In response to this request, on December 27, 2011, the Department initiated a CCR, and on January 27, 2012, the Department issued a questionnaire to New Zhongya. New Zhongya filed its questionnaire response on February 24, 2012. Its submission included organizational charts, employment contracts, board meeting minutes, monthly income statements.

A notice of initiation of a changed circumstances review (“CCR”) of the antidumping duty order on aluminum extrusions from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) in order to determine whether Guangdong Zhongya Aluminum Company Limited (“Guangdong Zhongya”) is the successor-in-interest to New Zhongya Aluminum Company Limited (“New Zhongya”). New Zhongya stated that no changes were made in personnel, management, ownership, facilities, customers, suppliers, etc.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

New Zhongya, a producer of aluminum extrusions, participated in the antidumping duty investigation of aluminum extrusions from the PRC. The Department issued its final determination for this investigation on April 4, 2011.1 As a result of that final determination, New Zhongya’s weighted-average dumping margin is 33.28 percent.2 The antidumping duty order was issued on May 26, 2011.3

On November 7, 2011, New Zhongya requested a changed circumstances review claiming that it had undergone a name change to Guangdong Zhongya Aluminum Company Limited.4 New Zhongya requested that the antidumping duty rate, which was assigned to New Zhongya and was in effect before the date of the name change (i.e., August 16, 2011), continue under the new name. New Zhongya’s request, stating that it underwent no changes other than the change in the name, was accompanied by supporting documents from Chinese government authorities,5 recognizing and approving the name change. Specifically, New Zhongya stated that no changes were made in personnel, management, ownership, facilities, customers, suppliers, etc.

1 See Aluminum Extrusions From the People’s Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 76 FR 18524 (April 4, 2011); see also Aluminum Extrusions From the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Correction to the Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 76 FR 20627 (April 11, 2011).
2 Id.
4 See Letter from New Zhongya to the Department, “Extruded Aluminum from China” (request for Changed Circumstances Review), dated November 7, 2011.
5 These Chinese government authorities include the Bureau of Foreign Trade & Economic Cooperation of High and New Technology Industrial Development Zone of Zhaoqing and the Administration Bureau for Industry and Commerce of Zhaoqing City.
and balance sheets, a product list, full lists of suppliers and home—and U.S.-market customers, and sample supplier and customer invoices, as well as narrative responses confirming a name change from New Zhongya to Guangdong Zhongya.

The petitioner in this proceeding, Aluminum Extrusions Fair Trade Committee, has not commented on New Zhongya’s request.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is aluminum extrusions which are shapes and forms, produced by an extrusion process, made from aluminum alloys having metallic elements corresponding to the alloy series designations published by The Aluminum Association commencing with the numbers 1, 3, and 6 (proprietary equivalents or other certifying body equivalents). Specifically, the subject merchandise made from aluminum alloy with an Aluminum Association series designation commencing with the number 1 contains not less than 99 percent aluminum by weight. The subject merchandise made from aluminum alloy with an Aluminum Association series designation commencing with the number 3 contains manganese as the major alloying element, with manganese accounting for not more than 3.0 percent of total materials by weight. The subject merchandise is made from an aluminum alloy with an Aluminum Association series designation commencing with the number 6 contains magnesium and silicon as the major alloying elements, with magnesium accounting for at least 0.1 percent but not more than 2.0 percent of total materials by weight, and silicon accounting for at least 0.1 percent but not more than 3.0 percent of total materials by weight. The subject aluminum extrusions are properly identified by a four-digit alloy series without either a decimal point or leading letter. Illustrative examples from among the approximately 160 registered alloys that may characterize the subject merchandise are as follows: 1350, 3003, and 5052.

Aluminum extrusions are produced and imported in a wide variety of shapes and forms, including, but not limited to, hollow profiles, other solid profiles, pipes, tubes, bars, and rods. Aluminum extrusions that are drawn subsequent to extrusion (“drawn aluminum”) are also included in the scope.

Aluminum extrusions are produced and imported with a variety of finishes (both coatings and surface treatments), and types of fabrication. The types of coatings and treatments applied to subject aluminum extrusions include, but are not limited to, extrusions that are mill finished (i.e., without any coating or further finishing), brushed, buffed, polished, anodized (including bright-dip anodized), liquid painted, or powder coated. Aluminum extrusions may also be fabricated, i.e., prepared for assembly. Such operations would include, but are not limited to, extrusions that are cut-to-length, machined, drilled, punched, notched, bent, stretched, knurled, swedged, mitered, chamfered, threaded, and spun. The subject merchandise includes aluminum extrusions that are finished (coated, painted, etc.), fabricated, or any combination thereof.

Subject aluminum extrusions may be described at the time of importation as parts for final finished products that are assembled after importation, including, but not limited to, window frames, door frames, solar panels, curtain walls, or furniture. Such parts that otherwise meet the definition of aluminum extrusions are included in the scope. The scope includes the aluminum extrusion components that are attached (e.g., by welding or fasteners) to form subassemblies, i.e., partially assembled merchandise unless imported as part of the “finished goods kit” defined further below. The scope does not include the non-aluminum extrusion components of subassemblies or subject kits.

Subject extrusions may be identified with reference to their end use, such as fence posts, electrical conduits, door thresholds, carpet trim, or heat sinks (that do not meet the finished heat sink exclusionary language below). Such goods are subject merchandise if they otherwise meet the scope definition, regardless of whether they are ready for use at the time of importation.

The following aluminum extrusion products are excluded: Aluminum extrusions made from aluminum alloy with an Aluminum Association series designations commencing with the number 2 and containing in excess of 1.5 percent copper by weight; Aluminum extrusions made from aluminum alloy with an Aluminum Association series designation commencing with the number 5 and containing in excess of 1.0 percent magnesium by weight; and aluminum extrusions made from aluminum alloy with an Aluminum Association series designation commencing with the number 7 and containing in excess of 2.0 percent zinc by weight.

The scope also excludes finished merchandise containing aluminum extrusions as parts that are fully and permanently assembled and completed at the time of entry, such as finished windows with glass, doors with glass or vinyl, picture frames with glass pane and backing material, and solar panels. The scope also excludes finished goods containing aluminum extrusions that are entered unassembled in a “finished goods kit.” A finished goods kit is understood to mean a packaged combination of parts that contains, at the time of importation, all of the necessary parts to fully assemble a final finished good and requires no further finishing or fabrication, such as cutting or punching, and is assembled “as is” into a finished product. An imported product will not be considered a “finished goods kit” and therefore excluded from the scope of the investigation merely by including fasteners such as screws, bolts, etc. in the packaging with an aluminum extrusion product.

The scope also excludes aluminum alloy sheet or plates produced by other than the extrusion process, such as aluminum products produced by a method of casting. Cast aluminum products are properly identified by four digits with a decimal point between the third and fourth digit. A letter may also precede the four digits. The following Aluminum Association designations are representative of aluminum alloys for casting: 208.0, 295.0, 308.0, 355.0, C355.0, 356.0, A356.0, A357.0, 360.0, 366.0, 380.0, A380.0, 413.0, 443.0, 514.0, 516.1, and 712.0. The scope also excludes pure, unwrought aluminum in any form.

The scope also excludes collapsible tubular containers composed of metallic elements corresponding to alloy code 1080A as designated by the Aluminum Association where the tubular container (excluding the nozzle) meets each of the following dimensional characteristics: (1) Length of 37 mm or 62 mm, (2) outer diameter of 11.0 mm or 12.7 mm, and (3) wall thickness not exceeding 0.13 mm.

Also excluded from the scope of this order are finished heat sinks. Finished heat sinks are fabricated heat sinks made from aluminum extrusions the design and production of which are organized around meeting certain specified thermal performance requirements and which have been fully, albeit not necessarily individually, tested to comply with such requirements.

Imports of the subject merchandise are provided for under the following categories of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTS”): 7604.21.0000, 7604.29.1000.
or combination of factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication of a successor-in-interest relationship, generally, the Department will consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if the new company’s resulting operation is not materially dissimilar to that of its predecessor. Thus, if the record evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as the predecessor company, the Department may assign the new company the cash deposit rate of its predecessor.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(i), the Department preliminarily determines that Guangdong Zhongya is the successor-in-interest to New Zhongya. The record evidence indicates that Guangdong Zhongya has retained New Zhongya’s management and organizational structure, operations and production facilities, and significantly similar supplier and customer relationships. All of New Zhongya’s executive personnel remain in the same positions in Guangdong Zhongya’s organization, and the organizational structure remains the same. Operationally, a comparison of New Zhongya’s financial statements for the periods before the name change and those of Guangdong Zhongya for the periods after indicates that Guangdong Zhongya operates as the same business entity. Furthermore, the paid-in-capital and capital reserve from the period prior to the name change in New Zhongya’s balance sheet are the same as those during the same period in Guangdong Zhongya’s balance sheet. Similarly, Guangdong Zhongya’s closing retained earnings balance for August equals New Zhongya’s July (prior-month) closing retained earnings balance plus the monthly profit from Guangdong Zhongya’s income statement for August, as would be expected if they were the same company.

The evidence on the record also shows that New Zhongya retained a significant majority of its suppliers after it became Guangdong Zhongya. Moreover, Guangdong Zhongya’s home-market customer base remains largely the same as New Zhongya’s, and its U.S. customer base is identical to New Zhongya’s U.S. customer base. Therefore, the Department preliminarily finds that the record evidence supports Guangdong Zhongya’s claim that it is the successor-in-interest to New Zhongya. Given the totality of the considered factors, the record evidence demonstrates that Guangdong Zhongya is the same entity, operating in a significantly similar manner to New Zhongya. Consequently, the Department preliminarily determines that Guangdong Zhongya should be given the same antidumping duty treatment as New Zhongya, i.e., the separate rate status previously afforded to New Zhongya and the accompanying 33.28 percent antidumping duty cash deposit rate.

If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of this changed circumstances review, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to suspend liquidation and collect a cash deposit rate of 33.28 percent on all shipments of the subject merchandise exported by Guangdong Zhongya and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after the publication date of the final results of this changed circumstances review. This deposit rate shall remain in effect until further notice.

Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 14 days after the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i); rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the case briefs, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1). Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of

7 See, e.g., Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon From Norway: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 64 FR 7977 (March 1, 1999).
8 See Letter from New Zhongya to the Department, “Extruded Aluminum from China” (response to the Department’s questionnaire), dated February 27, 2012.
9 See id.
participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department intends to issue its final results of review within 270 days after the date on which the changed circumstances review was initiated, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(e), and will publish those final results in the Federal Register.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: June 27, 2012.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–16460 Filed 7–3–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–0S–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
RIN 0648–XC092
Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement and Restoration Plan To Compensate for Injuries to Natural Resources in Portland Harbor, OR

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of a Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement and Restoration Plan; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NOAA, the Department of the Interior (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service), the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, the Nez Perce Tribe, the Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Indian Reservation of Oregon, the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, the Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians, and the Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon are collectively referred to as the Trustee Council for this case. The Trustee Council is providing notice that the Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) and Draft Restoration Plan are being released for public comment. The Restoration Plan identifies a restoration approach to compensate for injuries to natural resources in Portland Harbor in the Lower Willamette River. The Trustees seek damages from potentially responsible parties (PRPs) to restore, rehabilitate, replace or acquire the equivalent of natural resources and services injured by the release of hazardous substances in Portland Harbor. This notice provides details on the availability of and opportunity to comment on the Draft PEIS and Restoration Plan. Comments may be submitted in written form or verbally at a public meeting.

DATES: Written comments must be received by October 7, 2012.

Public meetings to discuss and comment on the Draft PEIS/RP will be held as follows:
- Tuesday, July 17, 2012, 5:30–7:30 p.m., St. Johns Community Center, 8427 N. Central Street, Portland, OR 97203.
- Thursday, August 2, 2012, 4:30–6:30 p.m., Portland State University, Smith Memorial Student Union, Room 238, 1719 SW 10th Ave., Portland, Oregon 97201.

ADRESSES: Written comments on the Draft PEIS/RP should be sent to Megan Callahan Grant, NOAA Restoration Center, 1201 NE Lloyd Blvd. #1100, Portland, OR 97232. Comments may be submitted electronically to portlandharbor.restoration@noaa.gov.

The Draft PEIS and Restoration Plan is available for viewing at the following locations:
- Multnomah County Central Library, 801 SW 10th Avenue, Portland, OR 97205.
- Multnomah County Northwest Library, 2300 NW Thurman Avenue, Portland, OR 97210.
- Multnomah County St. Johns Library, 7510 N. Charleston Avenue, Portland, OR 97203.

A full electronic copy may be downloaded at: http://www.fws.gov/oregonwfo/Contaminants/PortlandHarbor/.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan Callahan Grant at (503) 231–2213 or email at megan.callahan-grant@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), the Oil Pollution Act (OPA) of 1990, the Clean Water Act (CWA), the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (National Contingency Plan [NCP]), and other applicable federal and state laws and regulations provide a legal framework for addressing injuries to the nation’s natural resources resulting from releases of hazardous substances and discharges of oil. The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1960 requires an assessment of any federal action that may impact the environment, in this case development of a Restoration Plan.

In January of 2007, the Portland Harbor Trustee Council released a Pre-Assessment Screen (PAS) for the Portland Harbor Superfund site. The PAS concluded that natural resources in the area have been affected or potentially affected from releases or discharges of contaminants. Based on the conclusions of the PAS, the Portland Harbor Trustee Council determined that proceeding past the preassessment phase to a full natural resource damage assessment was warranted.

Exposed living natural resources include, but are not limited to: (1) Aquatic-dependent mammals such as mink and river otter, and species they depend on as prey items; (2) migratory birds, including osprey, bald eagle, mergansers and other waterfowl, great blue heron, spotted sandpiper and other shorebirds, cliff swallow, belted kingfisher, and other species; (3) threatened and endangered species; (4) anadromous and resident fish, including salmon and steelhead; (5) reptiles and amphibians; (6) aquatic invertebrates; (7) wapato and other aquatic plants.

Exposed habitat types and water natural resources include wetland and upland habitats, groundwater, and surface water. The services that are provided by these potentially affected natural resources include, but are not limited to: (1) Habitat for trust resources, including food, shelter, breeding, foraging, and rearing areas, and other factors essential for survival; (2) consumptive commercial resource use such as commercial fishing; (3) consumptive recreational resource use such as hunting and fishing; (4) non-consumptive uses such as wildlife viewing, photography, and other outdoor recreation activities; (5) primary and secondary contact activities such as swimming and boating; (6) cultural, spiritual, and religious use; (7) option and existence values; (7) traditional foods.

An Assessment Plan was completed in June of 2010. Based on this plan, scientific literature and studies being conducted by the Trustee Council seek to document injuries from hazardous substances found in Portland Harbor. The objective of these studies is to demonstrate (1) how the contamination has harmed the organisms that inhabit the riverine sediments, (2) how the contamination has harmed the fish and wildlife that come into contact with the contaminated sediments or that eat...