

Dated: May 15, 2012.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of  
Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012-12326 Filed 5-21-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

**Manufacturer of Controlled  
Substances; Notice Of Application;  
Research Triangle Institute**

Pursuant to § 1301.33(a), Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this is notice that on April 12, 2012, Research Triangle Institute, Hermann Building, East Institute Drive, P.O. Box 12194, Research Triangle, North Carolina 27709, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the following basic classes of controlled substances:

Drug	Schedule
Marihuana (7360) .....	I
Cocaine (9041) .....	II

The Institute will manufacture marihuana, and cocaine derivatives for use by their customers in analytical kits, reagents, and reference standards as directed by the National Institute on Drug Abuse.

Any other such applicant, and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such substances, may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.33(a).

Any such written comments or objections should be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Drug Enforcement Administration, Office of Diversion Control, Federal Register Representative (ODL), 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; and must be filed no later than July 23, 2012.

Dated: May 15, 2012.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of  
Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012-12381 Filed 5-21-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

**Manufacturer of Controlled  
Substances, Notice of Application,  
Alltech Associates, Inc.**

Pursuant to § 1301.33(a), Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this is notice that on April 19, 2012, Alltech Associates Inc., 2051 Waukegan Road, Deerfield, Illinois 60015, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the following basic classes of controlled substances:

Drug	Schedule
Methcathinone (1237) .....	I
N-Ethylamphetamine (1475) .....	I
N,N-Dimethylamphetamine (1480)	I
4-Methylaminorex (cis isomer) (1590).	I
Alpha-ethyltryptamine (7249) .....	I
Lysergic acid diethylamide (7315)	I
2,5-Dimethoxy-4-(n)-propylthiophenethylamine (7348).	I
Tetrahydrocannabinols (7370) .....	I
Mescaline (7381) .....	I
4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxy-amphetamine (7391).	I
4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenethylamine (7392).	I
4-Methyl-2,5-dimethoxy-amphetamine (7395).	I
2,5-Dimethoxyamphetamine (7396).	I
2,5-Dimethoxy-4-ethylamphetamine (7399).	I
3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine (7400).	I
N-Hydroxy-3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine (7402).	I
3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-ethylamphetamine (7404).	I
3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (7405).	I
4-Methoxyamphetamine (7411) ...	I
Alpha-methyltryptamine (7432) .....	I
Bufotenine (7433) .....	I
Diethyltryptamine (7434) .....	I
Dimethyltryptamine (7435) .....	I
Psilocybin (7437) .....	I
Psilocyn (7438) .....	I
5-Methoxy-N,N-diisopropyltryptamine (7439).	I
N-Ethyl-1-phenylcyclohexylamine (7455).	I
1-(1-Phenylcyclohexyl)pyrrolidine (7458).	I
1-[1-(2-Thienyl)-cyclohexyl]piperidine (7470).	I
Dihydromorphine (9145) .....	I
Heroin (9200) .....	I
Normorphine (9313) .....	I
Methamphetamine (1105) .....	II
1-phenylcyclohexylamine (7460) II	II
Phencyclidine (7471).	II

Drug	Schedule
Phenylacetone (8501) .....	II
1-piperidinocyclohexanecarbonitrile (8603).	II
Cocaine (9041) .....	II
Codeine (9050) .....	II
Dihydrocodeine (9120) VII.	
Ecgonine (9180) .....	II
Meperidine intermediate-B (9233)	II
Noroxymorphone (9668) VII.	

The company plans to manufacture high purity drug standards used for analytical applications only in clinical, toxicological, and forensic laboratories.

Any other such applicant, and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such substances, may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.33(a).

Any such written comments or objections should be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Drug Enforcement Administration, Office of Diversion Control, Federal Register Representative (ODL), 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; and must be filed no later than July 23, 2012.

Dated: May 15, 2012.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of  
Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012-12379 Filed 5-21-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Approval of Information Collection  
Requirements; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs is soliciting comments on its

proposal to implement standard procedures for supply and service contractors seeking approval to develop affirmative action programs based on functional or business units. A copy of this information collection request (ICR), with applicable supporting documentation; including among other things a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden may be obtained from the RegInfo.gov Web site at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting the office listed below in the addresses section of this Notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before July 23, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by Control Number 1250-XXXX, by either one of the following methods:

*Electronic comments:* through the Federal eRulemaking portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

*Mail, Hand Delivery, Courier:* Debra A. Carr, Director, Division of Policy, Planning and Program Development, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room C-3325, Washington, DC 20210. Telephone: (202) 693-0103 (voice) or (202) 693-1337 (TTY).

*Instructions:* Please submit one copy of your comments using only one of the methods listed above. All submissions must include the name of the agency and the Control Number for this information collection, as identified above. Because we continue to experience delays in receiving mail in the Washington, DC area, commenters are strongly encouraged to transmit their comments electronically via the regulations.gov Web site or to submit them by mail early. Comments, including any personal information provided, become a matter of public record and will be posted to the regulations.gov Web site. They will also be summarized or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Debra A. Carr, Director, Division of Policy, Planning and Program Development, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, Room C-3325, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. Telephone: (202) 693-0103 (voice) or (202) 693-1337 (TTY) (these are not toll-free numbers). Copies of this notice may be obtained in alternative formats (Large

Print, Braille, Audio Tape or Disc), upon request, by calling (202) 693-0103 (not a toll-free number). TTY/TDD callers may call (202) 693-1337 (not a toll-free number) to obtain information or request materials in alternative formats.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Background**

The Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) administers three nondiscrimination and equal employment opportunity laws. These authorities prohibit employment discrimination and require affirmative action to ensure that equal employment opportunities are available regardless of race, sex, color, national origin, religion, or status as a qualified individual with a disability or protected veteran by Federal contractors.

- Executive Order 11246, as amended (EO 11246);
- Section 503 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 793; and
- The affirmative action provisions of the Vietnam Era Veterans' Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974, as amended, 38 U.S.C. 4212.

For purpose of this clearance, the regulations implementing Executive Order 11246 permit Federal supply and service contractors to develop affirmative action programs (AAPs) that are based on business function or business unit rather than AAPs based on establishments.<sup>1</sup> Functional affirmative action programs (FAAPs) are designed to provide contractors with the option of creating AAPs that better fit their business needs. To develop and implement a FAAP, Federal contractors must receive written approval from the Director<sup>2</sup> of OFCCP. On March 21, 2002, OFCCP issued guidance outlining procedures for approving contractors' requests to use functional AAPs.<sup>3</sup> Subsequently, on June 14, 2011, OFCCP issued new guidance and established standard procedures for FAAP approvals.<sup>4</sup> This Information Collection Request (ICR) addresses the collection of information associated with the process for obtaining, modifying, updating, and renewing an agreement that allows contractors to develop and use functional AAPs.

A separate ICR, approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under OMB number 1250-0003,

<sup>1</sup> 41 CFR 60-2.1(d)(4)

<sup>2</sup> The "Director" was formerly known as the Deputy Assistant Secretary.

<sup>3</sup> OFCCP ADM Notice: Functional Affirmative Action Programs (FAAP), Transmittal Number 254.

<sup>4</sup> Directive 296, Functional Affirmative Action Programs, issued in June 14, 2011, rescinds Directive 254.

addresses developing establishment-based AAPs and scheduling compliance evaluations for supply and service contractors with establishment-based AAPs.

##### **II. Review Focus**

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the compliance and enforcement functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

##### **III. Current Actions**

The Department of Labor seeks the approval of this new ICR so that it can enforce the anti-discrimination and affirmative action provisions of the legal authorities it administers.

*Type of Review:* Notice.

*Agency:* Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs.

*Title:* Agreement Approval Process for Use of Functional Affirmative Action Programs.

*OMB Number:* 1250-XXXX.

*Agency Number:* None.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions.

*Total Respondents:* 121.

*Total Annual Responses:* 121.

*Average Time per Response (approximation due to rounding):* 20 hours.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours (approximation due to rounding):* 2,179.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* \$81,816.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 14, 2012.

**Debra A. Carr,**

*Director, Division of Policy, Planning and Program Development, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2012-12191 Filed 5-21-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-45-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### **Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement; Notice of Determination Regarding Review of Submission #2012-01**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Trade and Labor Affairs (OTLA) gives notice that on May 14, 2012, Submission #2012-01 regarding Honduras was accepted for review pursuant to Article 16.4.3 of the Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA-DR).

On March 26, 2012, the AFL-CIO and 27 Honduran civil society and worker organizations provided a formal submission to OTLA alleging violations of the Labor Chapter (Chapter 16) of the CAFTA-DR stemming from the Government of Honduras' (GOH's) actions or failure to act. The submission alleges that the GOH's actions or lack thereof denied workers at factories in the apparel and auto parts manufacturing sectors, plantations in the agricultural sector, and enterprises at the Port of Cortez their rights under Honduran labor law relating to freedom of association, the right to organize, the right to bargain collectively, child labor, and acceptable conditions of work. The submitters also allege the GOH is in violation of the CAFTA-DR due to recently passed legislation which weakens workers rights and on-going deficiencies in its laws and legal system.

The objective of the review of the submission will be to gather information so that OTLA can better understand the allegations therein and publicly report on the U.S. Government's views regarding whether the GOH's actions were consistent with its obligations under the Labor Chapter of the CAFTA-DR.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* May 14, 2012.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Gregory Schoepfle, Director, OTLA, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room S-5303, Washington, DC 20210. Telephone:

(202) 693-4900. (This is not a toll-free number.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Article 16.4.3 of the Labor Chapter of the CAFTA-DR establishes that each Party's contact point shall provide for the submission, receipt, and consideration of public communications ("submissions") on matters related to provisions of the Labor Chapter and shall review those submissions in accordance with domestic procedures. A **Federal Register** notice issued on December 21, 2006 informed the public that the OTLA had been designated as the office to serve as the contact point for implementing the CAFTA-DR's labor provisions. The same **Federal Register** notice informed the public of the Procedural Guidelines that OTLA would follow for the receipt and review of public submissions (71 FR 76691 (2006)). These Procedural Guidelines are available at [http://www.dol.gov/ilab/programs/otla/procedural\\_guidelines.htm](http://www.dol.gov/ilab/programs/otla/procedural_guidelines.htm). According to the definitions contained in the Procedural Guidelines (Section B) a "submission" is a communication from the public containing specific allegations, accompanied by relevant supporting information, that another Party has failed to meet its commitments or obligations arising under a labor chapter of a U.S. free trade agreement.

The Procedural Guidelines specify that OTLA shall consider six factors, to the extent that they are relevant, in determining whether to accept a submission for review:

1. Whether the submission raises issues relevant to any matter arising under a labor chapter;
2. Whether a review would further the objectives of a labor chapter;
3. Whether the submission clearly identifies the person filing the submission, is signed and dated, and is sufficiently specific to determine the nature of the request and permit an appropriate review;
4. Whether the statements contained in the submission, if substantiated, would constitute a failure of the other Party to comply with its obligations or commitments under a labor chapter;
5. Whether the statements contained in the submission or available information demonstrate that appropriate relief has been sought under the domestic laws of the other Party, or that the matter or a related matter is pending before an international body; and
6. Whether the submission is substantially similar to a recent submission and significant, new information has been furnished that

would substantially differentiate the submission from the one previously filed.

U.S. Submission #2012-01 alleges that the GOH's actions or lack thereof denied workers their rights under the laws of Honduras, citing specific instances allegedly demonstrating: An inability or unwillingness to find and remedy labor violations, failure of labor inspectors to use all means provided for under the Labor Code to gain access to facilities for inspections or to serve notice of union establishment and protections, improper or questionable practices of Ministry of Labor and Social Security (STSS) employees in the enforcement of labor laws, failure of STSS officials to grant union recognition or verify mandated corrections of violations uncovered by an inspection in the legally established timeframe, government mediation that failed to afford workers benefits meeting the standards established in the Labor Code, and lengthy proceedings that effectively served to deny workers their labor rights.

In determining whether to accept the submission, OTLA considered the relevant factors in light of the statements in the submission and its supporting documentation. The submission clearly identifies the submitter, is signed and dated, and is sufficiently specific to determine the nature of the request and permit an appropriate review. It also raises issues relevant to the Labor Chapter of the CAFTA-DR, citing numerous problems in the apparel and auto parts manufacturing, agriculture, and port sectors that the submitters believe are in violation of Honduras' labor laws. The submission raises pertinent issues that could further the objectives of the Labor Chapter and that could, if substantiated, constitute a failure of the GOH to comply with its obligations under the Labor Chapter. The submitters provided information on several specific cases of alleged labor violations and included a list of articles of the Labor Code, the Constitution of Honduras, and ILO Conventions that they believe were violated by the allegations in the submission. The submitters provided information on efforts to seek appropriate relief for these alleged violations under domestic laws and to raise the issues with GOH officials. The submission also notes that the issues in the submission have not been remedied to date. OTLA has not received similar submissions related to Honduras. Accordingly, OTLA has accepted the submission for review.

OTLA's decision to accept the submission for review is not intended to