

July 4th fireworks show. Should inclement weather prevent the fireworks event from taking place as planned, the draw need not open from 9:40 p.m. until 11:15 p.m. on July 5th to accommodate the annual July 4th fireworks show; and on the third or fourth Wednesday of August, the draw will open every two hours on the hour from 10 a.m. until 4 p.m. and need not open from 4 p.m. until 8 p.m. to accommodate the annual Air Show. In the closed position to vessels, the vertical clearance for this bascule-type bridge is 10 feet above mean high water.

The majority of the vessels that transit the bridges this time of the year are recreational boats. Vessels able to pass through the bridges in the closed positions may do so at anytime. Both bridges will be able to open for emergencies. The Atlantic Ocean is an alternate route for vessels unable to pass through the bridges in closed positions. The Coast Guard will also inform the users of the waterways through our Local and Broadcast Notices to Mariners of the closure periods for the bridge so that vessels can arrange their transits to minimize any impact caused by the temporary deviation.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridges must return to their regular operating schedules immediately at the end of the designated time period. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: July 18, 2012.

Waverly W. Gregory, Jr.,

Bridge Program Manager, Fifth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2012-18345 Filed 7-26-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

36 CFR Part 219

RIN 0596-AD02

National Forest System Land Management Planning; Correction

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Correcting amendments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Agriculture (USDA) published a National Forest System land management planning rule in the *Federal Register*, on April 9, 2012, (77 FR 21162).

Errors have been found in the rule with respect to punctuation, hyphenation, and wording. The errors

have been corrected in the rule published today.

DATES: These corrections are effective July 27, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Written inquiries about this correction document may be sent to the Director, Ecosystem Management Coordination Staff, USDA Forest Service, 1400 Independence Ave. SW., Mailstop Code 1104, Washington, DC 20250-1104.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ecosystem Management Coordination staff's Planning Specialist Regis Terney at 202-205-1552.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This document makes technical corrections to Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 219—Planning, Subpart A—National Forest System Land Management Planning (36 CFR part 219, subpart A). One technical correction at 36 CFR 219.11(d)(4) concerns the wording describing the maximum size for openings that may be cut in one harvest operation. The wording should have said “maximum size for openings” instead of “maximize size for openings.”

At 36 CFR 219.17(b)(2) and (b)(3), the reference to “36 CFR part 209” should be “36 CFR part 219” and reference to “parts 200 to 209” should be “parts 200 to 299” and, therefore, technical corrections have been made to Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 219—Planning, Subpart A—National Forest System Land Management Planning (36 CFR part 219, subpart A), § 219.17(b)(2) and (b)(3). The correct reference in section 219.17(b)(2) and (3) is “36 CFR Part 219, published at 36 CFR Parts 200 to 299, revised as of July 1, 2010.”

In addition, corrections have been made to punctuation, hyphenation, and wording errors. The punctuation, hyphenation, and word corrections do not change the content of the rule. These specific changes are as follows:

In § 219.4, paragraph (a), the acronym “NEPA” is spelled out; in paragraph (a)(2), the term “Government” is capitalized; and in paragraph (a)(3)(b)(2)(iii), the word “to” has been added.

In § 219.6 paragraph (a)(1), the word “contained” has been removed from the first sentence.

In § 219.7 paragraph (c)(2)(viii), the word “which” has been changed to “that.”

In § 219.11 paragraph (d)(4) “maximize” has been changed to “maximum” and in paragraph (d)(4)(ii) “60-days” has been hyphenated.

In § 219.19 Definitions, changes have been made in definitions as follows: at

“*Collaboration or collaborative process*” the comma has been removed from “October, 2007;” at “*Connectivity*” the term “long distance” has been hyphenated; at “*Cultural Services*” a comma was added after the term “experiences;” and at “*Source water protection areas*” “the” was added to “Environmental Protection Agency.”

Need for Correction

As published, the final regulations contain errors which may be misleading and, therefore, need to be changed. Other changes are to make the document grammatically correct.

List of Subjects in 36 CFR Part 219

Administrative practice and procedure, Environmental impact statements, Indians, Intergovernmental relations, National forests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Science and technology.

Accordingly, 36 CFR part 219 is corrected by making the following correcting amendments:

PART 219—PLANNING

■ 1. The authority citation for part 219 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301; 16 U.S.C. 1604, 1613.

■ 2. In § 219.4 revise paragraph (a) introductory text, and paragraphs (a)(2), and (b)(2)(iii) to read as follows:

§ 219.4 Requirements for public participation.

(a) *Providing opportunities for participation.* The responsible official shall provide opportunities to the public for participating in the assessment process; developing a plan proposal, including the monitoring program; commenting on the proposal and the disclosure of its environmental impacts in accompanying National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documents; and reviewing the results of monitoring information. When developing opportunities for public participation, the responsible official shall take into account the discrete and diverse roles, jurisdictions, responsibilities, and skills of interested and affected parties; the accessibility of the process, opportunities, and information; and the cost, time, and available staffing. The responsible official should be proactive and use contemporary tools, such as the Internet, to engage the public, and should share information in an open way with interested parties. Subject to the notification requirements in § 219.16, the responsible official has the discretion to determine the scope,

methods, forum, and timing of those opportunities. The Forest Service retains decisionmaking authority and responsibility for all decisions throughout the process.

* * * * *

(2) *Consultation with federally recognized Indian Tribes and Alaska Native Corporations.* The Department recognizes the Federal Government has certain trust responsibilities and a unique legal relationship with federally recognized Indian Tribes. The responsible official shall honor the government-to-government relationship between federally recognized Indian Tribes and the Federal Government. The responsible official shall provide to federally recognized Indian Tribes and Alaska Native Corporations the opportunity to undertake consultation consistent with Executive Order 13175 of November 6, 2000, and 25 U.S.C. 450 note.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(2) * * *

(iii) Opportunities for the plan to address the impacts identified or to contribute to joint objectives; and

* * * * *

■ 3. In § 219.6 revise paragraph (a)(1) to read as follows:

§ 219.6 Assessment.

* * * * *

(a) * * *

(1) Identify and consider relevant existing information in governmental or non-governmental assessments, plans, monitoring reports, studies, and other sources of relevant information. Such sources of information may include State forest assessments and strategies, the Resources Planning Act assessment, ecoregional assessments, non-governmental reports, State comprehensive outdoor recreation plans, community wildfire protection plans, public transportation plans, State wildlife data and action plans, and relevant Agency or interagency reports, resource plans or assessments. Relevant private information, including relevant land management plans and local knowledge, will be considered if publicly available or voluntarily provided.

* * * * *

■ 4. In § 219.7 revise paragraph (c)(2)(viii) to read as follows:

§ 219.7 New plan development or plan revision.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(2) * * *

(viii) Identify the suitability of areas for the appropriate integration of

resource management and uses, with respect to the requirements for plan components of §§ 219.8 through 219.11, including identifying lands that are not suitable for timber production (§ 219.11).

* * * * *

■ 5. In § 219.11 revise paragraph (d)(4) introductory text, and paragraph (d)(4)(ii) to read as follows:

§ 219.11 Timber requirements based on the NFMA.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(4) Where plan components will allow clearcutting, seed tree cutting, shelterwood cutting, or other cuts designed to regenerate an even-aged stand of timber, the plan must include standards limiting the maximum size for openings that may be cut in one harvest operation, according to geographic areas, forest types, or other suitable classifications.

* * * * *

(ii) Plan components may allow for size limits exceeding those established in paragraphs (d)(4) introductory text and (d)(4)(i) of this section on an individual timber sale basis after “60-days” public notice and review by the regional forester.

* * * * *

■ 6. In § 219.17 revise paragraphs (b)(2) and (b)(3) to read as follows:

§ 219.17 Effective dates and transition.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(2) *Initiating plan amendments.* All plan amendments initiated after May 9, 2012, are subject to the objection process in subpart B of this part. With respect to plans approved or revised under a prior planning regulation, including the transition provisions of the reinstated 2000 rule (36 CFR part 219, published at 36 CFR parts 200 to 299, revised as of July 1, 2010), plan amendments may be initiated under the provisions of the prior planning regulation for 3 years after May 9, 2012, and may be completed and approved under those provisions (except for the optional appeal procedures of the prior planning regulation); or may be initiated, completed, and approved under the requirements of this part. After the 3-year transition period, all plan amendments must be initiated, completed, and approved under the requirements of this part.

(3) *Plan development, plan amendments, or plan revisions initiated before this part.* For plan development, plan amendments, or plan revisions that were initiated before May 9, 2012, the

responsible official may complete and approve the plan, plan amendment, or plan revision in conformance with the provisions of the prior planning regulation, including its transition provisions (36 CFR part 219, published at 36 CFR parts 200 to 299, revised as of July 1, 2010), or may conform the plan, plan amendment, or plan revision to the requirements of this part. If the responsible official chooses to complete an ongoing planning process under the provisions of the prior planning regulation, but chooses to allow for an objection rather than an administrative appeal, the objection process in subpart B of this part shall apply. When the responsible official chooses to conform an ongoing planning process to this part, public notice must be made (§ 219.16(a)(5)). An objection process may be chosen only if the public is provided the opportunity to comment on a proposed plan, plan amendment, or plan revision, and associated environmental analysis.

* * * * *

■ 7. In § 219.19 revise the definitions of *Collaboration* or *collaborative process* and *Connectivity*, revise paragraph (4) of the definition of *Ecosystem services*, and revise the *definition of Source water protection areas* to read as follows:

§ 219.19 Definitions.

* * * * *

Collaboration or *collaborative process.* A structured manner in which a collection of people with diverse interests share knowledge, ideas, and resources while working together in an inclusive and cooperative manner toward a common purpose.

Collaboration, in the context of this part, falls within the full spectrum of public engagement described in the Council on Environmental Quality’s publication of October 2007: *Collaboration in NEPA—A Handbook for NEPA Practitioners.*

Connectivity. Ecological conditions that exist at several spatial and temporal scales that provide landscape linkages that permit the exchange of flow, sediments, and nutrients; the daily and seasonal movements of animals within home ranges; the dispersal and genetic interchange between populations; and the long-distance range shifts of species, such as in response to climate change.

* * * * *

Ecosystem services. * * *

(4) *Cultural services*, such as educational, aesthetic, spiritual and cultural heritage values, recreational experiences, and tourism opportunities.

* * * * *

Source water protection areas. The area delineated by a State or Tribe for

a public water system (PWS) or including numerous PWSs, whether the source is ground water or surface water or both, as part of a State or tribal source water assessment and protection program (SWAP) approved by the Environmental Protection Agency under section 1453 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300h-3(e)).

* * * * *

Dated: July 19, 2012.

Thomas L. Tidwell,

Chief, Forest Service.

[FR Doc. 2012-18322 Filed 7-26-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R03-OAR-2012-0272; FRL-9702-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Control of Iron and Steel Production Installations; Sintering Plants

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking direct final action to approve revisions to the Maryland State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) on June 30, 2009. The revisions amend the visible emissions requirements of the Maryland SIP's regulation for the Control of Iron and Steel Production Installations only as they apply to sintering plants. The sintering plant located at the Sparrows Point steelmaking facility (Sparrows Point) is the only sintering plant located in the State of Maryland. The revisions exempt the sintering plant from the visible emissions section of the regulation for the Control of Iron and Steel Production Installations contingent upon the source's two wet scrubbers, used to control emissions of particulate matter, continuously monitoring compliance with specified pressure drop and flow rate operating parameters. EPA is approving these revisions because they provide for a continuous means of determining compliance with the applicable SIP emission rate for particulate matter from the sintering plant located at Sparrows Point, and because that emission rate has been demonstrated to protect and maintain the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for PM₁₀ (particulate matter consisting of

particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 10 micrometers). EPA is approving these revisions in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

DATES: This rule is effective on September 25, 2012 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse written comment by August 27, 2012. If EPA receives such comments, it will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Number EPA-R03-OAR-2012-0272 by one of the following methods:

A. *www.regulations.gov*. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

B. *Email: spink.marcia@epa.gov*.

C. *Mail: EPA-R03-OAR-2012-0272*, Marcia L. Spink, Associate Director for Policy & Science, Air Protection Division, Mailcode 3AP00, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

D. *Hand Delivery:* At the previously-listed EPA Region III address. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R03-OAR-2012-0272. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at *www.regulations.gov*, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through *www.regulations.gov* or email. The *www.regulations.gov* Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA without going through *www.regulations.gov*, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your

comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the *www.regulations.gov* index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in *www.regulations.gov* or in hard copy during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the State submittal are available at the Maryland Department of the Environment, 1800 Washington Boulevard, Suite 705, Baltimore, Maryland 21230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marcia L. Spink, Associate Director for Policy & Science, Air Protection Division (215) 814-2104, or by email at *spink.marcia@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On June 30, 2009, MDE submitted formal revisions (#09-02) to its SIP. The SIP revisions consist of amendments to Regulation .03 Visible Emissions under (Code of Maryland administrative regulations) COMAR 26.11.10 Control of Iron and Steel Production Installations as they apply only to sintering plants. There is only one sintering plant located in Maryland. The one sintering plant affected by this regulation is located at Sparrows Point. Its particulate matter emissions are controlled by two wet (water) scrubbers each equipped with two fans. Under the current Maryland SIP, this sintering plant is subject to visible emissions and particulate matter standards. The current SIP requires that after demonstrating compliance with the applicable SIP particulate matter emission rate for sintering plants, a person may not cause or permit the discharge of visible fugitive emissions into the outdoor atmosphere, other than water in an uncombined form, which is greater than 10 percent opacity as averaged over any consecutive 6-minute period. The sintering plant's applicable SIP emission rate for particulate matter