

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Land Management**[LLCON00000 L10200000.DF0000
LXSS080C0000]**Notice of Public Meeting Location
Change, Northwest Colorado Resource
Advisory Council Meeting****AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management,
Interior.**ACTION:** Notice of Public Meeting
Location Change.**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the
Federal Land Policy and Management
Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory
Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S.
Department of the Interior, Bureau of
Land Management (BLM) Northwest
Colorado Resource Advisory Council
(RAC) will meet on August 23 at the Rio
Blanco County Fairgrounds in Meeker.
This is a location change from what was
announced in the March 30, 2012
Federal Register.**DATES:** The Northwest Colorado RAC
will meet August 23 beginning at 8 a.m.
and adjourn at approximately 3:00 p.m.,
with public comment periods regarding
matters on the agenda at 10 a.m. and 2
p.m. The agendas will be available
before the meeting at http://www.blm.gov/co/st/en/BLM_Resources/racs/nwrac.html.**ADDRESSES:** Rio Blanco County
Fairgrounds, 700 Sulphur Creek Road,
Meeker, CO.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**
David Boyd, Public Affairs Specialist,
Colorado River Valley Field Office, 2300
River Frontage Road, Silt, CO, (970)
876-9008. Persons who use a
telecommunications device for the deaf
(TDD) may call the Federal Information
Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339
to contact the above individual during
normal business hours. The FIRS is
available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week,
to leave a message or question with the
above individual. You will receive a
reply during normal business hours.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The
Northwest Colorado RAC advises the
Secretary of the Interior, through the
Bureau of Land Management, on a
variety of public land issues in
northwestern Colorado.Topics of discussion during
Northwest Colorado RAC meetings may
include the BLM National Sage-Grouse
Conservation Strategy, working group
reports, recreation, fire management,
land use planning, invasive species
management, energy and minerals
management, travel management,
wilderness, wild horse herdmanagement, land exchange proposals,
cultural resource management, and
other issues as appropriate. These
meetings are open to the public. The
public may present written comments to
the RACs. Each formal RAC meeting
will also have time, as identified above,
allocated for hearing public comments.
Depending on the number of persons
wishing to comment and time available,
the time for individual oral comments
may be limited.Subcommittees under this RAC meet
regarding the McInnis Canyon National
Conservation Area; Resource
Management Plan revisions for the
Colorado River Valley, Kremmling, and
Grand Junction field offices; and the
White River Field Office Resource
Management Plan Oil and Gas
Amendment. Subcommittees report to
the NW RAC at each council meeting.
Subcommittee meetings are open to the
public. More information is available at
http://www.blm.gov/co/st/en/BLM_Resources/racs/nwrac.html.

Dated: June 12, 2012.

Helen M. Hankins,*BLM Colorado State Director.*

[FR Doc. 2012-15111 Filed 6-19-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****National Park Service**[NPS-WASO-NRNL-10455; 2200-3200-
665]**National Register of Historic Places;
Notification of Pending Nominations
and Related Actions**Nominations for the following
properties being considered for listing
or related actions in the National
Register were received by the National
Park Service before May 26, 2012.
Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR part
60, written comments are being
accepted concerning the significance of
the nominated properties under the
National Register criteria for evaluation.
Comments may be forwarded by United
States Postal Service, to the National
Register of Historic Places, National
Park Service, 1849 C St. NW., MS 2280,
Washington, DC 20240; by all other
carriers, National Register of Historic
Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye
St. NW., 8th floor, Washington, DC
20005; or by fax, 202-371-6447. Written
or faxed comments should be submitted
by July 5, 2012. Before including your
address, phone number, email address,
or other personal identifying
information in your comment, you
should be aware that your entirecomment—including your personal
identifying information—may be made
publicly available at any time. While
you can ask us in your comment to
withhold your personal identifying
information from public review, we
cannot guarantee that we will be able to
do so.

Dated: May 31, 2012.

J. Paul Loether,*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/
National Historic Landmarks Program.***CALIFORNIA****Humboldt County**Tishawnik, Address Restricted, Orleans,
12000397**Sierra County**Durgan Bridge, (Highway Bridges of
California MPS) Nevada St., Downieville,
12000398Hansen Bridge, (Highway Bridges of
California MPS) E. River St. between Upper
Main, & Pearl Sts., Downieville, 12000399Hospital Bridge, (Highway Bridges of
California MPS) Upper Main St. over
Downie R., Downieville, 12000400Jersey Bridge, (Highway Bridges of California
MPS) CA 49 from Main to Commercial Sts.,
Downieville, 12000401**Solano County**Sacramento Northern Railway Historic
District, 5848 CA 12, Suisun City,
12000402**IOWA****Plymouth County**Akron Opera House, (Footlights in Farm
Country: Iowa Opera Houses MPS) 151
Reed St., Akron, 12000403**MASSACHUSETTS****Middlesex County**Central Square Historic District (Boundary
Increase), Roughly 831 to 351-355
Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, 12000404**NEW YORK****Columbia County**Copake Falls Methodist Episcopal Church,
Miles Rd., Copake Falls, 12000405
Van Buren, Martin, National Historic Site
(Boundary Increase), 1013 Old Post Rd.,
Kinderhook, 12000406**Onondaga County**Scottholm Tract Historic District, Roughly
bounded by E. Genesee St., Scottholm
Terrace, Meadowbrook Dr., & Bradford
Pkwy., Syracuse, 12000407**Rockland County**Brookside, 406 N. Broadway, Upper Nyack,
12000408**VERMONT****Chittenden County**Mad River Glen Ski Area Historic District,
McCullough Tpk., Fayston, 12000409

Windsor County

Terraces Historic District, 22–60 Maplewood Terr., 2–364 Fairview Terr., 12–249 Hillcrest Terr., 82, 176 Forest Hills Ave., Hartford, 12000410

[FR Doc. 2012–14975 Filed 6–19–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–51–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–741/749]

Certain Liquid Crystal Display Devices, Including Monitors, Televisions, Modules, and Components Thereof; Final Determination of No Violation of Section 337 With Respect to U.S. Patent Nos. 5,978,063; 5,648,674; 5,621,556; and 5,375,006 and Termination of the Investigation as to Those Patents and Remand of the Investigation as to U.S. Patent No. 6,121,941

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to reverse the determination of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) that found a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 with respect to U.S. Patent No. 5,648,674 (“the ‘674 patent’”), and to affirm, with modifications, the determination of the ALJ that found no violation with respect to U.S. Patent Nos. 5,978,063 (“the ‘063 patent’”); 5,648,674 (“the ‘674 patent’”); 5,621,556 (“the ‘556 patent’”); and 5,375,006 (“the ‘006 patent’”). The Commission hereby terminates the investigation with a finding of no violation as to the ‘006, ‘063, ‘556 and ‘674 patents. With respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,121,941 (“the ‘941 patent’”), the Commission has determined to issue a remand to the ALJ to determine whether the asserted claims are invalid in view of the ViewFrame II+2 prior art.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jia Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–4737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission

may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted Inv. No. 337–TA–741 on October 18, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Thomson Licensing SAS of France and Thomson Licensing LLC of Princeton, New Jersey (collectively “Thomson”). 75 FR 63856 (Oct. 18, 2010). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of infringement of various claims of the ‘941, ‘063, ‘674, ‘556; and ‘006 patents. The Commission instituted Inv. No. 337–TA–749 on November 30, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Thomson. 75 FR 74080 (Nov. 30, 2010). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by reason of infringement of various claims of the ‘063, ‘556, and ‘006 patents. On January 5, 2011, the Commission consolidated the two investigations. The respondents are Chimei InnoLux Corporation of Miaoli County, Taiwan and InnoLux Corporation of Austin, Texas (collectively, “CMI”); MStar Semiconductor Inc. of Chupei, Taiwan (“MStar”); Qisda Corporation of Taoyuan, Taiwan and Qisda America Corporation of Irvine, California (collectively, “Qisda”); and BenQ Corporation of Taipei, Taiwan, BenQ America Corporation of Irvine, California, and BenQ Latin America Corporation of Miami, Florida (collectively “BenQ”); Realtek Semiconductor Corp. of Hsinchu, Taiwan (“Realtek”); and AU Optronics Corp. of Hsinchu, Taiwan and AU Optronics Corp. America of Houston, Texas (collectively “AUO”).

On January 12, 2012, the ALJ issued the subject ID finding a violation of Section 337 with respect to the ‘674 patent. The ALJ found that the CMI accused products including the Type 2 Array Circuitry and any Qisda or BenQ accused products incorporating these CMI accused products infringe the asserted claims of the ‘674 patent. The ALJ found that no other accused products infringe the ‘674 patent. The ALJ also found that no accused products infringe the asserted claims of the ‘063 patent, the ‘006 patent, the ‘556 patent, or the ‘941 patent. The ALJ also found that claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 11, 12, 14, and 18 of the ‘063 patent are invalid for

obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103, and that claims 4 and 14 of the ‘006 patent are invalid as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102. The ALJ further found that claim 17 of the ‘063 patent, claim 7 of the ‘006 patent, and the asserted claims of the ‘556 patent, the ‘674 patent, and the ‘941 patent are not invalid. The ALJ concluded that a domestic industry exists in the United States that exploits the asserted patents as required by 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(2). On January 25, 2011, Thomson, CMI, MStar, Realtek, and AUO each filed a petition for review of the ID. BenQ and Qisda filed a joint petition for review incorporating the other respondents’ arguments by reference.

On March 26, 2012 the Commission determined to review (1) Claim construction of the limitation “layer” of the asserted claims of the ‘006 patent; (2) infringement of the asserted claims of the ‘006 patent; (3) anticipation of claims 4 and 7 of the ‘006 patent by Scheuble; (4) the claim construction of the limitations “mechanically rubbing”/ “mechanically rubbed,” “a plurality of spacing elements,” and “an affixing layer” of the asserted claims of the ‘063 patent; (5) infringement of the asserted claims of the ‘063 patent; (6) obviousness of the asserted claims of the ‘063 patent in view of Sugata and Tsuboyama; (7) whether Lowe and Miyazaki are prior art to the asserted claims of the ‘063 patent; (8) anticipation of the asserted claims of the ‘063 patent by Lowe; (9) anticipation of the asserted claims of the ‘063 patent by Miyazaki; (10) obviousness of the asserted claim of the ‘556 patent in view of Takizawa and Possin; (11) anticipation and obviousness of the asserted claims of the ‘674 patent in view of Fujitsu; (12) claim construction of the “second rate” “determined by” limitation of the asserted claims of the ‘941 patent and the “input video signal” limitation of claim 4 of the ‘941 patent; (13) infringement of the asserted claims of the ‘941 patent; (14) anticipation of the asserted claims of the ‘941 patent by Baba; (15) exclusion of evidence of the ViewFrame II+2 LCD Panel; and (16) economic prong of the domestic industry requirement.

On March 26, 2012, the Commission also determined to review and to take no position on the claim construction of the terms “drain electrodes” and “source electrodes” of the ‘556 patent. The Commission requested briefing from the parties on the issues on review, as well as on remedy, the public interest, and bonding.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ’s final ID and the submissions of the parties,