

authenticity of credentials held by their financial advisors and planners? What sources have been found most helpful, accurate, and thorough? Among other things, comments could address issues such as state or organizational level review standards, evaluation practices, or selection criteria to determine the validity of proposed senior certifications or designations.

2. How effective are the existing sources at maintaining the legitimacy, value, and authenticity of credentials held by senior financial advisors and planners?

3. How effectively do existing accountability controls deter the misuse of senior advisor credentials? Examples of accountability controls include revoking credentials, public notices of disapproval, or other disciplinary actions.

#### **Providing Financial Advice and Planning Information to Seniors**

4. What resources are available to explain the subject matter expertise presented or implied by specific certifications and designations? How effective are the publicly available sources at disseminating thorough, up-to-date information? How effectively are seniors able to use the available resources to select a financial advisor with appropriate knowledge to address their specific financial needs?

#### **Senior Certification and Designation Information Sources**

5. What sources of information on the fraudulent or misleading uses of senior certifications and designations are available? Comments could include, among other things, references to publicly available research or data sets, suggestions for other potentially available research or data, or other information on enforcement, civil, administrative, or criminal cases.

#### **Financial Literacy Efforts**

6. What financial education, counseling, or personal finance management programs are tailored to the unique financial needs of older Americans and their families or caregivers? Among these programs, what are the best practices in providing seniors financial literacy and robust, practical information on personal finance management? Possible comments could address methods for improving recognition of unfair or deceptive financial practices; means for helping seniors plan for retirement, long-term care, and economic security; or approaches to consumer credit counseling and other financial literacy or financial protection practices.

#### **Financial Exploitation of Older Americans**

7. What types of fraudulent, unfair, abusive or deceptive practices target Americans age 62 and over? Comments could include unique types of financial exploitation or additional information concerning the examples listed below.

a. *Power of Attorney or Guardian Abuse*, whereby an agent under power of attorney or a court-appointed guardian uses his/her fiduciary authority (or a forged power of attorney instrument) to misappropriate the older person's assets and uses them for personal gain rather than for the support of the incapacitated older person; and

b. *Affinity fraud*, in which the characteristics of a trusted advisor such as a member of the clergy or government official are impersonated by those attempting to extract payments or personal information from an older person.

#### **Financial Exploitation of Older Veterans of the Armed Forces**

8. What types of fraudulent or deceptive practices target older veterans and/or military retirees? Comments could include unique examples of financial exploitation or additional information concerning the examples listed below.

a. *VA Aid and Attendance fraud*, whereby veterans are advised to transfer retirement funds into irrevocable trusts that cause them to lose access to the funds and also become ineligible for Medicaid benefits; or,

b. *Military pension buyout schemes*, in which veterans are offered cash payments in return for their military pension payouts in a manner that could ultimately deprive the veteran of the majority of his or her pension.

Dated: April 27, 2012.

**Meredith Fuchs**,

*Chief of Staff, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.*

[FR Doc. 2012-14854 Filed 6-18-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-AM-P**

---

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### **Department of the Air Force**

#### **U.S. Air Force Scientific Advisory Board Notice of Meeting**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Air Force Scientific Advisory Board, Department of the Air Force.

**ACTION:** Meeting notice.

**SUMMARY:** Due to difficulties, beyond the control of the U.S. Air Force Scientific

Advisory Board or its Designated Federal Officer, the Board was unable to file a **Federal Register** notice for the June 27-28, 2012 meeting of the U.S. Air Force Scientific Advisory Board as required by 41 CFR 102-3.150(a). Accordingly, the Advisory Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, pursuant to 41 CFR 102-3.150(b), waives the 15-calendar day notification requirement.

Under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102-3.150, the Department of Defense announces that the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board (SAB) meeting will take place 27-28 June 2012 at the Secretary of the Air Force Technical and Analytical Support Conference Center, 1550 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202. The meeting will be from 7:30 a.m.-4:40 p.m. on Wednesday, 27 June 2012, with the sessions from 7:30 a.m.-9:30 a.m. open to the public; and 7:30 a.m.-4:30 p.m. on Thursday, 28 June 2012, with the sessions from 7:30 a.m.-10:00 a.m. and 1:30 p.m.-2:00 p.m. open to the public. The banquet from 6:00 p.m.-8:45 p.m. on 28 June 2012 at the Key Bridge Marriott, 1401 Lee Highway, Arlington, VA 22201 will also be open to the public.

The purpose of this Air Force Scientific Advisory Board quarterly meeting is to discuss and deliberate the findings of the FY12 SAB studies covering non-traditional intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance in contested environments; ensuring cyber situational awareness for commanders; and extended uses of Air Force Space Command space-based sensors. The draft FY13 SAB study topic Terms of Reference and potential sites for the FY13 Spring Board quarterly meeting will also be discussed.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended, and 41 CFR 102-3.155, The Administrative Assistant of the Air Force, in consultation with the Air Force General Counsel, has agreed that the public interest requires some sessions of the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board meeting be closed to the public because they will discuss information and matters covered by section 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1). Any member of the public wishing to provide input to the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board should submit a written statement in accordance with 41 CFR 102-3.140(c) and section 10(a)(3) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act and the

procedures described in this paragraph. Written statements can be submitted to the Designated Federal Officer at the address detailed below at any time. Statements being submitted in response to the agenda mentioned in this notice must be received by the Designated Federal Officer at the address listed below at least five calendar days prior to the meeting which is the subject of this notice. Written statements received after this date may not be provided to or considered by the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board until its next meeting. The Designated Federal Officer will review all timely submissions with the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board Chairperson and ensure they are provided to members of the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board before the meeting that is the subject of this notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board Executive Director and Designated Federal Officer, Lt Col Matthew E. Zuber, 240-612-5503, United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board, 1500 West Perimeter Road, Ste. #3300, Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762, [matthew.zuber@pentagon.af.mil](mailto:matthew.zuber@pentagon.af.mil).

**Henry Williams Jr.,**  
DAF Air Force Federal Register Liaison  
Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012-14917 Filed 6-18-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-05-P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Submission for OMB Review; Institute of Education Sciences; National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2013 Wave II (Main NAEP Core, Reading, Mathematics, TEL, SD, ELL, and Special Studies)

**SUMMARY:** The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a federally authorized survey of student achievement at grades 4, 8, and 12 in various subject areas, such as mathematics, reading, writing, science, U.S. history, civics, geography, economics, and the arts.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before July 19, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to [ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov) or mailed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Copies of the proposed

information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 04874. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Requests may also be electronically mailed to [ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov) or faxed to 202-401-0920. Please specify the complete title of the information collection and OMB Control Number when making your request.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that Federal agencies provide interested parties an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. The Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Privacy, Information and Records Management Services, Office of Management, publishes this notice containing proposed information collection requests at the beginning of the Departmental review of the information collection. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

*Title of Collection:* National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2013 Wave II (Main NAEP Core, Reading, Mathematics, TEL, SD, ELL, and Special Studies).

*OMB Control Number:* 1850-0790.

*Type of Review:* Revision.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 285,527.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:* 106,602.

*Abstract:* The NAEP is a federally authorized survey of student achievement at grades 4, 8, and 12 in various subject areas, such as

mathematics, reading, writing, science, U.S. history, civics, geography, economics, and the arts. In the current legislation that reauthorized NAEP (Pub. L. 107-279), Congress mandated the collection of national education survey data through a national assessment program. The 2013 Wave 2 submittal contains (a) the grades 4, 8, and 12 core (demographic) student background questions, (b) the pilot grades 4, 8, and operational grade 12 reading and mathematics subject-specific student background questions, (c) the pilot grade 8 Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) background questions, (d) the grade 4, 8, and 12 reading and mathematics special study background questions, (e) the grade 4 and 8 teacher and the grade 4, 8, and 12 school questionnaires, and (f) Students with Disabilities (SD) and English Language Learner (ELL) worksheets and instructions.

Dated: June 14, 2012.

**Darrin A. King,**

Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Privacy, Information and Records Management Services, Office of Management.

[FR Doc. 2012-14898 Filed 6-18-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Applications for New Awards: Technical Assistance and Dissemination To Improve Services and Results for Children With Disabilities; Technical Assistance Center for Inclusive School-Wide Reform

**AGENCY:** Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services, Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice.

#### Overview Information

Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities—Technical Assistance Center for Inclusive School-Wide Reform Notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 2012.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 84.326Y.

**DATES:** Applications Available: June 19, 2012.

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: August 3, 2012.

#### Full Text of Announcement

##### I. Funding Opportunity Description

*Purpose of Program:* The purpose of the Technical Assistance and