

**15-04.110 Senate Regulations**

The Senate may promulgate regulations consistent with this Chapter and applicable state and federal law that alter the amount or categories of fees to be paid and that further describe the process to be followed in license application, issuance, renewal, revocation or suspension, or transfer.

**15-04.120 Repealer**

Any tribal laws, resolutions or ordinances that prohibited the sale, introduction or possession of intoxicating beverages are repealed. Ordinance No. 27 is repealed. The Swinomish Liquor Regulations promulgated August 4, 2011, are repealed.

**15-04.130 Effective Date**

This Chapter shall become effective upon approval by the Secretary of the Interior and publication of the ordinance in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 1161.

[FR Doc. 2012-16382 Filed 7-3-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-4J-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****National Park Service**

[WASO-NRSS-10750; 2490-STC]

**Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request: Appalachian Trail Management Partner Survey**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service (NPS), Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of an extension of a currently approved information collection (1024-0259); request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** We (National Park Service) will ask the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve the information collection (IC) described below. This collection is to track the satisfaction of federal, state, and not-for-profit partner organizations and agencies receiving support from the Appalachian Trail Park Office (ATPO) to protect trail resources and provide for the public enjoyment and visitor experience of the Appalachian National Scenic Trail (Trail). To comply with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and as a part of our continuing efforts to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, we invite the general public and other federal agencies to comment on this IC. The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*) provides that we may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection unless it

displays a currently valid OMB control number and current expiration date.

**DATES:** Please submit your comment on or before September 4, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Please send your comments to the IC to Phadrea Ponds, Information Collections Coordinator, National Park Service, 1201 Oakridge Drive, Fort Collins, CO 80525 (mail); or *phadrea\_ponds@nps.gov* (email). Please reference Information Collection 1024-0259 APPALACHIAN NATIONAL SCENIC TRAIL, in the subject line.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Angela Walters, Appalachian National Scenic Trail (ANST), NPS, P.O. Box 50, Harpers Ferry, WV 25425; or via phone at (304) 535-6278; or via fax at (304) 535-6270, or via email at *angela\_walters@nps.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Abstract**

The Appalachian National Scenic Trail (ANST) is an unusual unit of the national park system, managed through a decentralized volunteer-based cooperative management system involving: eight national forests, six other national park units, agencies in fourteen states, the Appalachian Trail Conservancy, and citizen volunteers from 30 affiliated trail club organizations. The Appalachian Trail Management Partner Survey (ATMPS) will be used to measure performance through a partner satisfaction survey. The purpose of the ATMPS is to track the satisfaction of partner organizations receiving support from the Appalachian Trail Park Office (ATPO). Progress is measured by evaluating the quality of support provided by ATPO. Data from the proposed survey is needed to assess performance regarding NPS GPRA goal Iib0. HPS performance on all goals measured in this study will contribute to DOI Department-wide performance reports.

**II. Data**

*OMB Control Number:* 1024-0259.

*Title:* Appalachian Trail Management Partner Survey.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* General public; Partners in the Appalachian Trail Cooperative Management System.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*Frequency of Collection:* Annually.

*Estimated Annual Number of Respondents:* 200 (150 respondents and 50 non-respondents).

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 23 hours (3 minutes for respondents and 1 minute for non-respondents).

*Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping "Non-Hour Cost" Burden:* None.

*Comments:* We invite comments concerning this IC on: (1) Whether or not the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether or not the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Please note that the comments submitted in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask OMB in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that it will be done.

Dated: June 29, 2012.

**Madonna L. Baucum,**

*Acting Information Collection Clearance Officer, National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16476 Filed 7-3-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-52-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-832]

**Certain Ink Application Devices and Components Thereof and Methods of Using the Same Determination To Review in Part an Initial Determination Finding All Respondents in Default; Request for Submissions on Remedy, Public Interest, and Bonding as to Certain Respondents**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 7) finding respondents T-Tech Tattoo Device Inc. of Ontario, Canada ("T-Tech"); Yiwu Beyond Tattoo Equipments Co., Ltd. of Yiwu

City, China (“Yiwu”); and Guangzhou Pengcheng Cosmetology Firm of Guangzhou, China (“Guangzhou”) in default.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on March 6, 2012, based on a complaint filed by MT.Derm GmbH of Berlin, Germany and Nouveau Cosmetique USA Inc. of Orlando, Florida (collectively “Complainants”) alleging violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337), as amended, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain ink application devices and components thereof and methods of using the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,345,553 and 6,505,530. 77 FR 13351 (Mar. 6, 2012). The Commission’s Notice of Investigation (“NOI”) named T-Tech, Yiwu, and Guangzhou as respondents. The Complaint was served on March 1, 2012. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was named as a party.

On April 16, 2012, Complainants filed a motion seeking a determination that respondents T-Tech, Yiwu, and Guangzhou be found in default based on their failure to respond to the Complaint and Notice of Investigation. On April 17, 2012, the Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) filed a response in support of the motion. On May 1, 2012, the ALJ issued Order No. 5, ordering the respondents to show cause by close of business on May 16, 2012, why they should not be found in default. No responses were received.

On May 31, 2012, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the motion for default pursuant to section 210.16(a)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.16(a)(1)). On June 6, 2012, T-Tech submitted correspondence to the Commission stating that it had not received any prior communication from the Commission and arguing that the ID finding it in default should be reviewed. On June 7, 2012, the IA filed a Request for Extension of Time for Filing a Petition for Review of Order No. 7. The Chairman granted the motion on June 8, 2012. On June 13, 2012, the IA filed a petition for review of Order No. 7 as to the finding of default against T-Tech. In its petition, the IA notes that the FedEx shipping log indicates that the shipment containing the Complaint and NOI was incorrectly addressed and that it was redirected to another address, but was not received. The IA further notes that the FedEx shipping log indicates that on March 14, 2012, the shipment was intended to be returned to the Commission as undelivered, but that it was not returned, nor did FedEx notify the Commission of the delivery failure. On June 19, 2012, Complainants filed a response to the IA’s petition.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the subject ID, T-Tech’s correspondence, the petition for review, and the response thereto, the Commission has determined to review the subject ID in part, and, on review, to reverse the finding of default against T-Tech.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID findings that Yiwu and Guangzhou are in default. Pursuant to section 337(g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)) and section 210.16(c) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.16(c)), the Commission presumes the facts alleged in the complaint to be true with respect to Yiwu and Guangzhou.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation as to Yiwu and Guangzhou, the Commission may (1) issue an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) issue one or more cease and desist orders that could result in the respondent(s) being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so

indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see *Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub. No. 2843 (Commission Opinion at 7-10) (December 1994).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) The public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission’s action. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005, 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

**Written Submissions:** The parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested persons are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainants and the IA are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission’s consideration. Complainants are also requested to state the dates that the patents expire and the HTSUS numbers under which the accused products are imported. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on July 13, 2012. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on July 20, 2012. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper

copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337-TA-832") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, [http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed\\_reg\\_notices/rules/handbook\\_on\\_electronic\\_filing.pdf](http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf)). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with the any confidential filing. All non-confidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42-46 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42-46 and 210.50).

Issued: June 29, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16430 Filed 7-3-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-865-867 (Second Review)]

### Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Italy, Malaysia, and the Philippines

#### Determination

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff

Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings From Italy, Malaysia, and the Philippines would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

#### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on November 1, 2011 (76 FR 67473) and determined on February 6, 2012 that it would conduct expedited reviews (77 FR 10773, February 23, 2012). On March 21, 2012, the Commission revised its schedule in these expedited reviews (77 FR 18266, March 27, 2012).

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on June 28, 2012. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4337 (June 2012), entitled *Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Italy, Malaysia, and the Philippines: Inv. Nos. 731-TA-865-867 (Second Review)*.

Issued: June 28, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16360 Filed 7-3-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-851]

### Certain Integrated Circuit Packages Provided With Multiple Heat-Conducting Paths and Products Containing Same: Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on May 31, 2012, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Industrial Technology Research Institute of Taiwan and ITRI International of San Jose, California. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated circuit packages provided with multiple heat-conducting paths and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,710,459 ("the '459

patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist order.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2012).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 28, 2012, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated circuit packages provided with multiple heat-conducting paths and products containing same that infringe one or more of claims 1 and 2 of the '459 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).