

Dated: May 30, 2012.
Michael Lauer,
 Director, Division of Cardiovascular Diseases,
 National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute,
 NIH.

Dated: June 4, 2012.
Lynn Susulke,
 NHLBI Project Clearance Liaison, National
 Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc. 2012-14437 Filed 6-12-12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
 HUMAN SERVICES**

National Institutes of Health

**Proposed Collection; Comment
 Request: Process Evaluation of the
 Early Independence Award (EIA)
 Program**

SUMMARY: In compliance with the
 requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of

the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,
 for opportunity for public comment on
 proposed data collection projects, the
 Office of Strategic Coordination (OSC),
 Division of Program Coordination,
 Planning, and Strategic Initiatives
 (DPCPSI), National Institutes of Health
 (NIH), will publish periodic summaries
 of proposed projects to be submitted to
 the Office of Management and Budget
 (OMB) for review and approval.

Proposed Collection: Title: Process
 Evaluation of the Early Independence
 Award (EIA) Program. *Type of*
Information Collection Request: NEW.
Need and Use of Information Collection:
 This study will assess the EIA program
 operations. The primary objectives of
 the study are to (1) assess if the requests
 for applications (RFAs) are meeting the
 needs of applicants, (2) document the
 selection process, (3) document EIA
 program operations, (4) assess the
 progress being made by the Early
 Independence Principal Investigators,

and (5) assess the support provided by
 the Host Institutions to the Early
 Independence Principal Investigators.

The findings will provide valuable
 information concerning (1) aspects of
 the program that could be revised or
 improved, (2) progress made by the
 Early Independence Principal
 Investigators, and (3) implementation of
 the program at Host Institutions.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.
Affected Public: None. *Type of*
Respondents: Applicants, reviewers,
 and awardees. The annual reporting
 burden is as follows: *Estimated Number*
of Respondents: 390; *Estimated Number*
of Responses per Respondent: 1;
Average Burden Hours per Response: 4;
 and *Estimated Total Annual Burden*
Hours Requested: 158. The annualized
 cost to respondents is estimated at:
 \$9,774. There are no Capital Costs to
 report. There are no Operating or
 Maintenance Costs to report.

A.12.1—ANNUALIZED ESTIMATE OF HOUR BURDEN

Type of respondents	Number of respondents (average) ¹	Frequency of response	Average time per response (min.)	Annual hour burden ²
Editorial Board Reviewers (paper survey)	15	1	15	4
Applicants—Principal Investigators (online survey)	150	1	15	38
Applicants—Officials of Host Institutions (online survey)	150	1	15	38
Awardees—Early Independence Principal Investigator (paper survey—beginning of 1st year of award)	12	1	30	6
Awardees—Early Independence Principal Investigator (phone interview—end of 1st year of award)	12	1	60	12
Awardees—Early Independence Principal Investigator (online survey—end of 2nd and 3rd year of award)	24	1	60	24
Awardees—Point of Contact at Host Institution (phone interview—end of 1st year of award)	12	1	60	12
Awardees—Point of Contact at Host Institution (online survey—end of 2nd and 3rd year of award)	24	1	60	24
Total				158

Request for Comments: Written
 comments and/or suggestions from the
 public and affected agencies are invited
 on one or more of the following points:
 (1) Whether the proposed collection of
 information is necessary for the proper
 performance of the function of the
 agency, including whether the
 information will have practical utility;
 (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate
 of the burden of the proposed collection
 of information, including the validity of
 the methodology and assumptions used;
 (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility,
 and clarity of the information to be
 collected; and (4) ways to minimize the
 burden of the collection of information
 on those who are to respond, including
 the use of appropriate automated,
 electronic, mechanical, or other

technological collection techniques or
 other forms of information technology.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To
 request more information on the
 proposed project or to obtain a copy of
 the data collection plans and
 instruments, contact Dr. Ravi
 Basavappa, OSC, DPCPSI, Office of the
 Director, NIH, 1 Center Drive, MSC
 0189, Building 1, Room 203, Bethesda,
 MD 20892-0189; telephone 301-594-
 8190; fax 301-435-7268; or email your
 request, including your address, to
earlyindependence@mail.nih.gov.

Comments Due Date: Comments
 regarding this information collection are
 best assured of having their full effect if
 received within 60 days of the date of
 this publication.

Dated: June 6, 2012.
Lawrence A. Tabak,
 Deputy Director, National Institutes of Health.
 [FR Doc. 2012-14464 Filed 6-12-12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
 HUMAN SERVICES**

National Institutes of Health

**Proposed Collection; Comment
 Request: Opinions and Perspectives
 About the Current Blood Donation
 Policy for Men Who Have Sex With
 Men**

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of
 Section 3507(a)(1)(D) of the Paperwork
 Reduction Act of 1995, the National
 Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute

(NHLBI), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review and approval of the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** in Volume 77 on February 23, 2012, page 10756, and allowed 60-days for public comment. Six written comments were received, one of which was shared by two signatories. One comment was a personal opinion regarding the current federal blood donation policy for men who have sex with men. Two of the written comments supported the study goals and design as proposed. Three of the written comments suggested changes to some of the questions, or asked whether the scope of the study could be expanded. As a result, content pertaining to the sexual histories of survey respondents was expanded to inform the broader context for the current policy for men who have sex with men. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment. The National Institutes of Health may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Proposed Collection: Title: Opinions and Perspectives about the Current Blood Donation Policy for Men Who Have Sex with Men. *Type of Information Collection Request:* New. *Need and Use of Information Collection:* The current policy for blood donation in the U.S. with respect to men who have sex with men (MSM) is that any man who discloses having had sex with another man since 1977 is deferred indefinitely from donating. However, data from donors who have tested disease marker positive and were interviewed regarding potential risk factors suggest that some individuals continue to donate blood without disclosing MSM activity in contravention of the policy. In the 1980s there were surveillance studies of risk factors among donors who were determined to be HIV positive in pre-donation testing; Results indicated MSM behavior to be a risk factor for 56% of male donors. In addition, as part of the Retrovirus Epidemiology Donor Study (REDS), when anonymously surveyed by paper and pencil mailed surveys, 1.2% of male blood donors reported MSM behavior.

In a 2007 study conducted in Sweden, 19% of 334 MSM who responded to a survey that was included in a monthly

publication targeted to the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender (LGBT) community reported donating blood at least one-time since 1985. The authors suggested that MSM donors may be motivated by perceived discrimination, particularly younger MSM.

Recent publications from the United Kingdom have reported what are likely the only population-based assessment of non-compliance with a similar restriction on blood donation for the MSM population as in the U.S.; this study was conducted in 2009 and 2010 and also estimated opinions about and self-reported intended compliance with the MSM deferral policy in place in the United Kingdom at that time. Note, the policy in the United Kingdom was modified in November 2011 and MSM in the United Kingdom are now allowed to donate if they have not been sexually active for a one-year period before donation.

Data similar to those collected in Sweden and the United Kingdom are not available for the U.S. Potential changes to the current MSM policy for blood donation requires additional data, including information about motivating factors and compliance with the current MSM policy or a modified policy in the MSM population and in current blood donors. Speculative analyses have been conducted but do not directly address important considerations related to this policy such as the current level of compliance (in the MSM population) and non-compliance (in the blood donor population). While many scientists and ethicists have expressed opinions in support or against modification of the current MSM policy for blood donation, there is a lack of data that directly addresses important aspects of this policy debate. The proposed study will build off the studies conducted in Sweden and the United Kingdom and will collect directly relevant information on this topic by estimating the prevalence of compliance and non-compliance with the current MSM policy and assessing motivations for blood donation in the U.S. MSM population. Three research aims drive this study's protocols to provide valuable evidence on the motivations and compliance behaviors in the MSM and blood donor populations. The four geographic areas where the study will be conducted include the State of Connecticut, Western Pennsylvania, Southern Wisconsin, and the Bay Area of California.

The first aim seeks to assess opinions about and common themes within the MSM population with respect to blood donation and the current MSM policy.

Specifically, within a population of self-identified MSM in the U.S., what common themes can be identified regarding knowledge and opinions of current blood donation eligibility, and would opinions, including self-reported intended compliance, improve if the current MSM policy were changed to a deferral of a defined shorter duration? Another objective is to use what is learned in the focus groups to help select proper venues for identifying MSM who might be interested in participating in a comprehensive survey to assess compliance and non-compliance with the current MSM policy (see second aim).

The second aim seeks to assess compliance and non-compliance in the MSM population with the current MSM blood donation policy by confidentially surveying two populations. One survey will be conducted in the MSM community to provide better estimates of compliance and non-compliance with the current policy and a second survey will be conducted in male blood donors to evaluate how frequently men who have had sex with another man since 1977 are donating blood. The surveys will be conducted using an instrument that includes common content to maximize the comparability of the responses. Both surveys will be conducted using Internet-based techniques and currently available software (SurveyGizmo, www.surveygizmo.com).

The third aim seeks to assess motivations for donating in the group of self-identified MSM who are active blood donors in the U.S. Participants from the four geographic areas who report donating blood or the intention to donate will be asked to participate in confidential qualitative telephone interviews to identify their reasons for donating or wanting to donate blood.

Frequency of Response: Once. *Affected Public:* Individuals. *Type of Respondents:* Males 18 years old or older. The annual reporting burden is as follows: *Estimated Number of Respondents:* 4864; *Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1 per respondent for 4844 respondents and 2 per respondent for 20 respondents; *Average Burden of Hours per Response:* 1.5 hours for Aim 1, 0.33 hour for Aim 2, and 1.0 hour for Aim 3; and *Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours Requested:* 1,700. The annualized total cost to all respondents is estimated at: \$13,600 (based on \$8.00 per hour). There are no Capital Costs to report. There are no Operating or Maintenance Costs to report.

Study aims	Estimated annual number of respondents	Estimated number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Estimated total annual burden hours requested
Aim 1—Focus Groups	64	1	1.5	96
Aim 2.1—Web interview	1,600	1	0.33	528
Aim 2.2—Web interview	3,200	1	0.33	1056
Aim 3	20*	1	1	20

* Aim 3 respondents are a subset of the respondents included in Aim 2.

Request for Comments: Written comments and/or suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following points: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and the assumptions used; (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Direct Comments to OMB: Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the: Office of Management and Budget, Office of Regulatory Affairs, OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov or by fax to 202-395-6974, Attention: Desk Officer for NIH. To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, contact: Simone Glynn, MD, Project Officer/ICD Contact, Two Rockledge Center, Suite 9142, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, or call 301-435-0065, or Email your request to: glynnsa@nhlbi.nih.gov.

Comments Due Date: Comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of the date of this publication.

Dated: May 29, 2012.

Keith Hoots,
 Director, Division of Blood Diseases and Resources, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, NIH.

Dated: June 4, 2012.

Lynn Susulske,
 NHLBI Project Clearance Liaison, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc. 2012-14462 Filed 6-12-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

Fogarty International Center 2013 Strategic Plan

SUMMARY: The Fogarty International Center (FIC), National Institutes of Health (NIH) is updating its strategic plan. To anticipate and set priorities for global health research and research training, FIC requests input from scientists, the general public, and interested parties. The goal of this strategic planning process is to identify current and future needs and directions for global health research and research training. The existing FIC strategic plan can be viewed at: <http://www.fic.nih.gov/About/Pages/Strategic-Plan.aspx>.

DATES: Submit responses to the Division of International Science Policy, Planning and Evaluation, FIC on or before July 6, 2012.

Address and for Further Information Contact: Please submit written responses to Dr. Rachel Sturke, Evaluation Officer, Division of International Science Policy, Planning and Evaluation, Fogarty International Center, National Institutes of Health. Dr. Sturke may also be reached by email at FICStratPlan@mail.nih.gov, or through our web address: <http://www.fic.nih.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Fogarty International Center is dedicated to advancing the mission of the National Institutes of Health by supporting and facilitating global health research conducted by U.S. and international investigators, building

partnerships between health research institutions in the U.S. and abroad, and training the next generation of scientists to address global health needs.

The Fogarty International Center supports basic, clinical and applied research and training for U.S. and foreign investigators working in the developing world. Since its formation more than 40 years ago, Fogarty has served as a bridge between NIH and the greater global health community—facilitating exchanges among investigators, providing training opportunities and supporting promising research initiatives in developing countries.

In order to inform its 2013 Strategic Plan, FIC specifically, but not exclusively, requests comments on the following topics:

(1) What are specific gaps, needs, and opportunities in global health research that should be addressed by Fogarty in the next 5–10 years?

(2) What are specific gaps, needs, and opportunities in global health research training that should be addressed by Fogarty in the next 5–10 years?

(3) Are there specific gaps and/or opportunities related to the use of information and communication technologies (ICT), mobile technologies (mHealth), and distance learning in research and research training?

(4) What are specific gaps, needs, and opportunities related to research and research training in chronic, non-communicable diseases?

(5) What are specific gaps, needs, and opportunities related to research and research training in infectious diseases?

(6) How can Fogarty strengthen the research-enabling environment at research institutions in low and middle income countries?

(7) How can Fogarty encourage more collaboration in research and research training among institutions in low and middle income countries?

Dated: May 23, 2012.

Dexter Collins,
 Executive Officer, Fogarty International Center, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc. 2012-14465 Filed 6-12-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P