green jobs and published its final definition of green jobs in the September 21, 2010, Federal Register (75 FR 57506). The measurement approach includes two surveys: One on jobs related to producing green goods and services, and one on jobs related to using environmentally friendly production processes and practices.

The latter approach will be accomplished through a special employer survey. This information collection request is for the Green Technologies and Practices (GTP) Survey. This survey includes collecting the current employment for the establishment; collecting information on the use of environmentally friendly production processes within the establishment; and collecting the number, occupation, and wages paid to employees of the establishment performing environmentally friendly activities.

II. Current Action

Office of Management and Budget clearance is being sought for a reinstatement of the "BLS Green Technologies and Practices Survey." The goal of BLS and its OES program is to produce economic statistics on employment related to the use of environmentally friendly technologies and practices across the U.S. economy. Using its business establishment register, the OES program intends to survey establishments about these green activities and the associated employment. The survey will identify employers performing green activities, determine whether they have any employees performing tasks associated with these activities, gather information to classify those employees according to the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) system, and collect wage rate information.

III. Desired Focus of Comments

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments that:
- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information.

GTP: Fiscal Year 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Public</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>Average response time (minutes)</th>
<th>Total response time (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private sector establishments</td>
<td>22,103</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government establishments</td>
<td>2,738</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State government establishments</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal government establishments</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26,251</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13,126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department of Labor

Bureau of Labor Statistics

Proposed Collection: Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

Type of Review: Reinstatement.
Title: BLS Green Technologies and Practices Survey.
OMB Number: 1220–0184.
Affected Public: Private sector businesses or other for-profits and not-for-profit institutions; small businesses or organizations; Federal, State, and local governments.

Total Respondents: 26,251.
Frequency: One time.
Total Responses: 26,251.
Average Time per Response: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours:

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): $0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): $0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of May 2012.
Kimberly Hill,
Chief, Division of Management Systems,

[FR Doc. 2012–11348 Filed 5–10–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–24–P
The U.S. Department of Commerce uses the monthly statistics to produce monthly and quarterly estimates of inflation-adjusted trade flows. Without continuation of data collection, it would be extremely difficult to construct accurate estimates of the U.S. Gross Domestic Product. In addition, Federal policymakers in the Department of Treasury, the Council of Economic Advisers, and the Federal Reserve Board utilize these statistics on a regular basis to improve these agencies’ formulation and evaluation of monetary and fiscal policy and evaluation of the general business environment.

II. Current Action

Office of Management and Budget clearance is being sought for the U.S. Import and Export Price Indexes. The IPP continues to modernize data collection and processing to permit more timely release of its indexes, and to reduce reporter burden. The IPP has expanded the use of its web application, introduced in 2003 to allow respondents to update their data online and more rapidly than using a paper-based form. Through February 2012, 82 percent of IPP respondents were providing prices via the web application or had agreed to start using this repricing method. Field Economists currently offer web repricing to all new respondents and at initiation, it is the preferred method of collection offered to companies.

IPP has also implemented a better screening process for identifying out-of-scope shipments, resulting in fewer out-of-scope shipments identified during the initiation process.

III. Desired Focus of Comments

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.


Title: International Price Program (IPP) U.S. Import and Export Price Indexes.

OMB Number: 1220-0025.

Affected Public: Private Sector, Business or other for-profits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Total respondents</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Total responses</th>
<th>Average time per response (hours)</th>
<th>Estimated total burden (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form 3008</td>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>..........................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imports</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td></td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exports</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td></td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td></td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form 3007D</td>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>2.4106</td>
<td>11111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imports</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td></td>
<td>27060</td>
<td>2.4106</td>
<td>11111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exports</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td></td>
<td>17600</td>
<td>2.3771</td>
<td>6637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td></td>
<td>44660</td>
<td>17748</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>47660</td>
<td>20748</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 During initiation, the respondent determines how many months he/she will need to supply data in a given year based upon how often the company changes its pricing information. The average company is requested to supply information 8.0 months per year for exports and 8.2 months per year for imports.

2 Time to reprice is based upon 5 minutes of response time per item × 4,927 items = 24,635 minutes/60 = 4106 hours.

3 Time to reprice is based upon 5 minutes of response time per item × 4,325 items = 22,625 minutes/60 = 3771 hours.
LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Request for Comments—LSC Budget Request for FY 2014

AGENCY: Legal Services Corporation.

ACTION: Request for Comments—LSC Budget Request for FY 2014.

SUMMARY: The Legal Services Corporation is beginning the process of developing its FY 2014 budget request to Congress and is soliciting suggestions as to what the request should be.

DATES: Written comments will be accepted until 12 noon, Eastern Daylight Time, on June 7, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted by mail, fax or email to David L. Richardson, Treasurer, Legal Services Corporation, 3333 K Street NW., Washington DC 20007; 202–295–1510 (phone); 202–337–6834 (fax); david.richardson@lsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The mission of the Legal Services Corporation (“LSC” or “Corporation”) is to promote equal access to justice in our Nation and to provide for high-quality civil legal assistance to low-income persons. LSC submits an annual budget request directly to Congress and receives an annual direct appropriation to carry out its mission. For the current fiscal year, FY 2012, LSC received an appropriation of $348,000,000, of which $322,400,000 is for basic field programs and required independent audits; $4,200,000 is for the Office of Inspector General; $17,000,000 is for management and grants oversight; $3,400,000 is for technology initiative grants; and $1,000,000 is for loan repayment assistance. Public Law 112–55, 125 Stat. 629 (Nov. 18, 2011).

As part of its annual budget and appropriation process, LSC notifies the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) in September of each year as to what the LSC budget request to Congress will be for the next fiscal year. Accordingly, LSC is currently in the process of formulating its FY 2014 budget request. The Finance Committee of the LSC Board of Directors will meet on June 11, 2012, to hear testimony and commence deliberations on what to recommend to the full Board for adoption as the Corporation’s FY 2014 budget request.

LSC invites public comment on what its FY 2014 budget request should be. Interested parties may submit comments to LSC by 12 noon, Eastern Daylight Time, on Thursday, June 7, 2012. More information about LSC may be found at www.lsc.gov.


Vctor M. Fortuno,
Vice President & General Counsel.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Reginald J. Haley; Office of Program Performance; Legal Services Corporation; at haley@lsc.gov or (202) 295–1545.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the LSC grant assurances is to delineate the rights and responsibilities of LSC and the recipient pursuant to the provisions of the grant. As a grant making agency created by Congress, LSC has grant assurances that are intended to reiterate and/or clarify the responsibilities and obligations already applicable through existing law and regulations and/or obligate the recipient to comply with specific additional requirements in order to effectuate the purposes of the Legal Services Corporation Act, as amended, and other applicable law. A summary of the changes proposed follows.

Grant Assurance #1 notifies LSC recipients of applicable laws, rules, regulations, policies, guidelines, instructions, and other directives of LSC related to the grant. The proposed change to the grant assurance incorporates the full title of the LSC CSR Handbook.

Grant Assurance #5 informs LSC recipients of financial audit requirements and sanctions that may be imposed for failure to have an audit performed in accordance with LSC’s Office of Inspector General audit guidance. The proposed change is the replacement of the word “required” with the word “specified,” to make the language more accurate.

Grant Assurance #14(b) requires LSC recipients to inform LSC of a change in the recipient’s board chairperson. The proposed change specifies that LSC recipients must provide the name and contact information of the new board chairperson.

Grant Assurance #14(c) requires LSC recipients to inform LSC of a change in the recipient’s chief executive officer (CEO). The proposed change specifies that LSC recipients must provide the name and contact information of the new CEO.

Grant Assurance #19(d) notifies LSC recipients of guidelines for planning the orderly conclusion of its role and responsibility as an LSC grantee. The proposed change updates the Web site address used to access the LSC guidelines.

Grant Assurance #21 notifies LSC recipients about the use of the LSC logo. The proposed change updates the Web site address used to access the LSC logo.