months of age or younger, the use and abuse tests set forth under the Commission’s regulations at 16 CFR 1500.50 and 16 CFR 1500.51 (excluding the bite test of 1500.51(c)), will be used to evaluate accessibility of phthalate-containing component parts of a children’s toy or child care article as a result of normal and reasonably foreseeable use and abuse of the product.

(i) For children’s toys or child care articles intended for children that are over 18 months, but not over 36 months of age, the use and abuse tests set forth under the Commission’s regulations at 16 CFR 1500.50 and 16 CFR 1500.52 (excluding the bite test of 1500.52(c)), will be used to evaluate accessibility of phthalate-containing component parts of a children’s toy or child care article as a result of normal and reasonably foreseeable use and abuse of the product.

(g) For children’s toys intended for children that are over 36 months, but not over 96 months of age, the use and abuse tests set forth under the Commission’s regulations at 16 CFR 1500.50 and 16 CFR 1500.53 (excluding the bite test of 1500.53(c)), will be used to evaluate accessibility of phthalate-containing component parts of a children’s toy as a result of normal and reasonably foreseeable use and abuse of the product.

(h) For children’s toys intended for children over 96 months through 12 years of age, the use and abuse tests set forth under the Commission’s regulations at 16 CFR 1500.50 and 16 CFR 1500.53 (excluding the bite test of 1500.53(c)) intended for children aged 37–96 months will be used to evaluate accessibility of phthalate-containing component parts of a children’s toy as a result of normal and reasonably foreseeable use and abuse of the product.

(i) Because the Commission proposes to adopt the same guidance with respect to inaccessibility for phthalates that was adopted by the Commission with regard to inaccessibility for lead, paint, coatings, and electroplating may not be considered a barrier that would render phthalate-containing component parts of toys and child care articles inaccessible. A children’s toy or child care article that is or contains a phthalate-containing part that is enclosed, encased, or covered by fabric and passes the appropriate use and abuse tests on such covers, is considered inaccessible to a child, unless the product or part of the product in the same dimension, is smaller than 5 centimeters. However, vinyl (or other plasticized material) covered mattresses/sleep surfaces which contain phthalates that are designed or intended by the manufacturer to facilitate sleep of children age 3 and younger, are considered accessible and would not be considered inaccessible through the use of fabric coverings, including sheets and mattress pads.

(j) The intentional disassembly or destruction of products by children older than age 8 years, by means or knowledge not generally available to younger children, including use of tools, will not be considered in evaluating products for accessibility of phthalate-containing components.

Dated: July 26, 2012.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–18620 Filed 7–30–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Indian Affairs

25 CFR Part 226

Establishment of the Osage Negotiated Rulemaking Committee

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On June 18, 2012, the Department published a notice of intent to establish the Osage Negotiated Rulemaking Committee (Committee). The Committee will develop specific recommendations to address future management and administration of the Osage Mineral Estate, including potential revisions to the regulations governing leasing of Osage Reservation lands for oil and gas mining at 25 CFR part 226. This notice establishes the Committee, and announces a public meeting of the committee.

DATES: Meeting: Tuesday, August 21, 2012 from 11:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. and Wednesday, August 22, 2012 from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. (Central Time).

ADDRESS: The meetings will be held at the Osage Mineral Council, 813 Grandview Avenue, Pawhuska, OK 74056.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert Impson, Designated Federal Officer, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Telephone: (918) 781–4600; Fax: (918) 781–4604, or Email: robert.impson@bia.gov. Include the words Osage Negotiated Rulemaking in the subject line.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 14, 2011, the United States and the Osage Nation (formerly known as the Osage Tribe) signed a Settlement Agreement to resolve litigation regarding alleged mismanagement of the Osage Nation’s oil and gas mineral estate, among other claims. As part of the Settlement Agreement, the parties agreed that it would be mutually beneficial “to address means of improving the trust management of the Osage Mineral Estate, the Osage Tribal Trust Account, and Other Osage Accounts.” Settlement Agreement, Paragraph 1.1. The parties agreed that a review and revision of the existing regulations is warranted to better assist the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) in managing the Osage Mineral Estate. The parties agreed to engage in a negotiated rulemaking for this purpose. Settlement Agreement, Paragraph 9.b. After the Committee submits its report, BIA will develop a proposed rule to be published in the Federal Register.

Public Comments: Public comments were submitted nominating members of the Osage Minerals Council who were not named or were named as alternates in the June 18, 2012, Federal Register Notice. These comments generally expressed concern that some elected members of the Osage Minerals Council were not being allowed to participate on the Committee. The Department understands that the Osage Minerals Council, which is the governing body of the Osage Mineral Estate, voted on the members who would sit on the Committee in order of preference; therefore, the interests of all Council members will be represented by the members voted to serve on the Committee by the Osage Minerals Council. Additionally, alternates will serve on the Committee as an official member when a Committee member is absent. Nominations were also received naming individual Osage Headright holders. The Department believes that as members who vote for the Osage Minerals Council, the interests of each of these individuals will be adequately represented by those members voted to serve on the Committee, each of whom is an elected member of the Osage Minerals Council and empowered to make decisions regarding the Osage Minerals Estate. Public comments were also received nominating non-Osage Headright holders due to concerns that the Osage Minerals Council does not have the best interests of shareholders in mind. Because all shareholders receive the same benefit per headright interest, however, the Department believes that the Osage members of the
Committee, each of whom are also shareholders, will adequately represent the interest of all shareholders. It is relevant to note that all of the individuals who are not appointed to the Committee will have an opportunity to participate in the negotiated rulemaking by attending Committee meetings, submitting information and speaking at Committee meetings during the public comment sessions. Some of the comments nominating the various individuals also raised issues with the Osage Constitution and role of the BIA in managing the Osage Mineral Estate. These issues are not relevant to the nomination and appointment of members to the Committee. In any event, the Osage Nation operates pursuant to a duly enacted Constitution dated March 11, 1996. Additionally, the goal of the negotiated rulemaking is to provide recommendations to improve BIA’s management and administration of the Osage Mineral Estate.

Certification and Establishment of Committee: Therefore, in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), as amended (5 USC Appendix 2), and with the concurrence of the General Services Administration, the Department of the Interior is announcing the establishment of the Osage Negotiated Rulemaking Committee. The Committee will report to the Secretary of the Interior through the Designated Federal Officer. The Bureau of Indian Affairs will provide administrative and logistical support to the Committee. The members are those individuals identified in the Notice of Intent published on June 18, 2012.

Public Meeting Information

Meeting Agenda: At the first meeting, the Commission will be receiving informational briefings, discussing its goals and procedures, developing a meeting schedule and work plan, and reviewing the existing regulations and topics required to be included in the negotiated rulemaking pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. The public will be able to make comments on Tuesday, August 21 from 3:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.; and Wednesday, August 22, 2012, from 1:00 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. The final agenda will be posted on www.bia.gov/osageregneg prior to the meeting.

Public Input: Interested members of the public may present, either orally or through written comments, information for the Committee to consider during the public meeting. Speakers who wish to expand their oral statements, or those who had wished to speak, but could not be accommodated during the public comment period, are encouraged to submit their comments in written form to the Committee after the meeting. Individuals or groups requesting to make comments at the public Committee meeting will be limited to 5 minutes per speaker. Interested parties should contact Mr. Robert Impson, Designated Federal Officer, in writing (preferably via email), by August 17, 2012 (See FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT), to be placed on the public speaker list for this meeting.

In order to attend this meeting, you must register by close of business August 17, 2012. The meeting location is open to the public, and current, government-issued, photo ID is required to enter. Space is limited, so all interested in attending should pre-register. Please submit your name, time of arrival, email address and phone number to Mr. Robert Impson via email at robert.impson@bia.gov or by phone at (918) 781–4600.

Certification Statement: I hereby certify that the establishment of the Osage Negotiated Rulemaking Committee is necessary, is in the public interest and is established under the authority of the Secretary of the Interior.

Dated: July 26, 2012.

Ken Salazar,
Secretary, Department of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 2012–18674 Filed 7–30–12; 8:45 am]

BILING CODE 4310–02–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; West Virginia; Prevention of Significant Deterioration

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the West Virginia State Implementation Plan (SIP), submitted by the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (WVDEP) on August 31, 2011. These revisions pertaining to West Virginia’s Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program incorporate preconstruction permitting regulations for fine particulate matter (PM2.5) and Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) into the West Virginia SIP. In addition, EPA is proposing to approve these revisions and portions of other related submissions for the purpose of determining that West Virginia has met its statutory obligations with respect to the infrastructure requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA) which relate to West Virginia’s PSD permitting program and are necessary to implement, maintain, and enforce the 1997 PM2.5 and ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), the 2006 PM2.5 NAAQS, and the 2008 lead and ozone NAAQS. EPA is proposing to approve these revisions in accordance with the requirements of the CAA.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before August 30, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Number EPA–R03–OAR–2012–0388 by one of the following methods:

A. www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

B. Email: cox.kathleen@epa.gov.


D. Hand Delivery: At the previously-listed EPA Region III address. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket’s normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–R03–OAR–2012–0388. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or email. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA without going through www.regulations.gov, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your