule: Rule 101. In the Rules and Regulations section of this Federal Register, we are approving this local rule in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe this SIP revision is not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.


Jared Blumeneld,
Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[BFR Doc. 2012–19314 Filed 8–8–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Mojave Desert, Northern Sierra, Sacramento Metropolitan and San Diego Air Pollution Agencies

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD), Northern Sierra Air Quality Management District (NSAQMD), Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD) and San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (SDCAPCD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from automotive parts and component, automobile refinishing, metal parts and products, and miscellaneous coating and refinishing operations. We are proposing to approve local rules to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by September 10, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number R09–OAR–2012–0332, by one of the following methods:

2. Email: steckel.andrew@epa.gov.
3. Mail or deliver: Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through www.regulations.gov or email. www.regulations.gov is an “anonymous access” system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send email directly to EPA, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: Generally, documents in the docket for this action are available electronically at www.regulations.gov
and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed at www.regulations.gov, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material, large maps), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Andy Steckel, EPA Region IX, (415) 947–4115, Steckel.andrew@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the following local rules: MDAQMD Rule 1116, Automotive Refinishing Operations; NSAQMD Rule 228, Surface Coating and Metal Parts and Products; SMAQMD Rule 459, Automotive, Mobile Equipment and Associated Parts and Components Coating, and SDCAPCD Rule 66.1, Miscellaneous Coating. In the Rules and Regulations section of this Federal Register, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Jared Blumenfeld,
Regional Administrator, Region IX.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
Coast Guard
46 CFR Part 401
[Docket No. USCG–2012–0409]
RIN 1625–ABB9

Great Lakes Pilotage Rates—2013 Annual Review and Adjust; Correction
AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.
ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; correction.
SUMMARY: The Coast Guard published a Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the Federal Register on August 1, 2012 (77 FR 45539) proposing rate adjustments for pilotage services on the Great Lakes. The charge rate, as listed in § 401.407, Area 5 (Designated Waters) of that NPRM, is incorrect.

DATES: The notice of proposed rulemaking published in the Federal Register on August 1, 2012 (77 FR 45539) is corrected as of August 9, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call or email Mr. Todd Haviland, Management & Program Analyst, Office of Great Lakes Pilotage, Commandant (CG–WWM–2), U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 202–372–1909, email todd.a.haviland@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NPRM published August 1, 2012 (77 FR 45539) contains an inaccurate value on page 45558. This correction amends row 3, column 5 of the table below in instruction (3) of the NPRM. The Coast Guard is republishing the table to correct the error.

Correction
In proposed rule FR Doc. 2012–18714, beginning on page 45539 in the issue of August 1, 2012, make the following correction. On page 45558, in § 401.407, revise paragraph (b), including the footnote to Table (b), to read as follows:

§ 401.407 Basic rates and charges on Lake Erie and the navigable waters from Southeast Shoal to Port Huron, MI.

(b) Area 5 (Designated Waters):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Any point on or in</th>
<th>Southeast Shoal</th>
<th>Toledo or any point on Lake Erie west of Southeast Shoal</th>
<th>Detroit River</th>
<th>Detroit Pilot Boat</th>
<th>St. Clair River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toledo or any port on Lake Erie west of Southeast Shoal</td>
<td>$2,339</td>
<td>$1,382</td>
<td>$3,037</td>
<td>$2,339</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Huron Change Point</td>
<td>$4,074</td>
<td>$1,471</td>
<td>3,060</td>
<td>2,381</td>
<td>1,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Clair River</td>
<td>$4,074</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3,060</td>
<td>3,060</td>
<td>1,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit or Windsor or the Detroit River</td>
<td>2,339</td>
<td>3,037</td>
<td>1,382</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Pilot Boat</td>
<td>1,693</td>
<td>2,339</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3,060</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† When pilots are not changed at the Detroit Pilot Boat.

Dated: August 2, 2012.
Kathryn Sinniger,
Chief, Office of Regulations and Administrative Law, U.S. Coast Guard.

[FR Doc. 2012–19348 Filed 8–8–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 9110–04–P