

Dated: July 2, 2012.

Glen Knowles,

*Chief, Adaptive Management Group,
Environmental Resources Division, Upper
Colorado Regional Office, Salt Lake City,
Utah.*

[FR Doc. 2012-17884 Filed 7-20-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-752]

**Certain Gaming and Entertainment
Consoles, Related Software, and
Components Thereof; Notice of
Commission Determination To Review
a Final Initial Determination Finding a
Violation of Section 337; Remand of
the Investigation to the Administrative
Law Judge**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade
Commission.

ACTION: Correction of notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission's notice published in the **Federal Register** on July 6, 2012 (77 FR 40082) contained an error that incorrectly identified "337-TA-745" as the investigation number for the Commission's determination to review a final initial determination finding a violation of section 337 and remand of the investigation to the administrative law judge. The correct investigation number is 337-TA-752.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 18, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-17887 Filed 7-20-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

National Institute of Corrections

**Solicitation for a Cooperative
Agreement—Development of a Series
of Publications for the Evidence-Based
Decision Making in Local Criminal
Justice Systems Initiative**

AGENCY: National Institute of
Corrections, U.S. Department of Justice.

ACTION: Solicitation for a Cooperative
Agreement.

SUMMARY: The National Institute of Corrections (NIC) Community Services Division is soliciting proposals from organizations, groups, or individuals to enter into a cooperative agreement with NIC for up to 18 months beginning in October 2012. Work under this

cooperative agreement is part of a larger NIC initiative, Evidence-Based Decision Making (EBDM) in Local Criminal Justice Systems. Work under this cooperative agreement will align with the activities of other cooperative agreements providing services under Phase III of this initiative.

DATES: Applications must be received by 4:00 p.m. (EDT) on Friday, August 10, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Mailed applications must be sent to: Director, National Institute of Corrections, 320 First Street NW., Room 5002, Washington, DC 20534.

Applicants are encouraged to use Federal Express, UPS, or similar service to ensure delivery by the due date.

Hand delivered applications should be brought to 500 First Street NW., Washington, DC 20534. At the front desk, dial 7-3106, extension 0 for pickup.

Faxed applications will not be accepted. Electronic applications can be submitted via <http://www.grants.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of this announcement can be downloaded from the NIC Web site at www.nicic.gov/cooperativeagreements.

All technical or programmatic questions concerning this announcement should be directed to Lori Eville, Correctional Program Specialist, National Institute of Corrections, at leville@bop.gov. In addition to direct reply, all questions and answers will be posted on the NIC Web site at www.nicic.gov for public review (the names of those submitting questions will not be posted). The Web site will be updated regularly and postings will remain on the Web site until the closing date of this cooperative agreement solicitation. Only questions received by 12:00 p.m. (EDT) on July 30, 2012 will be posted on the NIC Web site.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Overview: The overall goal of the EBDM Initiative is to establish and test the links (information tools and protocols) between local criminal justice decisions and the application of human and organizational change principles (evidence-based practices) in achieving measurable reductions in pretrial misconduct and post-conviction risk of reoffending. The unique focus of the initiative is the review of locally developed criminal justice strategies that guide practice within existing sentencing statutes and rules. The initiative intends to (1) improve the quality of information that leads to making individual case decisions in local systems and (2) engage these systems as policymaking bodies to

collectively improve the effectiveness and capacity of their decision making related to pretrial release/sentencing options. Local officials involved in the initiative include judges, prosecutors, public defenders, police, human service providers, county executives, and administrators of jail, probation, and pretrial services agencies.

Local criminal justice decisions are defined broadly to include dispositions regarding arrest, cite and release or to custody; pretrial release or detention and setting of bail and pretrial release conditions; pretrial diversion; charging and plea bargaining; sentencing of adjudicated offenders regarding use of community and custody options; and responses to violations of conditions of pretrial release and community sentences.

Background: In June 2008, the National Institute of Corrections (NIC) launched a multi-phased initiative and awarded a cooperative agreement to address evidence-based decision making in local criminal justice systems. The goal of Phase I of the initiative was to build a systemwide framework (from arrest through final disposition and discharge) that would result in more collaborative, evidence-based decision making and practices in local criminal justice systems. This effort was grounded in two decades of research on the factors that contribute to criminal reoffending and the methods a justice system can employ to interrupt the cycle of reoffense. Today, the initiative seeks to equip criminal justice policymakers in local communities with information, processes, and tools that will result in measurable reductions of pretrial misconduct and post-conviction reoffending.

The principle product of Phase I of this initiative was the Evidence-Based Decision Making Framework in Local Criminal Justice Systems. The Framework identifies the key structural elements of a system informed by evidence-based practice. It defines a vision of safer communities. It puts forward the belief that risk and harm reduction are fundamental goals of the justice system and that these can be achieved without sacrificing offender accountability or other important justice system outcomes.

The Framework both acknowledges the importance of the key premises and values underlying our criminal justice system and provides a set of principles to guide evidence-based decision making within that context; the principles themselves are evidence-based. The Framework also highlights the groundbreaking research that demonstrates pretrial misconduct and