

consider the Request and the instant contract, respectively.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in these dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR 3015.5, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than July 3, 2012. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Natalie Rea Ward to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2012–28 and CP2012–37 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Natalie Rea Ward is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than July 3, 2012.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–16053 Filed 6–29–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2012–29 and CP2012–38;
Order No. 1381]

Product List Change

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add Express Mail and Priority Mail Contract 9 to the competitive product list. The Postal Service has also filed a related contract. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with these filings.

DATES: *Comments are due:* July 6, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel
at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filings
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Express Mail and Priority Mail Contract 9 to the competitive product list.¹ The Postal Service asserts that Express Mail and Priority Mail Contract 9 is a competitive product “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). Request at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2012–29.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* Attachment B. The instant contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2012–38.

Request. To support its Request, the Postal Service filed six attachments as follows:

- Attachment A—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 11–6, authorizing the new product;
- Attachment B—a redacted copy of the contract;
- Attachment C—proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule competitive product list with the addition underlined;
- Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
- Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and
- Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and related financial information under seal.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Dennis R. Nicoski, Manager, Field Sales Strategy and Contracts, asserts that the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to covering institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's total institutional costs. *Id.* Attachment D at

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 9 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision Contract, and Supporting Data, June 21, 2012 (Request).

1. Mr. Nicoski contends that there will be no issue of market dominant products subsidizing competitive products as a result of this contract. *Id.*

Related contract. The Postal Service included a redacted version of the related contract with the Request. *Id.* Attachment B. The contract is scheduled to become effective on the day the Commission issues all necessary regulatory approval. *Id.* at 4. The contract will expire 3 years from the effective date unless, among other things, either party terminates the agreement upon 30 days' written notice to the other party. *Id.* The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a). *Id.* Attachment D.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the related contract, under seal. *Id.* Attachment F. It maintains that the redacted portions of the contract, customer-identifying information, and related financial information, should remain confidential. *Id.* at 3. This information includes the price structure, underlying costs and assumptions, pricing formulas, information relevant to the customer's mailing profile, and cost coverage projections. *Id.* The Postal Service asks the Commission to protect customer-identifying information from public disclosure indefinitely. *Id.* at 7.

II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2012–29 and CP2012–38 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Express Mail and Priority Mail Contract 9 product and the related contract, respectively.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR 3015.5, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than July 6, 2012. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Natalie Rea Ward to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2012–29 and CP2012–38 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Natalie Rea Ward is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the

interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than July 6, 2012.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-16054 Filed 6-29-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-67256; File No. SR-BX-2012-030]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc.; Order Granting Approval of a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2, Relating to the Establishment of a New Options Market, NASDAQ OMX BX Options

June 26, 2012.

I. Introduction

On May 1, 2012, NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc. (“Exchange” or “BX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² to adopt rules governing the trading of options on NASDAQ OMX BX Options (“BX Options”), which will be an options trading facility of the Exchange. On May 8, 2012, BX filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ The proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on May 18, 2012.⁴ The Commission received one comment letter on the proposal.⁵ The Exchange responded to the comment letter on June 15, 2012.⁶ On June 22, 2012, BX filed Amendment

No. 2 to the proposed rule change.⁷ This order approves the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2 thereto.

II. Discussion and Commission Findings

After careful review of the proposal, as modified by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2, and consideration of the comment letter and the Exchange’s response thereto, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.⁸ Specifically, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁹ which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices; to promote just and equitable principles of trade; to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, and processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities; to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system; and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Section 6(b)(5) also requires that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination among customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. Further, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with Sections 6(b)(1) of the Act,¹⁰ which requires, among other things, that a national securities exchange be so organized and have the capacity to carry out the purposes of the Act, and to comply and enforce compliance by its members and persons associated with its members, with the provisions of the Act, the rules and regulation thereunder, and the rules of the exchange.

This discussion does not review every detail of the proposal, but focuses on the most significant rules and policy issues considered in review of the proposal.

⁷ Amendment No. 2 clarified the Exchange’s status with respect to its participation in various national market system plans, and clarified representations with respect to its regulatory agreements. Because Amendment No. 2 is technical in nature, the Commission is not required to publish it for public comment.

⁸ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1).

A. BX Options Participants

BX Options will operate a fully automated electronic trading system for trading options (“System” or “BX Options System”).¹¹ BX Options will have only one category of members, known as “Options Participants” or “Participants.”¹² Only Options Participants will be permitted to transact business on the BX Options System.¹³ There will be two categories of Options Participants: (1) Options Order Entry Firms (“OEFs”) and (2) Options Market Makers. An Options Participant must be a member of the Exchange and another registered options exchange that is not registered solely under Section 6(g) of the Act¹⁴ or the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”).¹⁵ Further, an OEF may only transact business with public customers if such Options Participant also is a member of another registered national securities exchange or association with which the Exchange has entered into an agreement under Rule 17d-2 under the Act pursuant to which such other exchange or association shall be the designated options examining authority for the OEF.¹⁶ In addition, Options Participants that transact business with Public Customers must at all times be members of FINRA.¹⁷

Among other things, each Options Participant must be registered as a broker-dealer and have as the principal purpose of being an Options Participant the conduct of a securities business, which shall be deemed to exist if and so

¹¹ The proposed rules of BX Options are based on, and virtually identical to, the rules of the NASDAQ Options Market (“NOM”), the options trading facility of The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (“NASDAQ”). See Notice, *supra* note 4, at 29731.

¹² The term “Options Participant” or “Participant” means a firm, or organization that is registered with the Exchange pursuant to Chapter II of BX Options proposed rules for purposes of participating in options trading on BX Options as a BX Options Order Entry Firm or BX Options Market Maker. See proposed BX Options Rules, Chapter I, Section 1(a)(41). All BX members will be eligible to participate in BX Options provided that BX specifically authorizes them to trade in the System and they become Options Participants. Existing BX members that will become Options Participants will be required to comply with the incremental requirements of the proposed BX Options Rules. New BX members will be required to fulfill the requirements of the BX Rule 1000 Series to become a BX member as well as the incremental requirements set forth in the proposed BX Options Rules to become an Options Participant.

¹³ See proposed BX Options Rules, Chapter II and Chapter V, Section 1.

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(g).

¹⁵ See proposed BX Options Rules, Chapter II, Section 2(f).

¹⁶ See proposed BX Options Rules, Chapter XI, Section 1.

¹⁷ See proposed BX Options Rules, Chapter II, Section 2(f).