responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

12. Energy Effects

This action is not a “significant energy action” under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

13. Technical Standards

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

14. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves implementation of regulations within 33 CFR Part 100 that apply to organized marine events on the navigable waters of the United States that may have potential for negative impact on the safety or other interest of waterway users and shore side activities in the event area. This special local regulation is necessary to provide for the safety of the general public and event participants from potential hazards associated with movement of vessels near the event area. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

This rule is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(h) of Figure 2–1 of the Commandant Instruction, a preliminary environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS

1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1233.

2. At § 100.501, in the Table to § 100.501, make the following amendments:

a. Under “(d) Coast Guard Sector North Carolina—COTP Zone,” suspend entry (d)4.

b. Under “(d) Coast Guard Sector North Carolina—COTP Zone,” add entry (d)5 to read as follows:

§ 100.501  Special Local Regulations; Recurring Marine Event in the Fifth Coast Guard District.

Dated: June 28, 2012.

A. Popiel,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port North Carolina.

[FR Doc. 2012–18154 Filed 7–24–12; 8:45 am]
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33 CFR Part 100  [Docket No. USCG–2010–1024]

Olympia Harbor Days Tug Boat Races, Budd Inlet, WA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of enforcement of regulation.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard will enforce the Special Local Regulation, Olympia Harbor Days Tug Boat Races, Budd Inlet, WA from 12 p.m. through 8 p.m. on September 2, 2012. This action is necessary to restrict vessel movement within the specified race area, immediately prior to, during, and immediately after racing activity in order to ensure the safety of participants, spectators and the maritime public. Entry into, transit through, mooring or anchoring within the specified race area is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Puget Sound or Designated Representatives.

DATES: The regulations in 33 CFR 100.1309 will be enforced from 12 p.m. through 8 p.m. on September 2, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this notice, call or email Ensign Nathaniel P. Clinger, Sector Puget Sound Waterways Management Division, Coast Guard; telephone 206–217–6045, email SectorPugetSoundWWM@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Coast Guard is providing notice of enforcement of the Special Local Regulation for Olympia Harbor Days Tug Boat Races, Budd Inlet, WA in 33 CFR 100.1309 on September 2, 2012, from 12 p.m. to 8 p.m.

The following area is specified as a race area: All waters of Budd Inlet, WA the width of the navigation channel south of a line connecting the following points: 47°05′53″ N 122°55′844″ W and 47°05′52″ N 122°55′680″ W until reaching the northermmost end of the navigation channel at a line connecting the following points: 47°05′10″ N 122°55′799″ W and 47°05′13″ N 122°55′659″ W then southeasterly until reaching the southernmost entrance of the navigation channel at a line connecting the following points: 47°03′946″ N 122°54′577″ W, 47°04′00″ N 122°54′471″ W.

Under the provisions of 33 CFR 100.1309, the regulated area shall be closed immediately prior to, during and immediately after the event to all persons and vessels not participating in

<insert Table>
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
Coast Guard

Anchorage Regulations; Narragansett Bay and Rhode Island Sound, RI

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is removing an obsolete naval explosives anchorage in Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island, and adding an offshore anchorage in Rhode Island Sound south of Breton Point, Rhode Island, for use by vessels waiting to enter Narragansett Bay.

DATES: This rule is effective August 24, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket USCG–2009–1131 and are available online by going to http://www.regulations.gov, inserting USCG–2009–1131 in the “Keyword” box, and then clicking “Search.” This material is also available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call Mr. Edward G. LeBlanc at Coast Guard Sector Southeastern New England, 401–435–2351. If you have questions on viewing the docket, please call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

On March 21, 2011, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled “Anchorage Regulations; Narragansett Bay and Rhode Island Sound, RI,” in the Federal Register (76 FR 15246). We received nine comments on the proposed rule.

Basis and Purpose

The Secretary of Homeland Security has delegated to the Coast Guard the authority to establish and regulate anchorage grounds in accordance with 33 U.S.C. 471; 1221 through 1236, 2030, 2035, 2071; 33 CFR 1.05–1; and Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1. The purpose of this rule is to remove an obsolete and no longer used anchorage in Narragansett Bay from the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), and formalize and codify an area of Rhode Island Sound that under current informal practice is routinely used by mariners as an anchorage while waiting to enter Narragansett Bay.

Discussion of Comments and Changes

We received nine comments on the proposed rule. One letter, from the Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, U.S. Department of the Interior (DOI), stated that DOI had no comment on the proposed rule.

The Coast Guard received no comments opposed to the section of this rule that disestablishes the obsolete naval explosives anchorage in Narragansett Bay.

The other comments were from private citizens, municipalities in the Narragansett Bay area, a Rhode Island state representative, and the Massachusetts Attorney General, among others. These comments expressed a generally consistent theme: Comments requested that the Coast Guard conduct a more thorough environmental impact analysis consistent with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Specifically, comments requested that the Coast Guard’s NEPA analysis discuss the possible adverse impacts to the environment from potential use of the proposed anchorage by tankers that may deliver liquefied natural gas (LNG) to the proposed Weaver’s Cove LLC import facility in Mt. Hope Bay, Massachusetts. Several comments requested a public meeting to discuss the NEPA issue vis a vis the Weaver’s Cove LLC proposal.

At the time the Coast Guard published its March 2011 NPRM for this rulemaking, Weaver’s Cove LLC was seeking approval from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) to build and operate a waterfront LNG facility in Fall River, Massachusetts. On June 20, 2011, Weaver’s Cove LLC formally notified FERC that it was withdrawing its proposals. On July 6, 2011, FERC issued documentation vacating its July 15, 2005, authorization to Weaver’s Cove for a waterfront facility in Fall River, Massachusetts, and terminating its (FERC’s) processing of the Weaver’s Cove application for an LNG offload facility in Mt. Hope Bay.

On May 5, 2011, the U.S. Navy also requested that the Corps of Engineers disestablish the Restricted Area as it is no longer needed. (A copy of the letter from the Commanding Officer, Naval Station Newport, is included in the docket for this rule.) In February 2012 the Corps of Engineers initiated the rulemaking process to remove the Restricted Area from the Code of Federal Regulations.