EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

H. Executive Order 13211: Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

This action is not subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355 (May 22, 2001)), because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

This temporary stay is not subject to the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"). Section 12(d) of the NTTAA, Public Law 104–113, 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note), directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

J. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations

This temporary stay is not subject to Executive Order 12898. Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994), establishes federal executive policy on environmental justice. Its main provision directs federal agencies, to the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law, to make environmental justice part of their mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of their programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations in the United States.

EPA has determined that this action will not have disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority or low-income populations because it does not change the substance of 40 CFR 52.1628.

K. Congressional Review Act

This action is not subject to the Congressional Review Act ("CRA"). The CRA, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The Section 804(3) of the CRA defines "rule" as having the same meaning given to such term in section 551 of the APA. See 5 U.S.C. 551(4).

Since this action is not designed to implement, interpret, or prescribe law or policy, within the meaning of APA, this action is exempted from the reporting requirements of the CRA.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Best available control technology, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Interstate transport of pollution, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Regional haze, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide, Visibility.

Dated: July 2, 2012.

Lisa P. Jackson, Administrator.

Title 40, chapter I, of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.
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DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 375

[Docket No. FMCSA–2011–0313]

RIN 2126–AB41

Transportation of Household Goods in Interstate Commerce; Consumer Protection Regulations: Household Goods Motor Carrier Record Retention Requirements

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: FMCSA amends the regulations governing the period during which household goods (HHG) motor carriers must retain documentation of an individual shipper’s waiver of receipt of printed copies of consumer protection materials. This change harmonizes the retention period with other document retention requirements applicable to HHG motor carriers.

DATES: This final rule is effective November 13, 2012, unless an adverse comment, or notice of intent to submit an adverse comment, is either submitted to the above docket via http://www.regulations.gov or before August 15, 2012 or reaches the Docket Management Facility by that date. If an adverse comment, or notice of intent to submit an adverse comment, is received by August 15, 2012, we will withdraw this direct final rule and publish a timely notice of withdrawal in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number FMCSA–2011–0313 using any one of the following methods:

4. Hand Delivery: Same as mail address above, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., E.T., Monday through Friday.

This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

This temporary stay is not subject to the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"). Section 12(d) of the NTTAA, Public Law 104–113, 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note), directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

This temporary stay is not subject to the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"). Section 12(d) of the NTTAA, Public Law 104–113, 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note), directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

This temporary stay is not subject to the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"). Section 12(d) of the NTTAA, Public Law 104–113, 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note), directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

This temporary stay is not subject to the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"). Section 12(d) of the NTTAA, Public Law 104–113, 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note), directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

This temporary stay is not subject to the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"). Section 12(d) of the NTTAA, Public Law 104–113, 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note), directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

This temporary stay is not subject to the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"). Section 12(d) of the NTTAA, Public Law 104–113, 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note), directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.
except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.

To avoid duplication, please use only one of these methods. See the “Public Participation and Comments” portion of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below for instructions on submitting comments.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Public Participation and Comments

If you would like to participate in this rulemaking, you may submit comments and related materials. All comments received will be posted, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov and will include any personal information you have provided.

A. Submitting Comments

If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking (FMCSA–2011–0313), indicate the specific section of this direct final rule to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation. You may submit your comments and material online, or by fax, mail or hand delivery, but please use only one of these means. We recommend that you include your name and a mailing address, an email address, or a phone number in the body of your document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission. As a reminder, FMCSA will only consider adverse comments as defined in 49 CFR 389.39(b) and explained below.

To submit your comment online, go to http://www.regulations.gov, click on the “submit a comment” box, which will then become highlighted in blue. In the “Document Type” drop down menu select “Final Rule” and insert “FMCSA–2011–0313” in the “Keyword” box. Click “Search” then click on the balloon shape in the “Actions” column. If you submit your comments by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached the facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope.

B. Viewing Comments and Documents

To view comments, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov, click on the “read comments” box, which will then become highlighted in blue. In the “Keyword” box insert “FMCSA–2011–0313” and click “Search.” Click the “Open Docket Folder” in the “Actions” column. If you do not have access to the Internet, you may also view the docket online by visiting the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

C. Privacy Act

Anyone can search the electronic form of comments received into any of our docket files by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review a Privacy Act notice regarding our public docket in the January 17, 2008, issue of the Federal Register (73 FR 3316).

II. Regulatory Information

FMCSA publishes this direct final rule under 49 CFR 389.39 because the Agency determined that the rule is a routine and non-controversial amendment to 49 CFR part 375. The rule reduces the record retention period in 49 CFR 375.213(e)(3) from three years to one year to harmonize it with the retention period required for other household goods shipping documents. It also clarifies that a household goods motor carrier is not required to retain waiver documentation from an individual shipper for whom the carrier does not transport household goods or provide related services. FMCSA does not expect any adverse comments to this rule because it merely makes this recordkeeping requirement consistent with others in 49 CFR part 375. If no adverse comments, or notices of intent to submit an adverse comment, are received by August 15, 2012, this rule will become effective as stated in the DATES section. In that case, approximately 30 days before the effective date, we will publish a document in the Federal Register stating that no adverse comments were received and confirming that this rule will become effective as scheduled. However, if we receive any adverse comments or notices of intent to submit an adverse comment, we will publish a document in the Federal Register announcing the withdrawal of all or part of this direct final rule. If we decide to proceed with a rulemaking following receipt of any adverse comments, we will publish a separate notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) and provide a new opportunity for comment.

A comment is considered “adverse” if the comment explains why this rule or a part of this rule would be inappropriate, including a challenge to its underlying premise or approach, or would be ineffective or unacceptable without a change.

III. Legal Basis for the Rulemaking

The Secretary of Transportation’s (Secretary) general jurisdiction to establish regulations over transportation of property by motor carrier is found at 49 U.S.C. 13501. Household goods motor carriers are a subset of all property motor carriers and are required by 49 U.S.C. 13902 to register with FMCSA as HHG motor carriers. The Secretary’s authority to inspect, copy and set retention periods for HHG motor carriers’ records is found at 49 U.S.C. 14122. This rulemaking only applies to HHG motor carriers that provide for-hire transportation in interstate or foreign commerce.

This rulemaking is based on the statutory provisions cited above and on the Household Goods Mover Oversight Enforcement and Reform Act of 2005, Title IV, Subtitle B of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA–LU) (Pub. L. 109–59). Section 4205 of SAFETEA–LU, codified at 49 U.S.C. 14104(b)(2), requires HHG motor carriers to distribute the following two FMCSA consumer pamphlets to prospective shippers: “Your Rights and Responsibilities When You Move,” and “Ready to Move?—Tips for a Successful Interstate Move.” The Secretary has delegated these various authorities to the FMCSA Administrator (49 CFR 1.73(a)).

IV. Background

On November 29, 2010, FMCSA published a final rule entitled “Brokers of Household Goods Transportation by Motor Vehicle” (73 FR 72987). That rule amended FMCSA’s regulations to require HHG brokers to comply with certain consumer protection requirements. As a part of that rule, FMCSA also amended existing regulations to permit HHG motor carriers to provide FMCSA’s consumer protection publications by Internet in place of paper copies (49 CFR 375.213(a) and (b)). In accordance with that rule, if an individual shipper elects to waive physical receipt of the consumer protection information and instead chooses to access the information via hyperlink on the Internet, HHG motor carriers must obtain a signed paper or electronic
receipt from the shipper documenting this waiver (49 CFR 375.213(e)(2)). Household goods motor carriers must keep this receipt on file for three years (49 CFR 375.213(e)(3)).

On January 11, 2011, the American Moving and Storage Association (AMSA) submitted a petition for rulemaking to amend 49 CFR 375.213(e). AMSA requested that FMCSA reduce the retention period for the waiver documentation from three years to one year to harmonize this requirement with other one-year document retention requirements in 49 CFR part 375. AMSA also requested that FMCSA amend § 375.213(e)(3) to clarify that household goods motor carriers are only required to retain receipts from those shippers for whom they actually provide moving services.

A copy of AMSA’s current petition is in Docket FMCSA–2011–0313, as well as Docket FMCSA–2004–17008.

V. Discussion of the Rule
FMCSA amends 49 CFR 375.213(e)(3) by reducing the retention period from three years to one year for signed receipts documenting an individual shipper’s waiver of physical receipt of the consumer protection publications “Your Rights and Responsibilities When You Move,” and “Ready to Move?—Tips for a Successful Interstate Move.” This change would harmonize this requirement with other requirements in part 375 that require HHG motor carriers to retain shipping documents for only one year. See, for example, 49 CFR 375.403(c) (binding estimates); § 375.405(d) (non-binding estimates); and § 375.501(g) (orders for service). FMCSA does not believe that any valid consumer protection purpose would be served by requiring HHG motor carriers to retain the consumer protection waiver receipt documentation two years longer than the other documentation about a shipper’s move. In any event, without the other documentation related to a shipper’s move, FMCSA would be limited in its ability to use the waiver for enforcement purposes.

FMCSA also amends 49 CFR 375.213(e)(3) by clarifying that a HHG motor carrier that obtains a signed waiver from a shipper is required to comply with the retention requirements in § 375.213(e)(3) only if the carrier actually provides moving services to the shipper. FMCSA estimates that shippers solicit approximately three estimates from different household goods carriers before choosing one. The Agency does not believe there are any significant consumer benefits associated with requiring a HHG carrier to retain receipts for prospective shippers that ultimately do not use its services. As a result, § 375.213(e)(3) no longer requires HHG carriers to retain receipts from shippers who decide not to use that particular HHG motor carrier.

VI. Regulatory Analyses
Executive Order (E.O.) (Regulatory Planning and Review), Executive Order 13563 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review), and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures
FMCSA has determined that this direct final rule is not a “significant regulatory action” within the meaning of Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, as supplemented by E.O. 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011), or within the meaning of DOT regulatory policies and procedures. The estimated cost or benefit of the direct final rule is not expected to exceed the $100 million annual threshold for economic significance; therefore, any costs or benefits associated with the rule are expected to be minimal. Moreover, the Agency does not expect the direct final rule to generate substantial Congressional or public interest. Therefore, this rule has not been formally reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget. No expenditures were estimated of the affected population because this rule reduces a regulatory burden.

Regulatory Flexibility Act
Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121, 110 Stat. 857), FMCSA is not required to prepare a final regulatory flexibility analysis under 5 U.S.C. 604(a) for this final rule because the agency has not issued a notice of proposed rulemaking prior to this action.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act
FMCSA is not required to prepare an assessment under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, 2 U.S.C. 1313, et seq., evaluating a discretionary regulatory action because the Agency has not issued an NPRM prior to this action.

E.O. 13132 (Federalism)
A rule has implications for Federalism under Section 1(a) of E.O. 13132 if it has “substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.” FMCSA has determined that this rule would have substantial direct effects on States, nor would it limit the policymaking discretion of States. Nothing in this document preempts any State law or regulation.

Paperwork Reduction Act
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), a Federal agency must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information it conducts, sponsors, or requires through regulations. The FMCSA seeks approval of the collection of information requirements in this direct final rule to generate, maintain, retain, disclose, and provide information to, or for, the agency under 49 CFR part 375. The information collected will assist individual household goods shippers in their commercial dealings with interstate household goods carriers, thereby providing a desirable consumer protection service. The collection of information would be used by prospective household goods shippers to make informed decisions about contracts and services to be ordered, executed, and settled within the interstate household goods carrier industry.

FMCSA estimates there are approximately 6,000 active household goods carriers.¹ This direct final rule reducing the record retention time from 3 years to one year results in a smaller burden on the HHG motor carrier industry. However, necessary adjustments were made to baseline annual burden and cost estimates because the Agency previously failed to account for the paperwork burden/reduction the November 29, 2010, final rule “Brokers of Household Goods Transportation by Motor Vehicle” (73 FR 72987) would have on household goods carriers who provide consumers electronic access to the mandated consumer protection information. FMCSA has calculated a program adjustment decrease of 31,900 estimated annual burden hours $5,524,500 proposed estimated annual burden hours $5,556,400 currently-approved estimated annual burden hours = (31,900)] and a decrease of $5,328,000 in estimated annual costs to respondents $4,516,000 proposed annual cost to respondents $9,844,000 currently-approved annual cost to respondents = $5,328,000.²

(shippers) requesting either printed or electronic copies of Federal consumer protection information, specifically, Department of Transportation publications FMCSA–ESA–03–005 entitled “Ready to Move?” and FMCSA–ESA–03–006 “Your Rights and Responsibilities When You Move.” The Agency estimates that forty percent of consumers will request printed copies and the remaining sixty percent will request electronic copies. HHG motor carriers may provide a hyperlink directed to each of these documents from their Web sites, but are required to obtain a receipt that indicates verification of the shipper’s agreement to access the Federal consumer protection information on the Internet. Although an increase in burden hours is associated with carriers providing hyperlinks, obtaining, and retaining receipts from shippers who elect to access these publications electronically, there is a substantial reduction in material costs from producing and storing documents. In addition to these adjustments, the Agency identified and corrected a calculation error regarding annual burden hours in the currently approved Information Collection Request (ICR).

Table 1 summarizes the revision to annual burden estimates for IC1: “Required Information for Prospective Individual Shippers” based on Agency errors found in the calculations done in 2010. A detailed analysis of the burden hours can be found in the Paperwork Reduction Act supporting statement that corresponds with this direct final rule. The supporting statement and its attachments are in the docket associated with this direct final rule (Docket No. FMCSA–2011–0313).

### Table 1—Summary of Revisions to Annual Hourly Burden Estimates Due to Agency Errors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection</th>
<th>Old burden</th>
<th>Revision due to error</th>
<th>Revision due to agency error (old—error)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Ready to Move?”</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Rights &amp; Responsibilities”</td>
<td>68,000</td>
<td>-34,000</td>
<td>34,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaint &amp; Inquiry Program Summary</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>-500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arbitration Procedure Summary</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create Summaries</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website Hyperlink</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signed Receipts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for IC1</td>
<td>75,400</td>
<td>-35,000</td>
<td>40,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 below summarizes the revisions to annual burden estimates based on the Household Goods Broker final rule of November 29, 2010. The direct final rule to reduce the record retention period for receipts from three years to one year does not affect the annual burden hour estimates, only the capital costs shown in Table 3.

### Table 2—Summary of Revisions to Annual Hourly Burden Estimates Based on HHG Broker Final Rule of November 29, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection</th>
<th>Revision due to agency error</th>
<th>Revision due to HHG broker final rule</th>
<th>Total after HHG broker final rule (error—HHG broker final rule)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Ready to Move?”</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>-1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Rights &amp; Responsibilities”</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>-20,400</td>
<td>13,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaint &amp; Inquiry Program Summary</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arbitration Procedure Summary</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create Summaries</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website Hyperlink</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signed Receipts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for IC1</td>
<td>40,400</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>43,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 summarizes the revision to annual costs to respondents. Revisions are due to consumer requests for electronic pamphlets instead of printed ones. A detailed analysis of annual costs can be found in the Paperwork Reduction Act supporting statement that corresponds with this direct final rule. The supporting statement and its attachments are in the docket associated with this direct final rule (Docket No. FMCSA–2011–0313).
TABLE 3—SUMMARY OF REVISIONS OF ESTIMATES OF ANNUAL COSTS TO RESPONDENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection</th>
<th>New cost</th>
<th>Old cost</th>
<th>Total cost reduction (new—old)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Ready to Move?”</td>
<td>$288,000</td>
<td>$720,000</td>
<td>$432,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Rights &amp; Responsibilities”</td>
<td>3,264,000</td>
<td>8,160,000</td>
<td>4,896,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaint &amp; Inquiry Program Summary</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arbitration Procedure Summary</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Capital Costs for IC1</strong></td>
<td>3,792,000</td>
<td>9,120,000</td>
<td>5,328,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We particularly request your comments on whether the collection of information is necessary for the FMCSA to meet the goal of 49 CFR part 375 to protect consumers, including: (1) Whether the information is useful to this goal; (2) the accuracy of the estimate of the burden of the information collection; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. You may submit comments on the information collection burden addressed by this direct final rule to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The OMB must receive your comments by September 14, 2012. You must mail or hand deliver your comments to: Attention: Desk Officer for Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Room 10102, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. Please also provide a copy of your comments on the information collection burden addressed by this direct final rule to docket FMCSA–2011–0313 in www.regulations.gov by one of the four ways shown above under the ADDRESSES heading.

National Environmental Policy Act and Clean Air Act

FMCSA analyzed this rule in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.). The Agency has determined that it does not affect direct or indirect emissions of criteria pollutants.

E.O. 12988 (Civil Justice Reform)

This final rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

E.O. 12630 (Taking of Private Property)


Privacy Impact Assessment


List of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 375

Advertising, Arbitration, Consumer protection, Freight, Highways and roads, Insurance, Motor carriers, Moving
of household goods, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

VII. The Final Rule

For the reasons stated in the preamble, FMCRA amends 49 CFR part 375 in title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, chapter III, subchapter B, as follows:

PART 375—TRANSPORTATION OF HOUSEHOLD GOODS IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE; CONSUMER PROTECTION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 375 continues to read as follows:


2. Revise §375.213, paragraph (e)(3), to read as follows:

§375.213 What information must I provide to a prospective individual shipper?

* * * * *

(e) * * * *

(3) You must maintain the signed receipt required by paragraph (e)(2) of this section for one year from the date the individual shipper signs the receipt. You are not required to maintain the signed receipt when you do not actually transport household goods or perform related services for the individual shipper who signed the receipt.

Issued on: July 6, 2012.

Anne S. Ferro,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2012–17268 Filed 7–13–12; 8:45 am]
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RIN 0648–XC077

Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Multispecies Fishery; Adjustment of Georges Bank Yellowtail Flounder Annual Catch Limits

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Temporary rule; inseason adjustment of annual catch limits.

SUMMARY: NMFS announces adjustments to the 2012 fishing year (FY) Georges Bank (GB) yellowtail flounder annual catch limits (ACLs) for the Atlantic scallop and Northeast (NE) multispecies fisheries. This action is based on new projections of the expected catch of GB yellowtail flounder by the scallop fishery and is consistent with a request for the ACL adjustments from the New England Fishery Management Council (Council). The intent is to provide additional harvest opportunity to the NE multispecies fishery while ensuring sufficient amounts of GB yellowtail flounder are available for the scallop fishery.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The GB yellowtail catch limit for U.S. fisheries, commonly called quotas, are set through an agreement process with Canada as part of the U.S./Canada Resource Sharing Understanding (Understanding). Scientists from both countries conduct a joint assessment of the transboundary stock and provide advice on catch level recommendations to a joint U.S. and Canadian committee called the Transboundary Management Guidance Committee (TMGC). The TMGC establishes an overall quota, called the Total Shared Total Allowable Catch (TAC), which is then subdivided to the two countries using an agreed-upon allocation formula. For FY 2012, the U.S. portion of this quota is 564 mt.

The Council makes recommendations to NMFS on further partitioning the U.S. GB yellowtail quota between the NE multispecies, scallop, and other fisheries. The allocation to the scallop fishery, known as the sub-ACL, is specified in regulations to be set at an amount equal to 90 percent of the projected need by that fishery, to maximize scallop catch. The groundfish sub-ACL is determined after deducting the amount equal to 90 percent of the projected need by that fishery, to maximize scallop catch. The groundfish sub-ACL is determined after deducting the amount equal to 90 percent of the projected need by that fishery, to maximize scallop catch. The groundfish sub-ACL is determined after deducting the amount equal to 90 percent of the projected need by that fishery, to maximize scallop catch. The groundfish sub-ACL is determined after deducting the amount equal to 90 percent of the projected need by that fishery, to maximize scallop catch. The groundfish sub-ACL is determined after deducting the amount equal to 90 percent of the projected need by that fishery, to maximize scallop catch. The groundfish sub-ACL is determined after deducting the amount equal to 90 percent of the projected need by that fishery, to maximize scallop catch. The groundfish sub-ACL is determined after deducting the amount equal to 90 percent of the projected need by that fishery, to maximize scallop catch. The groundfish sub-ACL is determined after deducting the amount equal to 90 percent of the projected need by that fishery, to maximize scallop catch.

The GB yellowtail flounder working group to the NE Groundfish and Scallop Committees was requested that the working group develop a GB yellowtail flounder sub-ACL and the sub-ACLs to the groundfish vessels, therefore, can be constraining on sector vessels that are fishing for other groundfish species, or that are targeting GB yellowtail flounder. During the April 25, 2012, Council meeting in Mystic, CT, members of the NE multispecies fishing industry expressed concern to the Council that the 2012 NE multispecies GB yellowtail flounder sub-ACL of 217.7 mt is too low. Given this concern and indications that the scallop fishery sub-ACL for GB yellowtail flounder may be higher than needed by the scallop fishery in light of more current catch information, the Council requested that NMFS create a GB yellowtail flounder working group to explore the possibilities of increasing the amount of GB yellowtail sub-ACL allocated to the groundfish fishery. The request suggested that the working group include members from the TMGC, Council Groundfish and Scallop Committees, and NMFS and Council staff. The Council requested that the working group review the possibility of revising the sub-ACLs for the scallop and groundfish fisheries based on new information suggesting that the projections of GB yellowtail flounder catch in the scallop fishery were much higher than needed, and to consider modification of the U.S. and Canadian shares of GB yellowtail flounder established through the Understanding.

In response to this request, NMFS formed a working group, which also included fishing industry and non-governmental organization representatives. The working group held teleconferences on May 11, 2012, and