Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), because Apex reported the entered value for all, and Falcon for most, of their U.S. sales, we have calculated importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates for these sales based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. To determine whether the duty assessment rates are de minimis, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we have calculated importer-specific ad valorem ratios based on the entered value. Regarding Falcon’s sales to Puerto Rico, we have based the assessment rate for these sales on the cash deposit rate calculated for Falcon.

For the companies which were not selected for individual examination, we have used as the assessment rate the cash deposit assigned to these exporters, in accordance with our practice. See, e.g., Shrimp from India, 76 FR at 41206. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent). The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003. See Assessment Policy Notice. This clarification applies to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to an intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. See Assessment Policy Notice for a full discussion of this clarification.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent, de minimis within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), the cash deposit will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, as well as those companies listed in the “Determination of No Shipments” section, above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 10.17 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India, 70 FR 5147, 5148 (Feb. 1, 2005). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility, under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2), to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.


Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–16931 Filed 7–10–12; 8:45 am]
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Background

On November 30, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published in the Federal Register a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel wire garment hangers from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) covering the period October 1, 2010, through September 30, 2011.1 On February 28, 2012, Petitioner2 withdrew its request for an administrative review of the following companies: Ningbo Dasheng Hanger Ind. Co., Ltd., Shanghai Jianhai International Trade Co., Ltd., Shaoxing Dingli Metal Clotheshorse, Shaoxing Gangyuan Metal Manufacture, Shaoxing Xiangyu Metal Manufacture, and Shaoxing Tongzhou Metal Manufactured Co., Ltd.3 Although Shaoxing Andrew Metal Manufactured, Shaoxing Gangyuan Metal Manufacture, and Shaoxing Tongzhou Metal Manufactured Co., Ltd. also requested reviews, those companies subsequently withdrew their request for review.


2 M&B Metal Products Co., Inc. (“Petitioner”).

3 See Letter from Petitioner to the Secretary of Commerce “Third Administrative Review of Steel Wire Garment Hangers from China—Petitioner’s Withdrawal of Review Requests for Specific Companies” (February 28, 2012).
withdraw their requests on the same date.4

Partial Rescission
Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. The withdrawal requests filed by Petitioner, Shaoxing Andrew Metal Manufactured, Shaoxing Gangyuan Metal Manufacture, and Shaoxing Tongzhuo Metal Manufactured Co., Ltd. were submitted within the 90 day period and, thus, are timely. Because the withdrawal requests were timely submitted and because no other party continues to have an outstanding request for review of the aforementioned companies, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are partially rescinding this review with respect to Ningbo Dasheng Hanger Ind. Co., Ltd., Shanghai Jianhai International Trade Co., Ltd., Shaoxing Andrew Metal Manufactured, Shaoxing Dingli Metal Clothseshorse, Shaoxing Gangyuan Metal Manufacture, and Shaoxing Tongzhuo Metal Manufactured Co., Ltd.5

Assessment Rates
The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Ningbo Dasheng Hanger Ind. Co., Ltd., Shanghai Jianhai International Trade Co., Ltd., Shaoxing Andrew Metal Manufactured, Shaoxing Dingli Metal Clothseshorse, Shaoxing Gangyuan Metal Manufacture, and Shaoxing Tongzhuo Metal Manufactured Co., Ltd. have separate rates from a prior segment of this proceeding; therefore, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period October 1, 2010, through September 30, 2011, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(2). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notification to Importers
This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded, as of the publication date of this notice, of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders
This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(f)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).


Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.


5 We note that there are additional companies for which review requests were withdrawn within the 90 day period. See Letter from Petitioner to the Secretary of Commerce “Third Administrative Review of Steel Wire Garment Hangers from China—Petitioner’s Withdrawal of Review Requests for Specific Companies” (February 28, 2012). However, because these companies do not have a separate rate from a prior segment of this proceeding, we intend to address the disposition of these withdrawal requests in the preliminary results of this review. See, e.g., Honey From the People’s Republic of China: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 77 FR 25682, 25683 n.1 (May 1, 2012); Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks From the People’s Republic of China: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 77 FR 12811, 12811 n.1 (March 2, 2012).