

(i) Stop the vessel immediately upon being directed to do so by any commissioned, warrant, or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard Ensign, and

(ii) Proceed as directed by any commissioned, warrant, or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard Ensign.

(c) *Definitions.* (1) Captain of the Port North Carolina means the Commander, Coast Guard Sector North Carolina or any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port to act on his behalf.

(2) Designated representative means any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port North Carolina to assist in enforcing the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) *Enforcement.* The U.S. Coast Guard may be assisted by Federal, State, and local agencies in the patrol and enforcement of the zone.

(e) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced from 8 a.m. September 1, 2012 through 8 p.m. December 12, 2012 unless cancelled earlier by the Captain of the Port.

Dated: July 16, 2012.

**A. Popiel,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard Captain of the Port Sector North Carolina.*

[FR Doc. 2012-18716 Filed 7-31-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2012-0491]

RIN 1625-AA00

#### Safety Zone, Barrel Recovery, Lake Superior; Duluth, MN

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone surrounding Tug Champion (O.N. 55 6Z93)/Barge Kokosing (O.N. 1144055) while they conduct recovery and testing of barrels suspected to contain munitions waste materials which were dumped in the 1960's in a portion of Lake Superior approximately between Stoney Point and Brighton Beach, Duluth, MN. This safety zone is precautionary to protect recreational vessels and marine traffic from any

unknown hazards as well as provide a safe work zone for contractor operations.

**DATES:** This rule will be effective from July 30, 2012 to August 20, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents mentioned in this preamble are part of docket [USCG-2012-0491]. To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type the docket number in the "SEARCH" box and click "SEARCH." Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rulemaking. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this rule, call or email Lieutenant Judson Coleman, Chief of Waterways Management, U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Unit Duluth; telephone number (218) 720-5286, extension 111, email at [Judson.A.Coleman@uscg.mil](mailto:Judson.A.Coleman@uscg.mil). If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone (202) 366-9826.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Table of Acronyms**

DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

##### **A. Regulatory History and Information**

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary final rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because the final details for this event were not known to the Coast Guard until there was insufficient time remaining before the event to publish an NPRM. Thus, delaying the effective date of this rule to wait for a comment period to run would be both impracticable and contrary to the public interest because it would inhibit the Coast Guard's ability to protect vessels from the hazards

associated with recovery of possible munitions waste, which are discussed further below.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. For the same reasons discussed in the preceding paragraph, waiting for a 30 day notice period to run would also be impracticable and contrary to the public interest.

##### **B. Basis and Purpose**

From July 30, 2012 to August 20, 2012, the Tug Champion (O.N. 55 6Z93)/Barge Kokosing (O.N. 1144055) will recover and test barrels suspected to contain munitions waste materials dumped offshore in a portion of Lake Superior approximately 50 years ago.

##### **C. Discussion of the Final Rule**

The following area is a temporary safety zone: All waters within a 700 foot radius of the Tug Champion (O.N. 55 6Z93)/Barge Kokosing (O.N. 1144055) as it conducts recovery and testing of barrels suspected of containing munitions waste materials in the area between Stoney Point and Brighton Beach, up to approximately 4 miles offshore on Lake Superior, Duluth, MN. This safety zone will be in effect and enforced 24 hours a day from on or around July 30, 2012 to August 20, 2012.

This rule is deemed necessary in order to protect vessels transiting Lake Superior in close proximity to the Tug Champion (O.N. 55 6Z93)/Barge Kokosing (O.N. 1144055) from exposure to possible unknown hazards as it conducts recovery and testing of barrels containing munitions parts and product line debris. This zone does not have specific coordinates because the Tug Champion (O.N. 55 6Z93)/Barge Kokosing (O.N. 1144055) will be recovering barrels in several locations over the course of the effective period and a safety zone encompassing the entire recovery area would have a negative impact on recreational vessel traffic.

##### **D. Regulatory Analyses**

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on 14 of these statutes or executive orders.

###### *1. Regulatory Planning and Review*

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, as supplemented

by Executive Order 13563, Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of Executive Order 12866 or under section 1 of Executive Order 13563. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under those Orders. This rule will have minimal impact on economic interests due to the safety zone being outside commercial shipping lanes, having little impact on recreational vessel traffic and being in effect for a limited period of time.

## 2. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

(1) This rule would affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: the owners or operators of recreational vessels intending to transit or anchor in a portion of Lake Superior between Stoney Point and Brighton Beach from July 20, 2012 to August 30, 2012.

(2) This safety zone would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. This safety zone would be activated, and thus subject to enforcement, in areas where vessel traffic is low and not subject to commercial traffic. Recreational vessel traffic could pass safely around the safety zone due to its relatively small size. This safety zone will be announced in the Local Notice to Mariners and via Broadcast Notice to Mariners before activation of the zone and throughout the enforcement period.

## 3. Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section, above.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business

Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

## 4. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

## 5. Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism.

## 6. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section so that the Coast Guard may consider the degree to which it may accommodate such activities while also providing for the safety and security of people, places and vessels.

## 7. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

## 8. Taking of Private Property

This rule will not cause a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

## 9. Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive

Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

## 10. Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

## 11. Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

## 12. Energy Effects

This action is not a “significant energy action” under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

## 13. Technical Standards

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

## 14. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves establishing a safety zone surrounding Tug Champion (O.N. 55 6Z93)/Barge Kokosing (O.N. 1144055) as it conducts recovery and testing of barrels containing munitions parts and product line debris. This rule is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2–1 of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead

to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbor, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701, 3306, 3703; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 2. Add § 165.T09–0491 to read as follows:

##### § 165.T09–0491 Safety zone; Barrel recover, Lake Superior, Duluth, MN.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a temporary safety zone: All waters of Lake Superior within a 700 foot radius of a Tug Champion (O.N. 55 6Z93)/ Barge Kokosing (O.N. 1144055), including but not limited to up to four miles offshore from approximately Brighton Beach to Stoney Point on Lake Superior, Duluth, MN.

(b) *Effective and enforcement period.* This rule will be in effect and enforced 24 hours a day on or around July 30, 2012 to August 20, 2012.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in section 165.23, entry into, transiting or anchoring within the safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Marine Safety Unit Duluth, or his/her designated representative.

(2) This safety zone is closed to all vessel traffic.

Dated: July 19, 2012.

**K.R. Bryan,**

*Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Marine Safety Unit Duluth.*

[FR Doc. 2012–18717 Filed 7–31–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R04–OAR–2012–0402; FRL9705–8]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; South Carolina 110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 1997 and 2006 Fine Particulate Matter National Ambient Air Quality Standards

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking final action to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submissions, submitted by the State of South Carolina, through the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SC DHEC), as demonstrating that the State meets the SIP requirements of sections 110(a)(1) and (2) of the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act) for the 1997 annual and 2006 24-hour fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). Section 110(a) of the CAA requires that each state adopt and submit a SIP for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of each NAAQS promulgated by the EPA, which is commonly referred to as an “infrastructure” SIP. South Carolina certified that the South Carolina SIP contains provisions that ensure the 1997 annual and 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS are implemented, enforced, and maintained in South Carolina (hereafter referred to as “infrastructure submission”). South Carolina’s infrastructure submissions, provided to EPA on March 14, 2008, and September 18, 2009, certification submissions (as clarified in a letter on November 9, 2009), and the State’s April 3, 2012, SIP revision address all the required infrastructure elements for the 1997 annual and 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* This rule will be effective August 31, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA–R04–OAR–2012–0238. All documents in the docket are listed on the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form.

Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or in hard copy at the Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 a.m. excluding federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sean Lakeman, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–9043. Mr. Lakeman can be reached via electronic mail at [lakeman.sean@epa.gov](mailto:lakeman.sean@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. This Action
- III. Final Action
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

##### I. Background

Upon promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS, sections 110(a)(1) and (2) of the CAA require states to address basic SIP requirements, including emissions inventories, monitoring, and modeling to assure attainment and maintenance for that new NAAQS. On July 18, 1997 (62 FR 36852), EPA promulgated a new annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS and on October 17, 2006 (71 FR 61144), EPA promulgated a new 24-hour NAAQS. On June 6, 2012, EPA proposed in two separate actions to approve South Carolina’s March 14, 2008, September 18, 2009, and April 3, 2012, infrastructure submissions for the 1997 annual and 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. See 77 FR 33372 and 77 FR 33380. The March 14, 2008 and September 18, 2009, infrastructure submission for the 1997 annual and 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS addressed elements 110(a)(2)(A)–(H), (J)–(M), except for sections 110(a)(2)(C)—the nonattainment area requirements; 110(a)(2)(D)(i)—the interstate transport requirements; 110(a)(2)(E)(ii)—board requirements;<sup>1</sup> and 110(a)(2)(G)—

<sup>1</sup> EPA is clarifying through today’s final rulemaking that South Carolina’s April 13, 2012, SIP revision proposed that existing State statute meet the requirements of 128.