What type of shorter-term benchmarks are most appropriate for evaluating success?

f. Which of the criteria listed for prioritizing requests from local governments are most important, and what are additional criteria that should be included?

g. What type of information will the Network need from cities to understand need and readiness, and to determine the proper extent of engagement with the Network?

While these are issues of particular interest, HUD encourages meaningful input on the proposed SC2 Network program more generally as well. If providing comments and addressing the comments for which HUD specifically solicits feedback, HUD requests that commenters please respond to the specified questions first in addition to other comments you would like to provide. HUD has provided the avenues for input in the ADDRESSES section of this notice.

Dated: June 7, 2012.

Erika C. Poethig,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research.

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–788]

Certain Universal Serial Bus (“USB”) Portable Storage Devices, Including USB Flash Drives and Components Thereof Determination Not To Review Two Initial Determinations Terminating the Investigation as to All Remaining Respondents; Termination of the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review two initial determinations (“IDs”) (Order Nos. 21 and 22) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) terminating the investigation as to all remaining respondents.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3106. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, on July 19, 2011, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Trek 2000 International Ltd. of Loyang Industrial Estate, Singapore; Trek Technology (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. of Genting Centre, Singapore; and S–Corm System (S) Pte. Ltd. of Genting Centre, Singapore (collectively, “Trek”), alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain universal serial bus (“USB”) portable storage devices, including USB flash drives and components thereof that infringe certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,880,054; 7,039,759; D463,426; and 7,549,161. 76 FR 42730 (July 19, 2011). The notice of investigation named as respondents Imation Corporation of Oakdale, Minnesota; IronKey, Inc. of Sunnyvale, California; Kingston Technology Company, Inc. of Fountain Valley, California; Patriot Memory, LLC of Fremont, California (“Patriot”); RTIK Corporation of Hsinchu, Taiwan and Advanced Media, Inc./RTIK USA of Diamond Bar, California (collectively, “RTIK”); and Verbatim Corporation, Inc. of Charlotte, North Carolina and Verbatim Americas, LLC of Charlotte, North Carolina (collectively, “Verbatim”). Subsequently, respondents RTIK, Verbatim, and Patriot were terminated from the investigation.

On May 4, 2012, complainants Trek moved to terminate the investigation in part and withdraw the allegations in the complaint of infringement of the ‘054, the ‘759, and the ‘426 patents by accused products of respondent IronKey, namely the S200 and D200 products and Trusted Access. Respondents Imation, IronKey, and Kingston did not oppose the motion. The Commission’s Investigative staff (“Staff”) filed a response in support of the motion. On May 8, 2012, the presiding ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 21) granting the motion.

On May 8, 2012, complainants Trek filed an uncontested motion to withdraw the complaint and terminate the investigation as to the remaining respondents Kingston and Imation. Respondents Kingston and Imation did not oppose the motion. The Staff filed a response in support of the motion. On May 10, 2012, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 22) granting the motion. No party petitioned for review of either Order No. 21 or Order No. 22. The Commission has determined not to review the IDs. The investigation is hereby terminated.


By Order of the Commission.

Issued: June 8, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–14529 Filed 6–13–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Importer of Controlled Substances Notice of Application

Correction

In notice document 2012–14161, appearing on pages 35020–35021 in the issue of Tuesday, June 12, 2012, make the following correction:

On page 35020, in the third column, in the eighth and ninth lines, “[insert date 30 days from date of publication]” should be changed to “July 12, 2012”.

[FR Doc. C1–2012–14161 Filed 6–13–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

LSC Strategic Plan 2012–2016; Request for Comments

AGENCY: Legal Services Corporation.

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Legal Services Corporation (“LSC” or “Corporation”) Board of Directors (“Board”) is soliciting public comment on the LSC Board’s draft strategic plan for 2012–2016.