

The grounds for EPA's proposed disapproval of portions of the infrastructure SIP submittals from Ohio, Michigan, and Wisconsin are very narrow, and pertain only to these specific deficiencies in the States' SIPs described in the relevant sections of this proposed action.

As previously discussed, Michigan and Ohio have been working on revisions to their PSD programs, consistent with the requirements of the Phase 2 Rule and the 2008 NSR Rule. We will work with the States to rectify these issues promptly. In addition, EPA will work with WDNR to account for the explicit identification of precursors to PM<sub>2.5</sub>, as well as PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> condensables, in its PSD program.<sup>9</sup>

Under section 179(a) of the CAA, final disapproval of a submission that addresses a requirement of a Part D Plan (section 171—section 193 of the CAA), or is required in response to a finding of substantial inadequacy as described in section 110(k)(5) starts a sanction clock. The provisions in the submissions we are disapproving were not submitted by Michigan, Ohio, or Wisconsin to meet either of those requirements. Therefore, if EPA takes final action to disapprove these submissions, no sanctions under section 179 will be triggered.

The full or partial disapproval of a SIP revision triggers the requirement under section 110(c) that EPA promulgate a FIP no later than two years from the date of the disapproval unless the state corrects the deficiency, and the Administrator approves the plan or plan revision before the Administrator promulgates such FIP. As previously mentioned, EPA anticipates that MDEQ and Ohio EPA will make submissions rectifying each of these deficiencies. Further, EPA anticipates acting on the submissions within the two year time frame prior to our FIP obligation on these very narrow issues. In the interim, EPA expects Michigan and Ohio to treat and explicitly identify NO<sub>x</sub> as a precursor to ozone for PSD permitting consistent with the requirements of the Phase 2 Rule. EPA also expects these States to adhere to the requirements of the 2008 NSR Rule with respect to the treatment and identification of PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors and the accounting for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> condensables in permitting emissions limits in their respective PSD programs.

<sup>9</sup> Although not specific to this action, EPA will also continue to work with WDNR to ensure that revisions to the State's PSD program contain provisions that explicitly identify NO<sub>x</sub> as a precursor to ozone, consistent with the Phase 2 Rule.

EPA will actively work with Wisconsin to incorporate changes to its PSD program that explicitly identify PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors and account for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> condensables in permitting emissions limits, consistent with the 2008 NSR Rule. In the interim, EPA expects WDNR to adhere to the associated requirements of the 2008 NSR Rule in its PSD program, specifically with respect to the explicit identification of PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors, and the accounting for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> condensables in permitting emissions limits.

## VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have Tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the State, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on Tribal governments or preempt Tribal law.

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: July 20, 2012.

**Susan Hedman,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

[FR Doc. 2012-18880 Filed 8-1-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R10-OAR-2011-0194; FRL-9709-4]

### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Idaho; Boise-Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area; Second 10-Year Carbon Monoxide Maintenance Plan

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Idaho (the State). The Idaho State Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ) submitted the Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area Second 10-year Carbon Monoxide Maintenance Plan on February 10, 2011. In accordance with the requirements of the Federal Clean Air Act (the Act), EPA is proposing to approve the revision because the State adequately demonstrates that the Boise-Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area will maintain air quality standards for carbon monoxide (CO) through the year 2022.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 4, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R10-

OAR–2011–0194, by any of the following methods:

- *www.regulations.gov*: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- *Email*: [chi.john@epa.gov](mailto:chi.john@epa.gov)
- *Mail*: John Chi, U.S. EPA Region 10, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics (AWT–107), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle WA, 98101.
- *Hand Delivery/Courier*: U.S. EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle WA, 98101. Attention: John Chi, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, AWT–107. Such deliveries are only accepted during normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register** for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Chi at telephone number: (206) 553–1230, email address: [chi.john@epa.gov](mailto:chi.john@epa.gov), fax number: (206) 553–0110, or Claudia Vergnani Vaupel at telephone number: (206) 553–6121, email address: [vaupel.claudia@epa.gov](mailto:vaupel.claudia@epa.gov), or the above EPA, Region 10 address.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For further information, please see the direct final action, of the same title, which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**. EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule.

If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

Dated: July 23, 2012.

**Dennis J. McLerran,**

*Regional Administrator, EPA Region 10.*

[FR Doc. 2012–18786 Filed 8–1–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 300

[EPA–HQ–SFUND–1999–0010–; FRL–9704–3]

#### National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan; National Priorities List: Partial Deletion of the Eastland Woolen Mill Superfund Site

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 1 is issuing a Notice of Intent to Delete the following properties at the Eastland Woolen Mill Superfund Site (Site) located in Corinna, Maine, from the National Priorities List (NPL) and requests public comments on this proposed action.

The NPL, promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended, is an appendix of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP). The EPA and the State of Maine, through the Maine Department of Environmental Protection, have determined that all appropriate response actions at these identified parcels under CERCLA, other than and five-year reviews, have been completed. However, this deletion does not preclude future actions under Superfund.

This partial deletion pertains to all Site media (including soil and groundwater).

**DATES:** Comments must be received by September 4, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID no. EPA–HQ–SFUND–1999–0010, by one of the following methods:

- *http://www.regulations.gov*: Follow on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- *Email*: [hathaway.ed@epa.gov](mailto:hathaway.ed@epa.gov).
- *Fax*: 1–617–918–0372.
- *Mail*: Edward Hathaway, U.S. EPA Remedial Project Manager, 5 Post Office Square (OSRR07–1), Boston, MA 02109–3912.
- *Hand Delivery*: Edward Hathaway, U.S. EPA Remedial Project Manager, 5

Post Office Square (OSRR07–1), Boston, MA 02109–3912. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

*Instructions:* Direct your comments to Docket ID no. EPA–HQ–SFUND–1999–0010. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI, or otherwise protected, through <http://www.regulations.gov> or email. The <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA without going through <http://www.regulations.gov>, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

*Docket:* All documents in the docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in <http://www.regulations.gov> or on disk or physical copy at:

EPA Region 1 Record Center, 5 Post Office Square, Boston, MA 02109. Phone: 1–617–918–1440. Hours: Mon–Fri 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Stewart Free Library, 8 Levi Stewart Drive, Corinna, ME 04928. Phone: 1–207–278–2454. Hours: Tuesday: 9