must find, by order, that the MSRB rule: (i) Imposes substantially equivalent or more stringent restrictions on municipal advisors than the Pay to Play Rule imposes on investment advisers; and (ii) is consistent with the objectives of the Advisers Act Pay to Play Rule. The Commission also extended the date by which advisers must comply with the ban on third-party solicitation from September 13, 2011 to June 13, 2012 due to the expansion of the definition of “regulated persons.” The extension was intended, again, to provide sufficient time for an orderly transition.13

Soon thereafter, on August 19, 2011, the MSRB filed a proposal with the Commission that included a new pay to play rule regarding the solicitation activities of municipal advisors and amendments to several existing MSRB rules related to pay to play practices.12 On September 9, 2011, the MSRB withdrew the proposals, stating that it intends to resubmit them upon our adoption of a permanent definition of the term “municipal advisor.”13 In order to ensure an orderly transition for advisers and third-party solicitors as well as to provide additional time for them to adjust compliance policies and procedures after the transition, we believe that an extension of the compliance date for the Pay to Play Rule’s third-party solicitor ban is appropriate until nine months after the compliance date of a final rule adopted by the Commission by which municipal advisor firms must register under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Final rules as to who must register as a municipal advisor, and the process for doing so, will provide clarity to persons who may qualify as municipal advisors, and the investment advisers who may hire them, as to status and registration obligations under these future Commission rules. The new compliance date will also allow all solicitors to assess compliance


14 See Section 553(b)(3)(B) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B)) (“APA”) (an agency may dispense with prior notice and comment if it finds, for good cause, that notice and comment are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.”). This finding also satisfies the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 808(2), allowing the rules to become effective notwithstanding the requirement of 5 U.S.C. 801 (if a federal agency finds that notice and public comment are “impractical, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest,” a rule “shall take effect at such time as the federal agency promulgating the rule determines.”). Also, because the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612) only requires agencies to prepare analyses when the APA requires general notice of rulemaking, that Act does not apply to the actions that we are taking in this release. The change to the compliance date is effective upon publication in the Federal Register. This date is less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register, in accordance with the APA, which allows effectiveness in less than 30 days after publication for “a substantive rule which grants or recognizes an exemption or relieves a restriction.” See 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(1).

15 We also use the listings in the sequential evaluation processes we use to determine whether a beneficiary’s disability continues. See 20 CFR 404.1394, 416.994, and 416.994a.
We continue to revise and update the listings on a regular basis. We intend to update the nine listings affected by this rule as quickly as possible, but may not be able to publish final rules revising these listings by the current expiration dates. Therefore, we are extending the expiration dates as listed above.

**Regulatory Procedures**

**Justification for Final Rule**

We follow the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) rulemaking procedures specified in 5 U.S.C. 553 in promulgating regulations. Section 702(a)(5) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 902(a)(5), generally, the APA requires that an agency provide prior notice and opportunity for public comment before issuing a final regulation. The APA provides exceptions to the notice-and-comment requirements when an agency finds there is good cause for dispensing with such procedures because they are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.

We determined that good cause exists for dispensing with the notice and public comment procedures. 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). This final rule only extends the date on which several body system listings will no longer be effective. It makes no substantive changes to our rules. Our current regulations provide that we may extend the expiration dates, or revise and promulgate the body system listings again. Therefore, we have determined that opportunity for prior comment is unnecessary, and we are issuing this regulation as a final rule.

In addition, for the reasons cited above, we find good cause for dispensing with the 30-day delay in the effective date of this final rule. 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3). We are not making any substantive changes in these body system listings. Without an extension of the expiration dates for these listings, we will not have the criteria we need to assess medical impairments in these body systems at step three of the sequential evaluation processes. We therefore find it is in the public interest to make this final rule effective on the publication date.

**Executive Order 12866, as Supplemented by Executive Order 13563**

We consulted with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and determined that this final rule does not require the meetings for a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563. Therefore, OMB did not review it. We also determined that this final rule meets the plain language requirement of Executive Order 12866.

**Regulatory Flexibility Act**

We certify that this final rule does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it affects only individuals. Therefore, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, as amended.

**Paperwork Reduction Act**

This rule does not create any new or affect any existing collections, and therefore does not require OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 96.001, Social Security—Disability Insurance; 96.002, Social Security—Retirement Insurance; 96.004, Social Security—Survivors Insurance; 96.006, Supplemental Social Security Income)

**List of Subjects in 20 CFR Part 404**

Administrative practice and procedure, Blind, Disability benefits, Old-Age, Survivors and Disability Insurance, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Social Security.

Michael J. Astrue,
Commissioner of Social Security.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, we are amending appendix 1 to subpart P of part 404 of chapter III of title 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below.

**PART 404—FEDERAL OLD-AGE, SURVIVORS AND DISABILITY INSURANCE (1950– )**

**Subpart P—[Amended]**

1. The authority citation for subpart P of part 404 continues to read as follows:

   Authority: Secs. 202, 205(a)–(b) and (d)–(h), 216(i), 221(a), (i), and (j), 222(c), 223, 225, and 702(a)(5) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 402, 405(a)–(b) and (d)–(h), 416(i), 421(a), (i), and (j), 422(c), 423, 425, and 902(a)(5)); sec. 211(b), Pub. L. 104–193, 110 Stat. 2105, 2189; sec. 202, Pub. L. 108–203, 118 Stat. 509 (42 U.S.C. 902 note).

2. Amend appendix 1 to subpart P of part 404 by revising items 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, and 13 of the introductory text before Part A to read as follows:

   **Appendix 1 to Subpart P of Part 404—Listing of Impairments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Listing</th>
<th>Date no longer effective unless extended or revised and promulgated again</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growth Impairment 100.00</td>
<td>July 1, 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal System 1.00 and 101.00</td>
<td>July 1, 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory System 3.00 and 103.00</td>
<td>April 1, 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular System 4.00 and 104.00</td>
<td>October 1, 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestive System 5.00 and 105.00</td>
<td>April 1, 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematological Disorders 7.00 and 107.00</td>
<td>January 2, 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin Disorders 8.00 and 108.00</td>
<td>April 1, 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological 11.00 and 111.00</td>
<td>April 1, 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Disorders 12.00 and 112.00</td>
<td>January 2, 2014.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

2 Since we last extended the expiration date of some of the listings in June 2010 (75 FR 33166 (2010)), we have published final rules revising the endocrine body system (76 FR 19692 (2011)); and proposed rules for the multiple body system (76 FR 66006 (2011)) and the vision listings in the special senses and speech body system (77 FR 7549 (2012)).

3 See the first sentence of appendix 1 to subpart P of part 404 of 20 CFR.
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 100

[Docket No. USCG–2012–0169]

RIN 1625–AA08

Special Local Regulation for Marine Events, Chesapeake Bay Workboat Race, Back River, Messick Point; Poquoson, VA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a special local regulation on specified waters on the Back River, Poquoson, Virginia. Because this event will consist of approximately 75 powerboats conducting high-speed competitive races on the waters of Back River, this regulation is necessary to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event. This action is intended to restrict vessel traffic in portions of the Back River, Poquoson, Virginia during the event.

DATES: This rule is effective from 11 a.m. until 5 p.m. on June 24, 2012, with a rain date of July 8, 2012 from 11 a.m. until 5 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Documents mentioned in this preamble are part of docket [USCG–2012–0169]. To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov, type the docket number in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rulemaking. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call or email LCDR Hector Cintron, Waterways Management Division Chief, Sector Hampton Roads, Coast Guard; telephone 757–668–5581, email Hector.L.Cintron@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

On April 2, 2012, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled Special Local Regulation for Marine Events, Chesapeake Bay Workboat Race, Back River, Messick Point, Poquoson, Virginia in the Federal Register (76 FR 993). We received 02 comments on the proposed rule. No public meeting was requested, and none was held.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register. Due to the need for immediate action, the restriction of vessel traffic is necessary to protect life, property and the environment during the workboat race event; therefore, a 30-day notice is impracticable. Delaying the effective date would be contrary to the safety zone’s intended objectives of protecting persons and vessels involved in the event, and enhancing public and maritime safety.

Background and Purpose

On June 24, 2012, the Chesapeake Bay Workboat Race Committee will sponsor the “2012 Chesapeake Bay Workboat Races” on the waters of Back River. The event will consist of approximately 75 powerboats conducting high-speed competitive races on the waters of Back River, Messick Point, Poquoson, VA. A fleet of spectator vessels is expected to gather near the event site to view the competition. To provide for the safety of participants, spectators, support and transiting vessels, the Coast Guard will temporarily restrict vessel traffic in the event area during the races to provide for the safety of participants, spectators and other transiting vessels.

Discussion of Comments and Changes

The Coast Guard did receive 02 comment in response to the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published in the Federal Register. No public meeting was requested and none was held. What follows is a review of, and the Coast Guard’s response to, the issue that was presented by the commenter concerning the proposed regulations.

The commenter, Annette D. Firth of the Chesapeake Boat Workboat Race Committee, who is the event organizer, stated that they would like to add a rain date to the regulation to provide for inclement weather. Rain date was added for July 8, 2012. A second comment was unrelated to regulation. Accordingly, the Coast Guard is establishing a special local regulation on specified waters on the Back River, Poquoson, Virginia and we feel that adding a rain date to the effective period described in the proposed rule as suggested by the commenter will not adversely affect waterway users in this portion of the Back River on July 8, 2012.

Discussion of the Final Rule

The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary special local regulation on specified waters of the Back River, Messick Point in Poquoson, Virginia. The regulated area will be established in the interest of public safety during the “Chesapeake Bay Workboat Race”, and will be enforced from 11 a.m. to 5 p.m. on June 24, 2012, with a rain date of July 8, 2012 from 11 a.m. until 5 p.m.

The Coast Guard, at its discretion, when practical, will allow the passage of vessels when races are not taking place. Except for participants and vessels authorized by the Captain of the Port or his Representative, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the regulated area.

This regulation will establish an enforcement location to include all waters of the Back River, Poquoson, Virginia, bounded to the north by a line drawn along latitude 37°06′30″ N, bounded to the south by a line drawn along latitude 37°16′15″ N, bounded to the east by a line drawn along longitude 076°18′52″ W and bounded on the west by a line drawn along longitude 076°19′30″ W.

Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on 13 of these statutes or executive orders.

Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

We expect the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation is unnecessary. Although this rule prevents traffic from transiting a portion of certain waterways during specified times, the effect of this regulation will not be significant due to