(a) The complainants are:

Industrial Technology Research Institute, 195, Sec. 4, Chung Hsing Road, Chutung, Hsinchu, Taiwan 31040, ITRI International, 2880 Zanker Road, Suite 109, San Jose, CA 95134.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:


(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By Order of the Commission.

Issued: June 29, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–16444 Filed 7–3–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION


Certain Circular Welded Pipe and Tube From Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, Taiwan, Thailand, and Turkey

Determinations

On the basis of the record developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the countervailing duty order on certain circular welded pipe and tube from Turkey and the antidumping duty orders on certain circular welded pipe and tube from Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, Taiwan, Thailand, and Turkey would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on July 1, 2011 (76 FR 38691) and determined on October 4, 2011 that it would conduct full reviews (76 FR 65748, October 24, 2011). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission’s reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on January 17, 2012 (77 FR 2318). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 3, 2012, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.


By order of the Commission.

* * *

*The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).
San Rafael, California; Canadian Solar Inc. of Kitchener, Ontario, Canada; and Canadian Solar (USA) Inc. of San Ramon, California. Id.

On May 25, 2012, all of the private parties filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on confidential settlement agreements under Commission rules 210.21(a)(2) and (b). The Commission investigative attorney supported the motion.

On June 13, 2012, the presiding ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 11) granting the joint motion. No party petitioned for review of the ID.


By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 29, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–16433 Filed 7–3–12; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree With Dairyland Power Cooperative Under the Clean Air Act

Pursuant to 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on June 28, 2012, a proposed Consent Decree in United States of America v. Dairyland Power Cooperative (“Dairyland”), Civil Action No. 12-cv-462, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin.

In this civil enforcement action under the federal Clean Air Act (“Act”), the United States alleges that Dairyland—an electric utility—failed to comply with certain requirements of the Act intended to protect air quality. The complaint alleges that Dairyland violated the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (“PSD”) and Title V provisions of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671 et seg., and related state and federal implementing regulations, at the Alma/J.P. Madgett Generating Station, a coal-fired power plant in Buffalo County, Wisconsin, and the Genoa Generating Station, a coal-fired power plant in Vernon County, Wisconsin. The alleged violations arise from the construction of modifications at the power plants and operation of the plants in violation of PSD and Title V requirements. The complaint alleges that Dairyland failed to obtain appropriate permits and failed to install and apply required pollution control devices to reduce emissions of various air pollutants. The complaint seeks both injunctive relief and civil penalties.

The proposed Decree lodged with the Court requires installation and operation of certain pollution control devices at the Alma/J.P. Madgett and Genoa plants, and the permanent cessation of operations of certain units at the Alma/J.P. Madgett plant. The settlement will reduce emissions of sulfur dioxide (“SO2”), nitrogen oxides (“NOx”), and particulate matter (“PM”) through emission control requirements and limitations specified by the proposed Decree. Dairyland will also fund environmental projects at a cost of at least $5 million to mitigate the alleged adverse effects of its past violations, and will pay a civil penalty of $950,000.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to United States v. Dairyland Power Cooperative, D. Ref. 90–5–2–1–10163.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/ernd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to “Consent Decree Copy” (EESCDCopy.ERD@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–5271. If requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library by mail, please enclose a check in the amount of $24.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if requesting by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the address given above.

Maureen Katz,
Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–16353 Filed 7–3–12; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA–363]

Controlled Substances: Proposed Adjustment to the Aggregate Production Quotas for 2012

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Department of Justice.

ACTION: Notice with request for comments.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to adjust the 2012 aggregate production quotas for several controlled substances in schedules I and II of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA).

DATES: Electronic comments must be submitted and written comments must be postmarked on or before August 6, 2012. Commenters should be aware that the electronic Federal Docket Management System will not accept comments after midnight Eastern Time on the last day of the comment period.

ADDRESSES: To ensure proper handling of comments, please reference “Docket No. DEA–363” on all electronic and written correspondence. DEA encourages all comments be submitted electronically through http://www.regulations.gov using the electronic comment form provided on that site. An electronic copy of this document is also available at the http://www.regulations.gov Web site for easy reference. Paper comments that duplicate the electronic submission are not necessary as all comments submitted to www.regulations.gov will be posted for public review and are part of the official docket record. Should you, however, wish to submit written comments via regular or express mail, they should be sent to the Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/OAL, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, VA 22152.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John W. Partridge, Chief, Liaison and Policy Section, Drug Enforcement Administration, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, VA 22152, Telephone: (202) 307–4654.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Posting of Public Comments

Please note that all comments received are considered part of the public record and made available for public inspection online at http://www.regulations.gov and in the DEA’s public docket. Such information includes personal identifying