MACOSH and other relevant organizations and associations;

(3) Maritime industry interest (e.g., employer, employee, public, state safety and health agency, academia) that the nominee is qualified to represent;

(4) A summary of the background, experience, and qualifications that addresses the nominee’s suitability for membership; and

(5) A statement that the nominee is aware of the nomination, is willing to regularly attend and participate in MACOSH meetings, and has no conflicts of interest that would preclude membership on MACOSH.

OSHA will conduct a basic background check of candidates before their appointment to MACOSH. The background check will involve accessing publicly available, internet-based resources.

Member selection. The Secretary of Labor will select MACOSH members on the basis of their experience, knowledge, and competence in the field of occupational safety and health, particularly in the maritime industries. Information received through this nomination process, and other relevant sources of information, will assist the Secretary of Labor in appointing MACOSH. In selecting MACOSH members, the Secretary of Labor will consider individuals nominated in response to this Federal Register notice, as well as other qualified individuals. OSHA will publish a list of MACOSH members in the Federal Register.

Authority and Signature

David Michaels, Ph.D., MPH, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, authorized the preparation of this notice under the authority granted by 29 U.S.C. 655(b)(1) and 655(b), 5 U.S.C. App. 2, Secretary of Labor’s Order No. 1–2012 (77 FR 3912), and 29 CFR part 1912.

Signed at Washington, DC, on July 30, 2012.

David Michaels,
Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 2012–18878 Filed 8–1–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2012–0055]

Interim Staff Guidance on Changes to the Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report Revision 2 AMP XI.M41, “Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks”

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Interim staff guidance; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing the final License Renewal Interim Staff Guidance (LR–ISG), LR–ISG–2011–03, “Changes to GALL Report Revision 2 Aging Management Program (AMP) XI.M41, ‘Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks.’” This LR–ISG provides changes to the recommendations in GALL Report Revision 2 AMP XI.M41 based on the staff’s review of several license renewal applications’ buried and underground piping and tanks AMPs and stakeholder input. The LR–ISG revises NRC staff recommended AMPs in NUREG–1801, Revision 2, “Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report,” and the NRC staff’s aging management review procedure and accepted criteria contained in NUREG–1800, Revision 2, “Standard Review Plan for Review of License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants” (SRP–LR). The NRC published Revision 2 of the SRP–LR and GALL Report in December 2010, and they are available in the NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) under Accession Nos. ML103490041 and ML103490036, respectively.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2012–0055 when contacting the NRC about this document. The NRC issues LR–ISG to communicate insights and lessons learned to address emergent issues not covered in license renewal guidance documents. In this way, the NRC staff and stakeholders may use the guidance in a LR–ISG document until it is incorporated into a formal license renewal guidance document revision. The NRC staff issues LR–ISG in accordance with the LR–ISG Process, Revision 2 (ADAMS Accession No. ML100920158), for which a notice of availability was published in the Federal Register on June 22, 2010 (75 FR 35510).

The NRC staff developed LR–ISG–2011–03 to (a) include inspection recommendations for plants that will not utilize a cathodic protection system in the period of extended operation, (b) remove the recommendation to volumetrically inspect underground piping to detect internal corrosion since other AMPs such as XLM 38 manage the aging of internal surfaces of buried and underground piping and tanks, (c) base further increased inspection sample sizes on an analysis of extent of cause and extent of condition when adverse conditions are detected in the initial and subsequent doubled sample size rather than continuing to double the sample size, (d) add a recommendation that where damage to the coating is significant and the damage was caused...
by non-conforming backfill, an extent of condition evaluation should be conducted to ensure that the as-left condition of backfill in the vicinity of observed damage will not lead to further degradation, (e) add specific acceptance criteria for cathodic protection surveys, (f) add the specific preventive and mitigative actions utilized by the AMP in the Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement description of the program as contained in the SRP–LR, (g) make miscellaneous and editorial changes, and (h) correct an internal conflict between AMP XLM41 and AMP XLM36, “External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components.”

On March 9, 2012, (77 FR 14446) the NRC requested public comments on draft LR–ISG–2011–03 (ADAMS Accession No. ML11244A058). By letter dated March 27, 2012, (ADAMS Accession No. ML12089A021), the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) requested a 14-day extension to the comment period. The NRC staff granted the NEI’s requested as noticed on April 11, 2012 (77 FR 21813) and given that the comment period had closed on April 9, 2012, the comment period was reopened until April 20, 2012.

The NRC received external comments from Mr. Jon Cavello on April 1, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12094A367), Mears Group on April 9, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12103A207), Det Norske Veritas (USA) Inc. on April 13, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12108A049), and NEI on April 20, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12114A014). No other external comments were submitted. The NRC considered these comments in developing the final LR–ISG. Detailed responses to the comments can be found in Appendix G of the final LR–ISG.

The final LR–ISG–2011–03 is approved for NRC staff and stakeholder use and will be incorporated into NRC’s next formal license renewal guidance document revision.

Backfitting and Issue Finality

Issuance of this final LR–ISG does not constitute backfitting as defined in 10 CFR 50.109 (the Backfit Rule) and is not otherwise inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in Part 52, “Licensees, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants,” of 10 CFR. As discussed in the “Backfitting Discussion” section of final LR–ISG–2011–03, the LR–ISG is directed to holders of operating licenses or combined licenses who are currently in the license renewal process. The LR–ISG is not directed to holders of operating licenses or combined licenses until they apply for license renewal.

The LR–ISG is also not directed to licensees who already hold renewed operating or combined licenses.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of July, 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Melanie A. Galloway,
Deputy Director, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2012–18862 Filed 8–1–12; 8:45 am]
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Proposed Revision 0 to Standard Review Plan Section 19.5: Adequacy of Design Features and Functional Capabilities Identified and Described for Withstanding Aircraft Impacts

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is soliciting public comment on a proposed revision to its Standard Review Plan (SRP), NUREG–0800, “Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants: LWR Edition.” The NRC seeks comments on a new section of the SRP: Section 19.5: “Adequacy of Design Features and Functional Capabilities Identified and Described for Withstanding Aircraft Impacts,” (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML12138A468). This section has been developed to assist NRC staff with the review of applications for certain construction permits, operating licenses, design approvals, design certifications, manufacturing licenses, license amendments, and combined licenses and to inform new reactor applicants and other affected entities of proposed SRP guidance. Following NRC staff evaluation of public comments, the NRC intends to incorporate the final approved guidance into the next revision of NUREG–0800.

The SRP is guidance for the NRC staff. The SRP is not a substitute for the NRC’s regulations, and compliance with the SRP is not required. Accordingly, issuance of the SRP does not constitute “backfitting” as defined in 10 CFR 50.109(a)(1) of the Backfit Rule and is not otherwise inconsistent with the applicable issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52.

Accessing Information and Submitting Comments

A. Accessing Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2012–0180 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may access information related to this document, which the NRC possesses and is publicly available by searching on http://www.regulations.gov under Docket ID NRC–2012–0180. You may submit comments by any of the following methods:


• Mail comments to: Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch (RADB), Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TBW–05–B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

• Fax comments to: RADB at 301–492–3446.

For additional direction on accessing information and submitting comments, see “Accessing Information and Submitting Comments” in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy C. Cubbage, Chief, Policy Branch, Division of Advanced Reactors and Rulemaking, Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone at 301–415–2875 or email at amy.cubbage@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NRC seeks public comment on a proposed new section of the Section 19.5: “Adequacy of Design Features and Functional Capabilities Identified and Described for Withstanding Aircraft Impacts,” (ADAMS) Accession No. ML12138A468). This section has been developed to assist NRC staff with the review of applications for certain construction permits, operating licenses, design approvals, design certifications, manufacturing licenses, license amendments, and combined licenses and to inform new reactor applicants and other affected entities of proposed SRP guidance. Following NRC staff evaluation of public comments, the NRC intends to incorporate the final approved guidance into the next revision of NUREG–0800.

The SRP is guidance for the NRC staff. The SRP is not a substitute for the NRC’s regulations, and compliance with the SRP is not required. Accordingly, issuance of the SRP does not constitute “backfitting” as defined in 10 CFR 50.109(a)(1) of the Backfit Rule and is not otherwise inconsistent with the applicable issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52.